

भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 8] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, फरवरी 21, 1970/फाल्गुन 2, 1891

No. 8] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 1970/PHALGUNA 2, 1891

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

नोटिस

NOTICE

नीचे लिखे भारत के प्रसाधारण राजपत्र 27 जनवरी, 1970 तक प्रकाशित किये गये :—

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published up to the 27th January, 1970 :—

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
23.	S.O. 232, dated 17th January, 1970	Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation.	The Calcutta Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Schemes, 1970
24.	S.O. 233, dated 19th January, 1970.	Ministry of Home Affairs.	Declaring the duty by every person serving in Union Territory, Delhi, for a period of three months with effect from 23rd January, 1970, active duty.
25.	S.O. 234, dated 19th January, 1970.	Do.	Declaring the duty by every person serving in Kerala, for a period of three months with effect from 25th January, 1970, as active duty.
26.	S.O. 235, dated 20th January, 1970.	Ministry of Industrial Development, Internal Trade and Company Affairs.	Direction issued under notification No. S. O. 1631, dated 22nd April, 1969 continues to be necessary in the public interest.

Issue No	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
	सं० आ० 235, दिनांक 20 जनवरी, 1970 ।	औद्योगिक विकास, आन्तरिक व्यापार तथा समवाय-कार्य मंत्रालय	संविधिक आदेश 1631 दिनांक 22 अप्रैल, 1969 को अधिसूचना द्वारा जारी किये गये निर्देश जनहित की दृष्टि से आवश्यक है ।
27.	S.O. 319, dated 20th January, 1970.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of the films as specified in the Schedule therein.
	एस० आ० 319, दिनांक 20 जनवरी, 1970 ।	सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय	अनुसूची में दी गई फिल्मों को स्वीकृत करना ।
28.	S.O. 320, dated 21st January, 1970.	Ministry of Health and Family Planning and Works, Housing and Urban Development.	Declaring service connected with conservancy and sewage disposal in the Union Territory of Delhi to be an essential service.
	S.O. 321, dated 21st January, 1970.	Do.	Prohibiting strikes in any service in the Union Territory of Delhi connected with conservancy and sewage disposal which has been declared to be an essential service.
29.	S.O. 322, dated 22nd January, 1970.	Department of Communications.	Introduction of the measured rate system in Rewari Telephone Exchange, Punjab circle.
	एस० आ० 322, दिनांक 22 जनवरी, 1970 ।	संचार विभाग	रिवाड़ी टेलीफोन केन्द्र में प्रमापित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय करना ।
30.	S.O. 323, dated 22nd January, 1970.	Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation.	Fixation of maximum prices at which vegetable oil products are to be sold in the various zones.
31.	S.O. 377, dated 24th January, 1970.	Ministry of Industrial Development, Internal Trade and Company Affairs.	Specifying in the Schedule therein the exceptions, restrictions and limitations subject to which the Companies Act, 1956 (1 of 1956), shall continue to apply to the New Manechchock Spinning and Weaving Company Ltd., Ahmedabad in the same manner as it applied thereto before the issue of the notified order under section 18A.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
32.	S.O. 378, dated 27th January, 1970.	Election Commission of India.	Bye-election to the Council of States by the elected members of Kerala Legislative Assembly.
	एस० ओ० 378, दिनांक 27 जनवरी, 1970 ।	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	केरल विधान सभा के निर्वाचन सदस्यों द्वारा राज्य सभा के लिए उप-निर्वाचन ।
33.	S.O. 379, dated 27th January, 1970.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of the films as specified in the schedule therein.
	एस० ओ० 379, दिनांक 27 जनवरी, 1970 ।	सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय	अनुसूची में दी गई फिल्मों को स्वीकृत करना ।
34.	S.O. 380, dated 27th January, 1970.	Do.	Approval of the films as specified in the schedule therein.
	एस० ओ० 380, दिनांक 27 जनवरी, 1970 ।	तद्वैध	अनुसूची में दी गई फिल्मों को स्वीकृत करना ।

ऊपर लिखे असाधारण राजपत्रों की प्रतियां प्रकाशन प्रबन्धक, सिविल लाइन्स, दिल्ली के नाम मांगपत्र भेजने पर भेज दी जाएंगी। मांगपत्र प्रबन्धक के पास इन राजपत्रों के जारी होने की तारीख से 10 दिन के भीतर पहुंच जाने चाहिए।

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उपखण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किये गए विधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

New Delhi, the 7th February 1970

S.O. 619.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 13A of the Representation of the People Act, 1950, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Administration, Union Territory of Chandigarh, hereby nominates Shri S. N. Bhanot, Home Secretary, Union Territory of Chandigarh, as the Chief Electoral Officer for the Union Territory of Chandigarh from the forenoon of the 19th August, 1969, vice Shri Damodar Das.

[No. 154/2/69.]

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

नई दिल्ली, 7 फरवरी, 1970

एस० ओ० 619—लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1950 की धारा 13-क की उपधारा (1) के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए निर्वाचन आयोग चण्डीगढ़ संघ राज्य क्षेत्र के प्रशासन के परामर्श से, श्री दामोदर दास के स्थान पर चण्डीगढ़ संघ राज्य क्षेत्र के गृह सचिव श्री एस० एन० भनोट को 19 अगस्त, 1969 के पूर्वाह्न से चण्डीगढ़ संघ राज्य क्षेत्र के लिए मुख्य निर्वाचन आफिसर के रूप में एतद्वारा नाम निर्देशित करता है।

[सं० 154/2/69]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 13th January 1970

S.O. 620.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Narain Singh, Village and Post Office Jagdev Kalan, Tehsil Ajnala, a contesting candidate for the mid-term general election held in February, 1969 to the Punjab Legislative Assembly from 28-Majitha constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after due notices, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Narain Singh to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. PB-LA/28/69(16).]

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 13 जनवरी, 1970

एस० ओ० 620—अतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि फरवरी, 1970 में हुए पंजाब विधान सभा के लिए मध्यावधि निर्वाचन के लिए 28-मजीठा निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री नारायण सिंह, ग्राम ब डाकघर जगदेव कलां, तहसील अजनाला, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम 1951 तथा तदधीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित रीति से अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

और, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार उमें सम्यक् सूचना दिए जाने पर भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है और उसने अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्वारा उक्त श्री नारायण सिंह को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

[सं० पंजाब-वि० सं०/28/69 (16)]

New Delhi, the 28th January 1970

S.O. 621.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Umar Din, S/O Shri Bulanda, Village Matiwal Dakhali Mandiwal, Tehsil Ferozepur, a contesting candidate for the mid-term general election held in February, 1969 to the Punjab Legislative Assembly from 8-Guru Har Sahai constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after due notices, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Umar Din to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. PB-LA/8/69(18).]

By Order,

K. S. RAJAGOPALAN, Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 28 जनवरी, 1970

एस० आ० 621—यतः, निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि फरवरी, 1969 में हुए पंजाब विधान सभा के लिए मध्यावधि निर्वाचन के लिए 8-गुरु हरसहाय निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाब लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री उमर दीन सुपुत्र श्री बुलन्द, ग्राम मोतीबल दखली मण्डीवाल, तहसील फिरोजपुर, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असमर्थ रहे हैं ;

और, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार उसे सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है और उसने अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है, तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है ;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण से निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्द्वारा उक्त श्री उमर दीन को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए, इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है ।

[सं०-पंजाब-वि० सं०/8/69 (18)]

आदेश से,

क० एस० राजगोपालन, सचिव ।

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Banking)

New Delhi, the 27th January 1970

S.O. 622.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 16th January, 1970.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Capital Paid up	5,00,00,000	Notes	13,75,63,000
Reserve Fund	150,00,00,000	Rupee Coin	4,52,000
		Small Coin	3,49,000
		Bills Purchased and Discounted :	
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	1,55,00,00,000	(a) Internal
		(b) External
		(c) Government Treasury Bills	90,05,79,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	35,00,00,000	Balances Held Abroad*	149,66,66,000
		Investments**	88,04,71,000
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	75,00,00,000	Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) Central Government
		(ii) State Governments@	161,63,01,000
		Loans and Advances to —	
Deposits :—		(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks†	159,11,39,000
(a) Government		(ii) State Co-operative Banks††	290,59,87,000
		(iii) Others	2,28,91,000
(i) Central Government	102,96,76,000		

		Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund :—	
(ii) State Governments		(a) Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) State Governments	31,18,91,000
		(ii) State Co-operative Banks	15,88,65,000
		(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks
(b) Banks		(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures	9,74,61,000
		Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund :—	
(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks	178,25,33,000		
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	8,53,85,000	Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks	6,48,93,000
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	57,35,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund:—	
(iv) Other Banks	25,16,000	(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	6,26,71,000
(c) Others	208,57,93,000	(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank
Bills Payable	44,15,12,000	Other Assets	38,54,73,000
Other Liabilities	95,62,95,000		
Rupees		Rupees	
		1063,36,52,000	

*Includes Cash, Fixed Deposits and Short-term Securities.

**Excluding investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. 39,73,70,000 advanced to Scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 21st day of January, 1970.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 for the week ended the 16th day of January, 1970.

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department	13,75,63,000		Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
			(a) Held in India	182,53,11,000	
Notes in circulation	<u>3744,67,37,000</u>		(b) Held outside India	
Total Notes issued		3758,43,00,000	Foreign Securities	<u>281,42,00,00</u>	
			TOTAL		463,95,11,000
			Rupee Coin		67,19,86,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities		<u>3227,28,03,000</u>
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other Commercial paper
Total Liabilities		<u>3758,43,00,000</u>	Total Assets		<u>3758,43,00,000</u>

Dated the 21st day of January, 1970.

L. K. JHA,
Governor.
[No. F. 3(3)-BC/70.]

New Delhi, the 29th January 1970

S.O. 623.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 23rd January, 1970.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Capital Paid Up	5,00,00,000	Notes	19,27,32,000
		Rupee Coin	3,64,000
Reserve Fund	150,00,00,000	Small Coin	3,69,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	155,00,00,000	Bills Purchased and Discounted :—	
		(a) Internal
		(b) External
		(c) Government Treasury Bills	69,90,30,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	35,00,00,000	Balances Held Abroad*	154,52,83,000
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	75,00,00,000	Investments**	111,26,43,000
		Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) Central Government
		(ii) State Governments @	159,52,19,000
Deposits :—		Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks†	135,09,06,000
		(ii) State Co-operative Banks††	285,76,57,000
(a) Government :—		(iii) Others	2,62,21,000
(i) Central Government	67,74,05,000		

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
		Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	
(ii) State Governments	8,21,07,000	(a) Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) State Governments	31,15,53,000
		(ii) State Co-operative Banks	15,62,08,000
		(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks
(b) Banks :—		(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures	9,74,61,000
		Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund—	
(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks	182,91,40,000		
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	7,65,80,000	Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks	6,45,73,000
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	59,83,000		
(iv) Other Banks	16,05,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	
(c) Others	209,86,60,000	(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	6,26,71,000
Bills payable	42,41,31,000	(b) Investment in bonds/ debentures issued by the Development Bank	
Other Liabilities	106,29,53,000	Other Assets	38,56,74,000
Rupees	1045,85,64,000	Rupees	1045,85,64,000

*Includes Cash, Fixed Deposits and Short-term Securities.

**Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary over-drafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. 33,33,70,000 advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 28th day of January, 1970.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 23rd day of January, 1970.
ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department	19,27,32,000		Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
Notes in Circulation	<u>3706,42,00,000</u>		(a) Held in India	182,53,11,000	
Total Notes issued		3725,69,32,000	(b) Held outside India	
			Foreign Securities	<u>281,42,00,000</u>	
			TOTAL		463,95,11,000
			Rupee Coin		69,40,04,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities		3192,34,17,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper
TOTAL LIABILITIES		<u>3725,69,32,000</u>	TOTAL ASSETS		<u>3725,69,32,000</u>

Dated the 28th day of January, 1970.

L. K. JHA,
Governor.

[No. F. 3(3)-BC/70]

New Delhi, the 5th February 1970

S.O. 624.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (e) of sub-section (1) of section 25 of the State Bank of India (Subsidiary Banks) Act, 1959 (38 of 1959), the Central Government nominates Shri A. Bagchi, Under Secretary, Ministry of Finance (Department of Banking), in consultation with the State Bank of India, to be a director of the State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur vice Shri V. Swaminathan.

[No. F. 9/1/70-SB.]

वित्त मंत्रालय

बैंक विभाग

नई दिल्ली, 5 फरवरी, 1970

एस० ओ० 624—भारतीय राज्य बैंक (सहायक बैंक) अधिनियम, 1959 (1959 का 38वां) की धारा 25 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ड) का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय राज्य बैंक के परामर्श से वित्त मंत्रालय (बैंक विभाग) के अनुसचिव श्री ए० बागची को श्री बी० स्वामिनाथन् के स्थान पर स्टेट बैंक आफ बीकानेर एण्ड जयपुर का निदेशक नामजद करती है।

[सं० एफ० 9/1/70-एस० बी०]

S.O. 625.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (e) of sub-section (1) of section 25 of the State Bank of India (Subsidiary Banks) Act, 1959 (38 of 1959), the Central Government nominates Shri S. R. Wadhwa, Under Secretary, Ministry of Finance (Department of Banking), in consultation with the State Bank of India, to be a director of the State Bank of Patiala.

[No. F.9/1/70-SB-(1).]

एस० ओ० 625—भारतीय राज्य बैंक (सहायक बैंक) अधिनियम, 1959 (1959 का 38 वां) की धारा 25 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ड) का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय राज्य बैंक के परामर्श से वित्त मंत्रालय (बैंक विभाग) के अनुसचिव श्री एस० आर० वाधवा को स्टेट बैंक आफ पटियाला का निदेशक नामजद करती है।

[सं० एफ० 9/1/70-एस० बी० (i)]

के० येसुरत्नम, अनुसचिव।

New Delhi, the 11th February 1970

S.O. 626.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, (10 of 1949) the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of section 9 of the said Act shall not apply to the Gauhati Bank Ltd., Gauhati in respect of the undernoted properties held by it at Dehing Thakarani and Peloniagaon, Manakata, Assam, till the 3rd November, 1970.

1. 38B-3K-12L of land; Patta No. 70, D.No. 27, Dehing Thakarani.
2. 10B-2L of land; Patta No. 71, D.No. 294, Dehing Thakarani.
3. 2B-3K-9L of land; Patta No. 71, D.No. 297, Dehing Thakarani.
4. 60B-9L of land; Patta No. 9, D. No. 13, Peloniagaon.

[No. F. 15(9)-BC/69.]

K. YESURATNAM, Under Secy.

COLLECTORATE OF CENTRAL EXCISE: WEST BENGAL: CALCUTTA

CENTRAL EXCISES

Calcutta, the 2nd February 1970

S.O. 627.—In exercise of the power conferred on me under rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby empower all officers of and above the rank of

"Assistant Collectors of Central Excise" to exercise within their respective jurisdictions the powers of the "Collector of Central Excise" under proviso (1) to Rule 173-G (3) of the said rules.

[No. 1/1970.]

D. R. KOHLI, Collector.

CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, KANPUR

Kanpur, the 5th February 1970

S.O. 628.—In exercise of the powers conferred upon me under Section 2(a) of the Produce Cess Act, 1966 (15 of 1966), read with Government of India Notification G.S.R. 884, dated 26th March 1969, I, Shri V. Parthasarthy, Collector of Central Excise, Kanpur, hereby authorise the Central Excise Officers specified in Col. 2 of the subjoined table to exercise within their jurisdiction the powers of the Collector "under the Produce Cess Act, enumerated in column 1 thereof, subject to the limitations set out in Col. 3 of the said table".

TABLE

Section of Produce Cess Act.	Rank of Officer	Limitation if any
8(1)	(a) Superintendent of MOR in whose jurisdiction the mill is situated. (b) Inspector of Central Excise in case of isolated ranges.	Full powers Do.
9(1) & 9(2)	Jurisdictional superintendent	Do.
12(a), (b) & (c)	Jurisdictional Superintendent	Do.
13(1) or 13(2)	C. Ex. officer of and above the rank of Inspector.	Do.
18	The Assistant Collector	Compounding of offences before the prosecution is launched.

[No. 2/1970.]

V. PARTHASARTHY, Collector.

THE MADRAS CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, MADRAS

CENTRAL EXCISES

Madras, the 6th February, 1970

S. O. 629.—In exercise of the powers conferred upon me under Section 2 (a) of the Produce Cess Act, 1966 (15 of 1966) read with Government of India, Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation, New Delhi Notification G.S.R. No. 884 dated 26-3-69 as amended by their notification G.S.R. No. 2319 dated 23-9-69, I, S. Venkataraman, Collector of Central Excise, Madras hereby authorise the Central Excise Officers specified in Column 2. of the subjoined table to exercise within their jurisdiction the powers of the "Collector" under the Produce Cess Act, enumerated in column 1 thereof, subject to the limitations set out in column 3 of the said table.

TABLE

Section of Produce Cess Act.	Rank of Officer	Limitation, if any
1	2	3
8 (1)	(a) Superintendent of M. O. R. in whose jurisdiction the mills are situated.	Full powers
	(b) The Inspector of Central Excise (in ranges).	Do.
9 (1) & (2)	Jurisdictional Superintendent	Do.
12(a), (b) & (c)	Jurisdictional Superintendent	Do.
13 (1)&(2)	Central Excise Officers of and above the rank of Inspector	Do.
18	The Assistant Collector	Compounding of offences be fore the prosecution is launched

[C.No. IV/16/128/66-cx. I]

S. VENKATARAMAN, Collector.

BOMBAY CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE**CENTRAL EXCISES***Bombay, the 8th February 1970*

S.O. 630.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me by Rule 233 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby direct all the assesseees of excisable goods in this Collectorate to whom Self Removal Procedure has been extended, as laid down in Chapter VIIA of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, notified under Government of India's Notification No. 171/69, dated the 21st June, 1969, that they shall intimate, immediately after 6.00 p.m. on the day prior to the Budget Day, to the Superintendent of Central Excise incharge of the Range, with a copy to the proper officer, a declaration in the appended form furnishing the following information :—

- (a) the number of last gate pass (G.P. 1 and G.P. 2) issued by them up to 6.00 p.m. on the day prior to the Budget Day,
- (b) the closing balance of stocks held by them at 6.00 p.m. on that day.

Such a declaration addressed to the Superintendent, in a sealed cover, along-with a copy thereof shall be handed over to the Central Excise Officer, specially posted in charge of the factory for giving clearances on the Budget Day.

ANNEXURE

Declaration of stock etc, on Pre-Budget Day by a manufacturer working under S.R.P.

1. Name of licensee.
2. L.4 Licence No.
3. Commodity.

I/We hereby declare that the Serial Number of last gate pass(es) in form G.P. 1/G.P. 2 issued by me/us and the balance in hand of the excisable good/s manufactured by me/us on (date*) at 6 P.M. was/were as under:

Name of goods with tariff item No.	Serial No. of Last G.P. 1/G.P. 2	Closing balance of excisable goods in stock as per R.G. 1
--	-------------------------------------	---

Certified that the particulars give above are correct.

Place

Date

Signature of the assessee
or his authorised agent.

*One day prior to the presentation of annual/Supplementary budget of the Union Government.

Handed over to Superintendent/A.C. of the Central Excise on.....
at

[No. CER/233/1/1970.]

A. K. ROY, Collector.

MINISTRY OF FOREIGN TRADE

New Delhi, the 6th February 1970

S.O. 631.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (i) of clause 9 of the Cotton Textile (Export Control) Order, 1949, the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendments shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Commerce and Industry No. 3(1)63/Control, dated the 21st June, 1963, namely :—

In the said notification, :—

(a) in paragraph 1, for the words "Yarn in Hanks", the words "Bale packing of yarn hanks" shall be substituted;

(b) after paragraph 9, the following paragraphs shall be inserted, namely :—

"9A. Each cone shall be wrapped in polythene paper of at least 40 microns thickness except in the case of grey yarn or in kraft paper conforming to grade 2 of IS-1397-1960 and packed in bags in the manner specified below :—

(i) each bag shall contain not more than 50 cones of 1 kilogram each;

(ii) each bag shall be packed in the manner specified below starting from the innermost layer :—

(a) one layer of wax paper;

(b) one layer of waterproof paper conforming to type 1 of IS:1398—1960 using kraft paper of substance 60 g/m² and above;

(c) plywood (3 ply)—one plank at the top and the other at the bottom of minimum 5m. metre thickness;

(d) one layer of hessain of 400 grms/1.8 metre;

(e) hoops—two 1.25 cm. width hoops of gauge 18—crossed.

9B. Each cheese shall be wrapped in polythene paper of at least 40 microns thickness except in the case of grey yarn or in kraft paper conforming to grade 2 of IS:1397—1960 and packed in bags in the manner specified below:

(i) each bag shall contain not more than 80 cheese of 1 kilogram each;

(ii) each bag shall be packed in the manner specified below starting from the innermost layer :—

(a) one layer of wax paper;

(b) One layer of waterproof paper, conforming to type 1 of IS:1398—1960 using kraft paper of substance 60 g/m² and above;

(c) one layer of Hessian of 400 grms/1.8 metre.

Note—Jute twine (5 ply) shall be used for stitching the bags tightly.”;

(c) in paragraph 10, after the words “used in the packing of yarn”, the words “in bales or cases” shall be inserted.

[No. 9(102)/69-TEX(I).]

DAULAT RAM, Under Secy.

विदेशी व्यापार मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 6 फरवरी, 1970

एस० ओ० 631:—सूती बस्त्र (निर्वात निर्यात) आदेश 1949 के खण्ड 5 के उपखण्ड (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए केन्द्रिय सरकार एतद्वारा निदेश देती है कि भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व वाणिज्य और उद्योग मंत्रालय की अधिमूचना संख्या 3(1) 63/कंट्रोल, तारीख 21 जून, 1963 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन किए जाएंगे, अर्थात् :-

उक्त अधिमूचना में :-

(क) पैरा 1 में, “सूत के गोले” शब्दों के स्थान में “सूत के गोलों की गांठों में पैकिंग” शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएंगे,

(ख) पैरा 9 के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित पैरा अन्तःस्थापित किए जाएंगे, अर्थात् :-

“9 क. प्रत्येक शंकु पोलिथिन कागज जो घूसर सूत के मामले के अतिरिक्त कम से कम 40 माइक्रोन मोटा हो, या क्राफ्ट कागज जो आई एस 1397-1960 के ग्रेड 2 के अनुरूप हो, में लपेटा जाएगा और थैलों में नीचे विनिर्दिष्ट तरीके से पैक किया जाएगा :-

(i) प्रत्येक थैले में 50 शंकु जो प्रत्येक एक किलोग्राम के हों, भरे जायेंगे :-

(ii) अन्दर सबसे नीचे की परत में प्रारम्भ करने हुए प्रत्येक थैले को नीचे विनिर्दिष्ट तरीके से पैक किया जाएगा :-

(क) मोम कागज की एक परत;

(ख) 60 जी/एम 2 और उससे ऊपर के पदार्थ के क्राफ्ट कागज और उक्त क्राफ्ट कागज का इस्तेमाल करने हुए जलसह कागज की एक परत जो आई एस : 1398-1960 के प्रकार के अनुरूप हो ;

(ग) प्लाई लकड़ी (3 प्लाई) कम से कम 5 मी० मीटर मोटाई का एक तख्ता ऊपर और दूसरा पैंदे में;

(घ) 400 ग्राम/1. 8 मीटर हैसियन की एक परत;

(ङ) पत्तियाँ-18 गेज की 1. 25 मी० मी० चौड़ी दो पत्तियाँ—आर पार।

9 ख. प्रत्येक गोले (Cheese) पोलिथिन कागज जो घूसर सूत के मामले के अतिरिक्त कम से कम 40 माइक्रोन मोटा हो, या क्राफ्ट कागज जो आई एस-1397-1960 के ग्रेड 2 के अनुरूप हो, में लपेटा जायेगा और थैलों में नीचे विनिर्दिष्ट तरीके से पैक किया जाएगा :-

(i) प्रत्येक थैले में 80 गोले (Cheese) जो प्रत्येक एक किलोग्राम के हों, भरे जाएंगे;

(ii) अन्दर सबसे नीचे की परत में प्रारम्भ करने हुए प्रत्येक थैले को नीचे विनिर्दिष्ट तरीके से पैक किया जाएगा ;

- (क) मोम कागज की एक परत;
- (ख) 60 जो/एम 2 और उससे ऊपर के पदार्थ के क्राफ्ट कागज और उक्त क्राफ्ट कागज का इस्तेमाल करने हुए जलसह कागज की एक परत जो आई एस: 1398-1960 की प्रकार के अनुरूप हो;
- (ग) 400 ग्राम/1.8 मीटर हैसियत की एक परत डिप्टी थैलों की कसकर सिलाई करने के लिये जूट के सूत (5 प्लाई का इस्तेमाल किया जाएगा);
- (ग) पैरा 10 म, "सूत की पैकिंग के लिए इस्तेमाल किया गया" शब्दों के पहले "गांठों या खोकों में" शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएंगे।

[सं० 9(102)/69-टेक्स (आई)]

दोलत राम, अव्वर सचिव।

(Office of the Jt. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

(Central Licensing Area)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 17th January 1970

S.O. 632.—Licence No. P/D/8538112, dated 16th April 1969 for Rs. 88,000 for the import of Prime CRCA/B.P.S.S. thinner than 0.6 mm. from the Asstt. Iron & Steel Controller, Faridabad was issued to M/s. Unique Tin Containers, Imam Miyan Building, Nani Chipwad, Asraf Sur's Dela, Near Champaner Gate, Baroda-6.

2. Thereafter, a show cause notice No. U-5/I&SC/69-70/ENF/CLA/9409, dated 24th December 1969 was issued asking them to show cause within 15 days as to why the said licence in their favour should not be cancelled on the ground that their factory did not exist and they had obtained the said licence by misrepresentation and fraud in terms of Clause 9, sub-clause (a) of Imports (Control) Order, 1955, as amended.

3. The aforesaid notice has been received back undelivered with the remarks of the Postal authorities "Left".

4. The undersigned has carefully examined the case and has come to the conclusion that their factory has gone out of existence and they had obtained the said licence by misrepresentation of the facts and fraud.

5. Having regard to what has been stated in the preceding paragraph, the undersigned is satisfied that the licence in question should be cancelled or otherwise rendered ineffective. Therefore, the undersigned, in exercise of the powers vested in him under Clause 9 sub-clause (a) of the Imports (Control) Order, 1955, as amended hereby cancel the licence No. P/D/8538112, dated 16th April 1969 for Rs. 88,000 issued in favour of M/s. Unique Tin Containers, Imam Miyan Building, Nani Chipwad, Asraf Sur's Dela, Near Champaner Gate, Baroda-6.

M/s. Unique Tin Containers,
Imam Miyan Building, Nani Chipwad,
Asraf Sur's Dela, Near Champaner Gate,
BARODA-6.

[No. U-5/I&SC/69-70/ENF/CLA/10287.]

New Delhi, the 31st January 1970

S.O. 633.—M/s. Warden Chemical Works, D-177, Kanti Chandra Road, Bani Park, Jaipur were granted licence No. P/S/1612182/C/XX/29/D/25/26, dated 4th October, 1968 for import of Drugs and Medicines as per details given in the licence for Rs. 15,202/- on General Currency Area.

They have applied for the issue of duplicate of the exchange control purposes copy of the said licence on the ground that original exchange control copy has been lost/misplaced.

2. The applicant have filed an affidavit on stamped paper in support of their contention as required under para 302 read with appendix 8 of I.T.C. Hand Book of Rules & Procedure, 1969. I am satisfied that the original exchange control copy of the said licence has been lost/misplaced.

3. In exercise of the powers conferred on me, under Section 9(CC), Import (Control) Order, 1955 dated 7th December, 1955, as amended upto date, I order the cancellation of original exchange control copy of licence No. P/S/1612182/C/XX/29/D/25/26, dated 4th October, 1968.

4. The applicants are being issued duplicate copy of the said licence for exchange control purposes only in accordance with the provision of para 302 of I.T.C. Hand Book of Rules & Procedure, 1969.

M/s. Warden Chemical Works,
D-177, Kantichandra Road,
Bani Park,
Jaipur.

[No. F. W-1/Raj/AM68/AU-HRH/CLA.]

RAM MURTI SHARMA,
Joint Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

(Office of the Jt. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

ORDER

Bombay, the 7th February 1970

SUBJECT: *Order for cancellation of Customs purposes and Exchange Control copies of licence No. 1320770, dated 3rd June, 1969 for Rs. 75,605/- issued in favour of M/s. Simac Group India (P) Ltd., Bombay.*

S.O. 634.—M/s. Simac Group India Pvt. Ltd., Bombay, were granted an Import licence No. 1320770, dated 3rd June, 1969 for Rs. 75,605/- for import of items shown on the reverse of this order for the licensing period J.S. 68 from G.C.A. They have applied for the duplicate of the Customs purposes copy of the said licence on the ground that the original Customs Purposes copy of the licence have been lost. It is further stated that the original was utilised for Rs. 3,094/- at Bombay Port.

In support of this contention the applicant have filed an affidavit on stamp paper duly attested before the Notary Public, Bombay. I am satisfied that the original Customs Purposes copy of the licence No. 1320770 of 3rd June, 1969 for Rs. 75,605/- have been lost and have directed that the duplicate of the Customs purposes of the licence should be issued to the applicant. The original Customs purposes copy of the licence No. 1320770 dated 3rd June, 1969 are hereby cancelled.

(Issued from file No. 1/82/S.2/JS.68/EPL.I).

[No. 1/70-SEIIC.]

M. D'COSTA,
Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.
for Jt. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 12th February 1970

S.O. 635.—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in English and Telugu to be of the description specified against each in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

(1) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 of the Andhra Pradesh Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1955 (President's Act 4 of 1955).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

S. No.	Title of the Film	Length 35 mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a Scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film Dealing with news and current events or a documentary film
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Milk Milk Milk	336.19M	Sh. B.R. Sathyan, 3/145 Hyterbasti, Secunderabad, A.P.	R.S. Movies	Documentary film. (for release in Andhra Pradesh Circuit only).
2	5 Decades of Osmania Uni- versity (Colour).	387.09M	Do.		Do.

[No. F.28/1/70-FP App.1420.]

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय

आदेश

नई दिल्ली 12 फरवरी, 1970

एस० ओ० 635.—इसके साथ लगी प्रथम अनुसूची में निर्धारित प्रत्येक अधिनियम के उपबन्ध के अन्तर्गत जारी किये गये निदेशों के अनुसार, केन्द्रीय सरकार, फिल्म सलाहकार बोर्ड, बम्बई की सिफारिशों पर विचार करने के बाद एतद्वारा, इसके साथ लगी द्वितीय अनुसूची के कालम 2 में दी गई फिल्म की उसके अंग्रेजी तथा तेलगू भाषा रूपांतर सहित, जिसका विवरण उसके सामने उक्त द्वितीय अनुसूची के कालम 6 में दिया हुआ है, स्वीकृत करती है :—

प्रथम अनुसूची

(1) आन्ध्र प्रदेश सिनेमा (विनियमन) अधिनियम 1955 (1955 का राष्ट्रपति का अधिनियम 4) की धारा 5 की उपधारा 3 ।

द्वितीय अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	फिल्म का नाम	फिल्म की लम्बाई	आवदक का नाम	निर्माता का नाम	क्या वैज्ञानिक फिल्म है या शिक्षा संबंधी फिल्म है या समा- चार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म है या डाकुमेन्ट्री फिल्म है।
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	मिल्क मिल्क मिल्क	336.19 मी०	श्री बी० आर० सथ्यान, आर०एस० मूवीज, 3/145, हतरबस्ती सिकन्ना- बाव, आंध्र प्रदेश।		डाकुमेन्ट्री फिल्म (केवल आंध्र प्रदेश सर्किट के लिये)
2	5 डिफेंड्स आफ० उसमानिया यूनि- वर्सिटी (रंगीन)	387.09 मी०	—तथैव—		—तथैव—

[सं० एफ० 28/1/70-एफ०पी०-परिशिष्ट 1420]

S.O. 636.—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the film specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in Gujarati to be of the description specified against it in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section 4 of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XVII of 1953).
- (3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

S. No.	Title of the film	Length 35 mm	Name of the Ap- plicant	Name of the producer	Whether a Scientific film or a film intend- ed for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Gujarat Ni Vana Sampati.	301.74M	Director of of Gujarat bad 15.	Information Govt. Sachivalaya, Ahmeda-	Documentary film (For release in Gujarat Circuit only).

[No. F.28/1/70-FP App. 1421.]

K. K. KHAN, Under Secy.

एस० ओ० 636.—इसके साथ लगी प्रथम अनुसूची में निर्धारित प्रत्येक अधिनियम के उपबन्ध के अन्तर्गत जारी किये गये निदेशों के अनुसार केन्द्रीय सरकार फिल्म सलाहकार बोर्ड बम्बई की सिफारिशों पर विचार करने के बाद एतद्वारा, इसके साथ लगी द्वितीय अनुसूची के कालम 2 में दी गयी फिल्म की उसके गुजराती भाषा रूपान्तर सहित जिसका विवरण उसके सामने उक्त द्वितीय अनुसूची के कालम 6 में दिया हुआ है स्वीकृत करती है :—

प्रथम अनुसूची

- (1) चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 37 वां केन्द्रीय अधिनियम) की धारा 12 की उपधारा (4) तथा धारा 16।
- (2) बम्बई सिनेमा (विनियम) अधिनियम 1953 (1953 का 17वां बम्बई अधिनियम) की धारा 5 की उपधारा (3) तथा धारा 9।
- (3) सौराष्ट्र सिनेमा (विनियम) अधिनियम 1953 (1953 का 17वां सौराष्ट्र अधिनियम) की धारा 5 की उपधारा (4) तथा धारा 9।

द्वितीय अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	फिल्म का नाम	फिल्म की लम्बाई	आवेदक का नाम	निर्माता का नाम	क्या वैज्ञानिक फिल्म है या शिक्षा संबंधी फिल्म है या समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म है या डाकुमेन्ट्री फिल्म है।
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	गुजराथनी वन सम्पत्ति	301.74 मी०	सूचना निदेशक गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय अहमदाबाद-15।		डाकुमेन्ट्री फिल्म (केवल गुजरात सर्किट के लिये)।

[सं० एफ० 28/1/70-एफ०पी०-परिशिष्ट 1421]

के० के० खान, अवर सचिव।

MINISTRY OF TOURISM AND CIVIL AVIATION

New Delhi, the 9th February 1970

S.O. 637.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 6 of the Aircraft Act, 1934 (22 of 1934), the Central Government, being of the opinion that it is in the interest of public safety so to do, hereby orders that for a period of two years from the date of issue of this Notification, no aircraft shall, except under and in accordance with the terms and conditions, of a special permit issued by the Government of India in the Ministry of Defence, make flights into or over the following areas, namely:—

North East Frontier Agency, Nagaland, the three border sub-divisions of Manipur, namely, UKHRUL, TENGNOUPAL and CHURACHANDPUR and the Mizo Hills district (previously known as Lushai Hills district).

[No. F. 10-A/5-70.]

S. N. KAUL, Under Secy.

**MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, INTERNAL TRADE AND
COMPANY AFFAIRS**

(Department of Industrial Development)

New Delhi, the 9th January 1970

S.O. 638.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1958 (32 of 1958), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri K. S. Thakur, Town Administrator of Heavy Electricals (India) Limited, Bhopal a Government of India Undertaking, to be Estate Officer for the purposes of this Act and defines under clause (b) of section 3 of the said Act the local limits as per Schedule hereto annexed within which he shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on Estate Officer by or under this Act, in respect of all categories of public.

Premises situated within the said local limits

SCHEDULE

Local limits of the Heavy Electricals (India) Limited are detailed below.

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasta Nos.	Area in Acres
1.	Sehore	Huzur (Bhopal)	Gadia	1	12.48
				2	14.30
				3, 4, 5, 7, 8	66.35
				6	3.77
				9	0.32
				10	0.36
				11	1.44
				12	2.50
				13	0.38
				14/1	13.62
				14/2	6.00
				15	0.25
				16	2.60
				17	0.94
				18	12.07
				19	0.91
				20	3.66
				21	0.27
				22	0.25
				23	0.31
				24/1	1.05
				24/2	1.91
				24/3, 26	6.63
				25	27.25
				27	7.92
				28	4.28
				29	19.61
				30	9.97
				31	7.61
				32, 35, 36	18.55
				33	12.30
				34	10.50
				37	4.38
				38, 78, 79, 80	6.60
				39	3.38
				40	2.61
				41	11.00
				42	3.04
				43	10.85
				44	15.86
				45	10.45
				46	3.04
				47	0.92
				48	2.40
				49	2.87
				50	10.30

S1. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres
<hr/>					
I.	Schore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur (Bhopal)— <i>contd.</i>	Gadia— <i>contd.</i>	51	2.31
				52	14.90
				53	23.00
				54	5.00
				55	2.75
				56	4.78
				57	0.97
				58	7.16
				59/1	4.84
				59/2	9.98
				60	0.75
				61, 62, 63	8.90
				64	5.34
				65	0.76
				66	0.59
				67	0.15
				68	0.54
				69, 70	2.31
				71	1.53
				72	0.98
				73	0.97
				74	1.07
				75	3.55
				76	0.13
				77	0.19
				81	3.90
				82	0.98
				83	1.51
				84	0.14
				85	18.35
				86	11.75
				87	0.45
				88	0.36
				89	2.50
				90/1	0.82
				91/2	0.01
				99/1	11.36
				100	11.86
				101	0.31
				102, 103	21.10
				104	19.91
				105	6.66
				106	4.06
				107	0.72
				108	3.96
				109	4.95
				110	6.85
				111	1.41
				112	3.18
				113	5.13
				114/1	2.53
				114/2	1.10
				115	0.65
				116	1.56
				117	7.98
				118/1	0.27
				118/2	0.24
				119	2.00
				120	7.07
				121	1.18
				122	4.21
				123	19.48
				124	18.70
				125	27.33
				126/1	16.25

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres
1.	Sehore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur (Bhopal)— <i>contd.</i>	Gadja.— <i>co. td.</i>	126/2	0.80
				127/1	16.56
				127/2	5.00
				128/1	15.71
				128/2	6.22
				129/1	20.89
				129/2	0.46
				130, 166/130	6.40
				131	4.98
				132	3.92
				133/1	4.18
				133/2	0.50
				134	0.32
				135, 136, 235, 236	18.44
				137	0.40
				138	1.77
				139	0.18
				140	0.08
				141	0.34
				142	1.56
				143	2.89
				144	0.88
				145	0.83
				146	0.54
				147	0.71
				148	0.25
				149	0.27
				150	0.34
				151	0.31
				152	0.43
				153	0.25
				154	0.48
				155	0.29
				156	0.21
				157	0.21
				158	0.09
				159	0.05
				160	0.08
				161	0.19
				162	0.04
				163	0.11
				164	0.30
				165	0.34
				166, 188	0.48
				167	0.60
				168	0.59
				169	2.70
				170	0.20
				171	0.18
				172	1.23
				173	0.66
				174	0.64
				175	0.94
				176	0.59
				177	0.03
				178, 179	1.12
				180	0.07
				181	2.71
				182	2.37
				183	0.70
				184	0.38
				185	0.40
				186	0.09
				187	0.12
				189	0.13
				190	0.17
				191	0.24

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres
1.	Schore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur (Bhopal)— <i>contd.</i>	Gadia— <i>contd.</i>		
				192	0.23
				193	0.35
				194	0.04
				195	0.12
				196	0.12
				197	0.15
				198	0.11
				199	0.82
				200	0.25
				201	0.40
				202	0.37
				203, 205/2	0.47
				204	0.09
				205/1	0.33
				206	0.05
				207	2.19
				208	0.11
				209	0.10
				210	0.25
				211	0.34
				212	0.37
				213	0.27
				214	0.35
				215	0.25
				216	0.13
				217	0.08
				218	0.38
				219	0.27
				220	0.14
				221	0.58
				222	0.74
				223, 224	0.76
				225	0.20
				226, 227	1.17
				228	9.46
				229/1	1.40
				229/2	8.36
				230	12.15
				231/1	2.20
				231/2	3.74
				231/3	1.00
				232	11.47
				233	6.82
				234	4.60
				237	0.20
				238	5.03
				239/1/1	12.04
				239/1/2	4.25
				239/2	2.75
				240/1	9.15
				240/2	13.35
				241	26.09
				242	11.45
				243	29.40
				244	26.55
				245	0.26
				246	11.84
				247	2.32
				248	22.00
				249	24.73
				250	23.38
				251	22.50
				252	14.62
				253	15.65

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres
1.	Sehore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzar(Bhopal)— <i>contd.</i>	Gadia— <i>contd.</i>	254	35.10
				255	23.58
				256	0.46
				257	0.46
				258	0.27
				<u>131</u>	
				250	0.23
				<u>160</u>	
				260/19	0.38
				261	0.14
				<u>249</u>	
				262	0.09
				243	
				<u>263</u>	11.11
				128	
				264	2.98
				<u>240</u>	
				265	0.82
				246	
				<u>267/</u>	11.75
				41, 41	
				95/1, 96/1	1.95
2	Sehore	Huzar(Bhopal)	Piplani Chamaran	39/1	1.0
				40/1	0.6
				41/1	11.27
				42/1	26.46
				43, 47/2	21.37
				44	4.36
				45	0.85
				46	1.11
				47/1	8.05
				48	1.15
				49, 54	5.21
				50	0.12
				51	0.51
				52	4.00
				53	2.05
				55, 225	3.61
				56	0.31
				57/1	0.55
				58	3.25
				90/3	0.01
				100/3	0.49
				101	0.13
			P/o	102/1	0.27
			P/o	125/1	0.22
			P/o	129/1, 130	2.42
				129/2	0.18
			P/o	135/1	0.33
			P o	148, 149	2.92
				150	9.31
				<u>151</u>	1.44
				343	
				<u>1, 158</u>	
				1	
				<u>152/ ... 154</u>	
				1, 1	
				343	
				<u>158</u>	
				2	
				153/2	0.03

Sl. No	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres
2.	Schor— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur (Bhopal)— <i>contd.</i>	Piplani Chmaran— <i>contd.</i>		
				155/1	1.62
				156	1.36
				157	1.53
				158	3.23
				159	5.17
			P/o	160	4.48
			P/o	165	1.04
				166	0.04
				167	0.28
				168/1	0.25
				169/1	1.40
				170/1	0.10
				171/1, 177	3.31
				172	0.77
				173	0.21
				174	0.63
				175, 176	0.30
				178	0.14
				179/1, 180/1	0.18
				179/2	0.10
				180/2	0.05
				181/1	3.78
				182	0.37
				183	0.30
				184	0.07
				185	0.11
				186	0.19
				187	0.04
				188	0.04
				189	0.11
				190	0.44
				191	0.15
				192	0.17
				193	0.12
				194	0.07
				195	0.18
				196	1.34
				197	0.19
				198	0.13
				199	0.35
				200	0.03
				201	0.03
				202	0.09
				203	0.20
				204	0.06
				205	0.00
				206	0.58
				207	0.18
				208, 209	0.10
				210	0.05
				211	0.06
				212	0.09
				213	0.17
				214	1.21
				215	0.27
				216	0.70
				217	1.80
				218	0.25
				219	0.07
				220	0.93
				221	1.46
				222	3.24
				223	1.00
				224	1.17
				<u>330</u>	
				<u>224</u>	
				226	1.56

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres
2.	Sahore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur (Bhawal)— <i>contd.</i>	Piplani Chamaran— <i>contd.</i>		
				227	2.37
				228	0.86
				229	3.15
				230	5.38
				231	0.70
				232	1.34
				233	1.27
				234	2.34
				235	1.59
				236	4.17
				237	10.41
				238	2.98
				239	7.42
				240	1.95
				241	20.79
				242/1	12.61
				242/2	3.50
				243	0.33
				244	1.28
				245	0.21
				246	0.93
				247	1.70
				248	0.07
				249	1.17
				250	0.12
				251, 252	0.23
				253	0.20
				254	0.50
				255, 256	0.23
				257	0.05
				258	0.03
				259	0.56
				260	0.24
				261	0.98
				262	0.66
				263	4.56
				264	0.50
				265	0.57
				268	18.51
				269	5.98
				270, 272	23.87
				271	4.93
				273	0.48
				274	1.45
				275	4.39
				276	1.62
				277	4.34
				278	3.97
			P/o	279	2.18
			P/o	280	2.72
			P/o	281	0.58
			P/o	285	1.28
			P/o	292	4.03
				293	3.38
				294	1.91
				295	0.81
				296	7.35
				297	5.67
				298	10.87
				299	13.87
				300	10.83
				301	0.37
				302	7.41
				303	6.15
				304	0.38

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres
2.	Schore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur (Bhopal) — <i>contd.</i>	Piplani Chamaran — <i>contd.</i>	305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 P/o 318 P/o 319 P/o 323 P/o 324 325 326 P/o 327 328 335/47 336/47 337/58/2 341/172 342/210 344/181/1 345/181 346/214 347/230 P/o 348/291 P/o 349/319 350/237 351/183 352/181 353/45 OP/o 102/1 103/3 119/3 120/3 121/1 122 123 124/1 127 128 129/1, 130 131 132 133 136, 137, 139, 140 142, 143 145 146 147 OP/o 148, 149 161 163 OP/o 279 OP/o 280, 283/2 284 287 288	11.58 10.26 2.70 13.31 15.49 11.26 11.14 1.13 12.17 13.51 9.32 10.00 10.00 9.70 3.00 10.11 10.45 16.60 9.15 11.35 0.68 0.40 7.06 0.40 0.39 0.02 1.03 0.36 0.10 0.54 1.26 2.40 2.94 0.04 0.15 0.74 0.24 0.10 0.20 1.43 0.48 0.72 0.99 1.70 13.82 10.73 7.92 0.45 3.26 3.76 43.31 2.84 2.38 0.16 0.35 6.56 14.79 8.88 0.81 16.95 17.98 2.43 2.61

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Tahsil	Name of Village	Khasra No.	Area in Acres
2.	Sehore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur (Bhopal) — <i>contd.</i>	Piplani	289	7.12
			Chamaran	290	1.22
			— <i>contd.</i>	291	2.37
			OP/o	292	3.56
			OP/o	318	6.58
			OP/o	319	4.68
				320	13.85
				321	12.55
				322	12.94
			OP/o	323	5.37
			OP/o	324	4.55
			OP/o	327	4.90
			OP/o	348/291	1.14
			OP/o	349/319	1.73
				125/1	0.68
				126/1	1.90
				134	1.54
				135/1	0.64
				139, 140/2	5.29
				138	0.38
				141	1.50
				144	0.33
				160	11.53
				162	1.02
				164	0.53
				165	0.56
				281	4.15
				282	9.32
				285	0.92
				286	2.01
3.			Barkhera	1	26.98
			Pathani	2	20.88
				3	21.39
				4	12.42
				5	1.90
				6	17.72
				7	21.16
				8	19.52
				9	39.96
				10	32.81
				11	16.03
				12	1.02
				13	1.22
				14	1.06
				15	11.08
				16	6.10
				17	1.91
				18	6.43
				19	0.73
				20	0.95
				21	1.46
				22	0.47
				23	3.24
				24	13.22
				25	1.74
			P/o	26/1/1	25.55
				26/1/2	10.00
				26/2	0.90
				27	11.86
				28/1	12.23
				28/2	5.50
				29	4.32
				30	1.57
				31	19.39
				32	5.90

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra No.	Area in Acres
3.	Sehore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur (Bhopal)— <i>contd.</i>	Barkhera Pathani— <i>contd.</i>	33	0.63
				34/1	8.27
				34/2	8.00
				35	4.15
				36	3.80
				37	2.98
				38	0.53
				39	0.63
				40	3.60
				41	6.61
				42	8.05
				43	14.57
				44	6.33
				45	0.72
				46	3.14
				47	9.54
				48	1.17
				49	1.57
				50	1.61
				51	0.46
				52	7.69
				53	1.34
				54	0.15
				55	51.35
				56	0.12
				57	0.45
				58	9.09
				59	3.63
				60	0.14
				61	2.68
				62	3.60
				63	0.38
				64	1.13
				65	3.80
				66	10.80
				67	1.75
				68	1.06
				69	4.46
				70	5.16
				71	4.54
				72	0.35
				73	1.82
				74	7.78
				75,76	7.76
				77	3.32
				78	1.23
				79	4.08
				80	1.28
				81	26.60
				82	0.70
				83	7.73
				84	15.57
				85	0.70
			P/o	86	4.09
			P/o	87	1.23
				88	0.24
				89	0.43
				90	0.50
				91	1.90
				92	0.79
				93	2.25
				94	5.30
				95	1.15
				96	1.40
				97	0.52
				98	4.99
				99	5.86

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres
3.	Sehore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur (Bhopal) — <i>contd.</i>	Barkhera Pathani— <i>contd.</i>	P/o 100/3	0.20
			P/o 101		1.00
			P/o 102		0.02
			P/o 104		0.28
			P/o 105/1/1		2.20
			P/o 105/1/2		4.17
			P/o 106		0.35
			107		15.87
			108		16.50
			109		2.05
			P/o 136		3.40
			P/o 137		0.42
			P/o 149		1.00
			P/o 358		3.00
			P/o 359		13.80
			508/58		0.36
			510/27		0.95
			511/2		0.39
			512/56		0.26
			513/55		0.50
			P/o 529/148		11.50
			OP/o 86		3.00
			OP/o 87		3.00
			OP/o 101		3.84
			OP/o 105/1/1		2.80
			OP/o 105/1/2		1.20
			105/2		2.40
			112, 113		4.78
			140		0.71
			141		4.25
			142		2.26
			143, 144		2.83
			145		5.14
			146		3.54
			147		19.00
			148		5.48
			OP/o 149		1.17
			150		14.11
			151		6.18
			152		3.79
			153		12.95
			P/o 154		0.66
			155		1.21
			156		28.50
			157		9.12
			158/1		3.25
			158/2		3.33
			160, 161		6.97
			162		2.28
			164		0.67
			165		2.05
			166		7.21
			355		1.67
			356		2.67
			357		2.37
			OP/o 358		26.83
			OP/o 359		6.17
			P/o 360		0.23
			362		10.83
			363		6.33
			364, 369		9.25
			365		4.54
			366		3.16
			368		8.29
			369		0.82

S. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres
3.	Sehore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur (Bhopal)— <i>contd.</i>	Berkhera Pathani— <i>contd.</i>	370	0.54
				371	2.92
				376	2.22
				377	2.78
			p/o	496	4.52
			p/o	497	2.14
				498	12.29
			p/o	499	1.10
			p/o	504	0.98
			p/o	505	2.59
			p/o	529/148/2	6.50
				531/355	2.00
			p/o	100	0.35
				102	0.23
				103	0.76
				104	0.12
				106	0.51
			p/	138	0.08
			p/o	139	1.00
			op/o	149	1.17
			op/o	154	0.66
			p/o	159	0.45
				163	0.14
			op/o	360	0.23
				361	0.29
			p/o	503	0.30
				509/156	0.77
				515/355	0.18
			Siddiquipura	1	36.44
				2	1.13
				3, 5	65.82
				4	3.21
				6	2.75
				7	7.04
				8	0.55
				9	0.93
				10	0.15
				11	0.95
				12	7.11
				13	0.22
				14	2.74
				15	0.73
				16	1.46
				17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22	17.05
				23	10.03
				24, 43, 44	8.23
				25	0.67
				26	0.45
				27	0.30
				28	2.55
				29	0.40
				30	4.61
				31	1.32
				32	2.93
				33	1.39
				34	6.74
				35	10.06
				36	0.34
				37	16.76
				38	1.22
				39	1.90
				40	0.92
				41	1.10
				42	0.31
				45	4.51

S. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres
4.	Sehore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur (Bhopal)— <i>contd.</i>	Siddiquipura <i>contd.</i>	46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56/41 57/32 58/12 59/37 60/1 61/52 62/12 63/32 64/32 65/39	10.41 4.46 0.37 5.85 1.92 0.12 0.67 9.83 0.13 0.49 0.50 1.08 0.04 3.38 4.75 0.12 0.51 0.63 0.36 4.93
			Piplia	1	1.45
			Pandekhan	2/1 2/2/1 2/2/2 2/2/3 2/2/4	45.39 5.00 5.00 3.00 14.80
			P.O.	3 4 5 6 7 8/1, 9/2 8/2, 9/1 10 11 12 13 18	1.36 29.80 12.55 3.05 0.31 2.05 5.50 1.10 0.24 0.90 5.80 1.66
			P.O.	19	0.14
			P.O.	20 76 77 78 79 80	4.05 9.35 1.07 3.93 0.97 5.35
			op.o.	81	0.60
			p.o.	82 126/90 131/80	4.83
				83 84	5.32 0.20
			p/o	87	0.30
			p/o	91 92 93 124/2 134/76 135/76 13/2 15 16	0.38 0.56 0.25 3.02 1.40 2.00 10.23 2.70 20.26
			p/o	18/2	2.03
			p/o	20/2 21 23	4.17 2.14 0.56

S. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres
5.	Sehore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzar (Bhopal) <i>contd.</i>	Piplia Pande- khan— <i>contd.</i>	24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 34 36 37, 38, 39 41 42 43, 44 45 47, 48, 128/42 50 51 52 53 54 56 57 58 59 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70, 75 71 72 73 76 82, 126/90, 131/80 83 84 85 86 89 90 92 93 94 96 98 99 101 102 103 104 106 107 108 109 110 112 113 114	0.39 1.04 4.34 0.17 0.31 0.27 0.98 1.81 0.29 0.44 2.50 17.33 10.95 1.21 1.15 2.87 7.01 0.40 0.27 0.77 0.55 0.33 0.08 0.35 0.39 0.65 0.19 0.13 0.25 0.51 0.61 0.05 0.54 0.59 0.68 2.20 0.74 0.78 0.63 2.90 0.10 1.25 0.53 4.69 0.54 0.59 1.03 0.65 1.84 0.52 0.09 26.04 5.03 2.03 5.72 2.54 0.47 0.52 2.67 1.03 5.23 1.41 1.01 0.22 0.42

S. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres				
5	Sehore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur (Bhopal)— <i>contd.</i>	Piplia Pandekhan— <i>contd.</i>	115	0.80				
				116	1.03				
				118	0.62				
				120/101	1.83				
				121/52	0.43				
				122/26	2.76				
				123/41	8.75				
				127/49	2.12				
				129/22	0.12				
				130/84	0.44				
				132/36	0.27				
				133/76	1.55				
				134/76	0.28				
				2/2/1	6.40				
				OP/o	3	0.20			
					14	0.18			
					17	0.14			
				OP/o	19	0.15			
					22	1.05			
					33	0.21			
					35	0.99			
					40	0.86			
					46	0.20			
					49	2.03			
					55	1.36			
					60	0.08			
					74	0.89			
				OP/o	81	0.15			
				opo	87	0.69			
					88	0.38			
				OP/o	91	0.43			
					95	0.11			
					97	0.67			
					100	1.94			
					105	0.52			
					111	0.79			
					117	0.39			
					119	0.32			
				6	,,	,,	Bagh-Sewanla	125/2	1.04
								11/1	4.87
								11/2, 21/2	4.53
								12	0.21
								13	3.40
								14	1.95
								15	7.58
								16	1.16
								17	4.75
18	4.17								
19	94.52								
20	1.08								
21/1	37.40								
22	26.40								
P/o	23	0.95							
	24	9.04							
	25	5.44							
	26	3.61							
P/o	27	5.00							
P/o	28	3.88							
P/o	30	0.12							
P/o	31	1.00							
P/o	36	0.10							
	49/1	3.40							
P/o	52, 59	1.45							
P/o	53, 54	0.36							
P/o	55	0.10							
P/o	56	0.20							

S No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra No.	Area in Acres
	Sehor (contd.)	Huzur (Bhopal) (contd.)	Bagh-Sewanla --(contd)		
			P/o	57	0.50
				58	5.33
				60	0.96
				61	1.28
				62	2.83
				63	3.93
				64	2.36
				65	6.64
			P/o	66, 67	1.20
			P/o	302/56	0.12
				303/64	0.17
				306/19	53.78
				307/19	0.30
				308/21	2.34
				318/11	2.24
			opo	27	0.40
			opo	28	1.70
				29	4.13
			oP/o	31	1.08
				35	4.25
			P/o	36/1	8.94
				36/2	9.00
				36/3	9.00
				36/4	9.00
				37	2.23
				38	4.90
				39	1.34
				40	0.91
				41	2.08
				42	1.34
				44	0.69
				46/2	0.54
				47	7.66
				49/2	4.16
				50	1.26
				51	9.08
			opo	52, 59	10.96
			opo	53, 54	9.17
			opo	55	1.02
			opo	56	0.10
			op/o	60	0.20
			opo	66, 67	13.32
				68, 297/68	13.18
				69, 70	8.93
				71	2.56
				72	3.24
				73	2.50
				74	11.04
				87	0.50
				88	5.56
				89	5.47
				91	2.95
				92	0.52
				93	4.07
				95	7.54
				96, 97, 98	8.03
				99, 104	9.16
				101, 102,	2.81
				317/101	
				105	2.14
				106	11.96
				107	5.46
				108	0.87
				109	1.38
				111	6.60
				112	8.61

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres
6	Sehore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur(Bhopal) (<i>contd.</i>).	Bagh-Sewania — <i>contd.</i>	113	1.00
				116	5.99
				117	7.54
				118	12.64
				119	3.30
				120	0.93
				121	0.66
				122	0.93
				123	0.81
				124	2.49
				125	5.06
				137	0.52
				138	0.36
				291/76	0.65
				292/76	0.17
				310/107	0.27
				315/73	0.45
				316/101	0.11
				320/44	19.02
			p/o	23	0.59
			p/o	30	0.42
			p/o	43	0.31
				45	2.70
				46/1	19.06
				48	0.52
			o po	49/1	9.29
				75	9.50
				86	1.77
				90	0.43
				94	0.77
				100	1.09
				103	0.07
				110	0.36
			p/o	114	0.10
			P/o	126	0.87
			P/o	127	0.50
			oP/o	302/56	0.63
			P/o	305/114	0.10
7	"	"	Shahpur.	1	0.95
				2	14.32
				3	47.90
				4	30.00
				5	40.00
				6	28.94
				7	9.04
				8	39.76
				9	31.64
				10	30.61
				11	18.83
				12	40.00
				13	16.74
				14	23.15
				15	32.54
				16	40.00
				17	50.00
				18	50.00
				19	40.00
				20	30.00
				21	30.00
				22	30.74
				248	2.70
				291/15	1.66
				292/11	1.43
				293/10	1.09
				294/8	2.32
				295/6	0.60
				308/300/23	0.04

S. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	of	Khasra No.	Area in Acres.
8	Schore (contd).	Huzur (Bhopal) (contd).	Bhopal city	P/o	954	10.43
					1522	6.20
					1523	0.49
					1524	30.60
					1525	2.02
					1527	34.01
					1528	34.45
					1529	35.06
					1530	1.00
9	Do.	Do.	Govindpura		50	89.35
10	Do.	Do.	Kolwa Kalan		188	39.96
					189	0.42
11	Do.	Do.	Amrawad Khurd.		127	2.32
12	Do.	Do.	Ahmadpur Kalan.		42,45	16.21
					43	0.63
					44	7.68
					46,82	11.07
					84	0.26
					134/45	1.26
					47	2.44
					83	1.25
13	Do.	Do.	h juri lin	P/o	24	0.34
				P/o	62	6.65
				P/o	63	5.15
				P/o	65	10.36
				P/o	67	6.30
				P/o	68	13.65
				P/o	71	0.20
					84	1.31
				P/o	85	3.00
					86	5.25
					87	2.25
					88	3.43
					89	6.39
					90/1	8.08
					90/2	9.60
					91	3.38
					92	3.32
					93	2.01
					94	4.89
					95	1.57
					96	0.26
					97	1.08
					98	1.81
					99	2.55
					100	3.31
				P/o	101	1.20
				P/o	102	2.25
				P/o	123	0.05
				P/o	124	0.85
				P/o	125	0.20
				P/o	126	0.05
				P/o	127	3.00
					128	7.19
					130	0.25
					131	0.69
					132	3.68
					133	3.45
					134	3.82
					135	1.14
					136	8.10
					137	2.72
				P/o	138	9.00

S. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasra Nos.	Area in Acres.
13	Schore (contd).	Huzur (Bhopal) (contd).	Khajuri Kalan — (contd.)	P/o 25 P/o 26 P/o 61 P/o 64 P/o 66 107 P/o 107 P/o 12	1.35 0.29 0.90 0.57 0.50 1.16 0.15 0.71
14	Do.	Do.	Narela Shankari	250 252 253	1.39 2.76 1.43
15	Do	Do.	Bagh Mungali	P/o 33 P/o 39 40 41 42 43 45 46 44 486/39	1.64 12.00 3.14 2.58 0.93 0.97 1.44 0.34 1.24 0.23
16	Do.	Do	Lahar Pur.	1 2 3 4 6 7 9, 11 10 12 13 14 15 16 18 19 21, 22, 24/2 23 24/1 25 26 27 28 29 30 32 34 35 36 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 141 142 143 144, 145 146	5.44 2.75 4.70 0.38 1.50 2.89 4.79 3.25 3.13 1.77 2.20 1.30 1.06 1.23 1.29 0.77 0.55 0.71 0.10 0.34 0.60 2.16 0.25 0.31 0.25 0.17 0.34 0.27 3.73 0.09 0.99 2.74 4.37 0.53 1.41 0.62 0.18 0.09 0.63 0.13 0.82 4.34 1.08

S. No.	Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khas Nos.	Area in Acres
16	Schore— <i>contd.</i>	Huzur (Bhopal)— <i>contd.</i>	Labar Pur	147	0.23
				148	0.05
			P/o	149, 154	5.00
				151	2.15
				152	0.28
				153	0.30
			P/o	155	1.52
				156	2.11
			P/o	157	0.75
			P/o	158	0.30
				159	0.34
				160	0.45
				161	0.06
				162	0.08
				163	0.57
				164	0.23
				165	0.17
				166	0.70
			P/o	167	0.12
			P/o	170	0.35
			P/o	172	0.62
				173	0.31
				238/28	0.16
				5	0.60
				8	0.85
				17	0.61
				20	0.14
				31	0.44
				33	0.07
				37	0.11
			P/o	129	1.50
				140	0.35
				150	0.73
				239/1	0.
				241/3	1.

[No. 10-5/69-HEM.]

K. S. RANGAMURTI, Director.

(Department of Industrial Development)

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 6th February 1970

S.O. 639.—In pursuance of sub-regulations (2) and (3) of regulation 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Indian Standard(s), particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been established during the period 1 to 31 January, 1970 :

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. and Title of the Indian Standard Established	No. and Title of the Indian Standard or Standards, if any, superseded by the new Indian Standard.	Brief Particulars
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
I.	IS : 226-1969 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) (<i>fourth revision</i>)	*IS : 226-1962 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) (<i>third revision</i>)	This standard covers the requirements for mild steel (standard quality) designated at St. 42-S for use in structural work. The steel may also be supplied in copper-bearing quality in which case it shall be designated as St 42-SC. (Price Rs. 4.00)

*For purposes of ISI Certification Marks Scheme, IS : 226-1962 shall run concurrently with IS : 226-1969 upto 31 May 1970.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
2	IS : 277-1969 Specification for galvanized steel sheets (plan and corrugated) (<i>second revision</i>)	*IS : 277-1962 Specification for galvanized steel sheets (plan and corrugated) (<i>revised</i>)	This standard covers the requirements for the following four classes of plain and corrugated galvanized steel sheets produced by hot-dip process : Class 1 Extra heavy coating of zinc, nominal 750 g/m ² Class 2 Heavy coating of zinc, nominal 600 g/m ² Class 3 Medium coating of zinc, nominal 450 g/m ² Class 4 Light coating of zinc, nominal 375 g/m ² (Price Rs. 4.00)
3.	IS : 278-1969 Specification for galvanized steel barbed wire for fencing (<i>second revision</i>)	*IS : 278-1962 Specification for galvanized steel barbed wire for fencing (<i>revised</i>)	This standard covers the requirements for two types of galvanized steel barbed wire with two strands of wire. (Price Rs. 2.50)
4.	IS : 1466-1969 Specification for ferrovanadium (<i>first revision</i>).	IS : 1466-1960 Specification for ferrovanadium	This standard covers the requirements for ferrovanadium commonly used in the iron and steel industry. (Price Rs. 2.00)
5.	IS : 1470-1969 Specification for silicomanganese (<i>first revision</i>)	IS : 1470-1960 Specification for silicomanganese	This standard covers the requirements for silicomanganese commonly used in the iron and steel industry (Price Rs. 2.00)
6.	IS : 1512-1969 Tests and general requirements for IF transformers and RF coils (<i>first revision</i>)	IS : 1512-1959 Tests and general requirements for I.F. transformers and R.F. coils used in amplitude modulation broadcast receivers.	This standard lays down the methods of test and general requirements applicable to intermediate frequency (IF) transformers and radio frequency (RF) coils used in electronic and telecommunication equipment (Price Rs. 7.50)
7.	IS : 1885 (Part VII/Sec. 4)—1969 Electrotechnical vocabulary Part VII semiconductor devices Section 4 thyristors.	..	This standard covers terms & definitions applicable to thyristors. (Price Rs. 5.50).
8.	IS : 1977-1969 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality) (<i>first revision</i>)	†IS : 1977-1962 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality)	This standard covers the requirements for two grades of mild steel (ordinary quality) designated as St 32-0 (see IS : 1762-1961) and St 42-0 (see IS : 1762-1961). Steel St 32-0 is intended for general engineering purposes and steel St 42-0 is intended for use in structural work. (Price Rs. 4.00)

*For purposes of ISI Certification Marks Scheme, IS : 277-1962 and IS : 278-1962 shall run concurrently with IS : 277-1969 and IS : 278-1969 upto 30 April 1970 and 28 February 1970 respectively.

†For purposes of ISI Certification Marks scheme, IS : 1977-1962 shall run concurrently with IS : 1977-1969 upto 31 May 1970.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
9. IS : 2032 (Part X) —1969 Graphical symbols used in electrotechnology Part X measuring instruments.	—		This standard covers graphical symbols for measuring instruments. (Price Rs. 6.00)
10. IS : 2102-1969 Allowable deviations for dimensions without specified tolerances (first revision)	..		This standard lays down the allowable deviations for dimensions up to 20,000 mm which have not been tolerated. (Price Rs. 3.50)
11. IS : 2769-1969 Sizes for squares and square holes for general engineering purposes (first revision)	IS : 2769-1964 sizes for squares and square holes for general engineering purposes		Dimensions and tolerances for square and square holes for hand wheels, spindles and such other general engineering purposes. (Price Rs. 3.00)
12. IS : 2831-1969 Specification for carbon steel billets for re-rolling into structural steel (ordinary quality) (first revision)	‡IS : 2831-1962 Specification for carbon steel billets for re-rolling into structural steel (ordinary quality)		This standard covers the requirements for two grades of carbon steel billets for re-rolling into structural steel (ordinary quality) conforming to IS : 1977-1969 designated as St 32-OB and St. 42-08. Steel billets may also be supplied in the copper-bearing quality and shall be designated as St. 32-OBC and St 42-OBC. (Price Rs. 2.00)
13. IS : 3308-1969 Specification for wood wool building slabs	..		This standard lays down the requirements, such as dimensions, weight and strength for wood wool building slabs. (Price Rs. 2.50)
14. IS : 3400 (Part X) — 1969 Methods of test for vulcanized rubbers Part X compression set at constant strain.	..		This standard prescribes a method of test for determining the compression set characteristics of vulcanized rubbers of hardness within the range of 30 to 94 IRHDI, at constant strain under one of the four alternative sets of conditions prescribed in clause 6.1. (Price Rs. 2.00)
15. IS : 3632-1969 Method of test for determination of wet volume of asbestos fibre	..		This standard prescribes a method of test designed to appraise all grades of asbestos fibre in terms of its buoyancy in water. (Price Rs. 2.00)
16. IS : 3842 (Part V)—1968 Application guide for electrical relays for a/c systems Part V distance protection relays	..		This guide deals with the application of distance relays for a/c systems, covered by IS : 3231-1965. It applies only to feeders and transmission lines. (Price Rs. 10.50)

*For purposes of ISI Certification Marks scheme IS : 2831-1962 shall run concurrently with IS : 2831-1969 upto 30 April 1970.

1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
17.	IS : 3885 (Part II)—1969 Specification for steel for the manufacture of lami- nated springs (railway rolling stock) Part II Rib and groove sections	..	This standard covers the re- quirements for hot rolled steel rib and groove sections intended to be used for the manufacture of laminated springs for railway rolling stock. (Price Rs. 3.50)
18.	IS : 5089-1969 Specification for blade plate, blount type	..	Dimensions and requirements for blount type blade plate suitable for 4-mm diameter screws. (Price Rs. 3.00)
19.	IS : 5093-1969 Dimensions for locating pins (round)	..	Dimensions and requirements for locating pins (round) (Price Rs. 3.00)
20.	IS : 5180-1969 Specification for needles, hypodermic, dental	..	Dimensions and requirements of the interchangeable and cartridge type of hypoder- mic dental needles. (Price Rs. 3.00)
21.	IS : 5206-1969 Specification for corrosion-resisting chro- mium and chromium-nickel steel covered electrodes for manual metal arc welding.	..	This specification covers the requirements for covered chromium and chromium- nickel steel electrodes yield- ing deposited weld metal in which chromium exceeds 4 per cent and nickel does not exceed 30 per cent (Price Rs. 8.00)
22.	IS:5226-1969 Specification for forceps, eye, corneo-scleral disc (Elliot's patterns)	..	Dimensional and other re- quirements of corneo-scleral disc forceps (Elliot's pattern) used in eye surgery. (Price Rs. 3.00)
23.	IS : 5227-1969 Specification for flasks, dental	..	Dimensions and requirements of dental flasks for lower and upper dentures. (Price Rs. 3. 00)
24.	IS : 5230 1969 Code of practice for construction of continuous to and fro move- ment bicable ropeways in- tended for the transpor- tation of passengers	..	This standard covers the construction of continuous movement to and fro bicable ropeways intended for the transportation of passengers. In the system considered, the wire ropes which sup- port the vehicles (cabins, platforms, etc.), constitute the track of the overhead transport. Each vehicle is supported on a specific wire rope and is animated by to and fro movements corresponding to the arrivals and departures. The wire ropes which support the vehicles are distinct from those which assure their movement (Price Rs. 6.50)
25.	IS : 5231-1969 Specification for forceps, eye, tarsal cyst (Greene's pattern)	..	Dimensional and other require- ments of tarsal cyst forceps (Greene's pattern) used in eye surgery. (Price Rs. 3.00)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
26.	IS 5232-1969 Specification for forceps, eye, cilia	..	Dimensional and other requirements of cilia forceps used in eye surgery (Price Rs. 3.00)
27.	IS 5233-1969 Specification for forceps, eye, intra-capsular (Arruga's and Elschning's patterns)	..	Dimensional and other requirements of intra-capsular forceps used in eye surgery (Price Rs. 3.00)
28.	IS : 5234-1969 Specification for forceps, eye, capsule (Couper's pattern)	..	Dimensional and other requirements of capsule forceps (Couper's pattern) used in eye surgery. (Price Rs. 3.00)
29	IS : 5235-1969 Specification for rain gauge, recording	..	This standard specifies the requirements for natural siphon type recording rain gauges. (Price Rs. 6.50)
30.	IS : 5245 (Part I)—1969 Methods for splicing of wire ropes Part I hand splicing of wire ropes	..	This standard covers different types of hand splicing methods of hand splicing and tools for splicing. (Price Rs. 7.50)
31.	IS : 5247-1969 Specification for converted timber (coniferous) for packing cases, crates and light furniture.	..	This standard covers the species of timber suitable for manufacture of heavy and light packing cases, crates, light furniture and similar works and specifies the requirements of such timber in converted form (planks and scantling), (Price Rs. 2.50)
32.	IS : 5253-1969 Guidelines for cleaning and sterilizing dairy equipment	..	This standard recommends the choice of detergents and sterilisers, cleaning and sterilizing procedures, and tests for checking for the cleaning of dairy equipment, dairy floors and dairy walls. These recommendations are applicable at all levels of milk handling and processing, namely, village level, fluid milk handling plant and special dairy equipment in milk products manufacturing establishments. (Price Rs. 8.50)
33.	IS 5255-1969 Specification for portable poultry feeders	..	This standard deals with the basic requirements and the tests for portable feeders suitable for use in both intensive and semi-intensive feeding system for the rearing of poultry. (Price Rs. 2.00)
34.	IS : 5274-1969 Recommended practice for hot-dip tinning of plain carbon steel	..	This standard recommends important guide-lines for general hot-dip tinning of plain carbon steel (Price Rs. 4.00)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
35. IS : 5277-1969 Specification for dichlorvos emulsifiable concentrates	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for dichlorvos emulsifiable concentrates containing varying percentages of dichlorvos, technical (Price Rs. 6.00)	
36. IS : 5279-1969 Specification for dicofol emulsifiable concentrates	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for dicofol emulsifiable concentrates used in the control of acarine pests of agricultural importance. (Price Rs. 5.50)	
37. IS : 5280-1969 Specification for fenitrothion, technical]	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for fenitrothion, technical, employed in the preparation of insecticidal formulations. (Price Rs. 5.50)	
38. IS : 5287-1969 Specification for country spirit	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for plain country spirit; it excludes spiced country spirit. (Price Rs. 2.00),	
39. IS : 5303-1969 Specification for zircon flour for use in foundries	..	This standard covers the requirements for zircon flour for use in foundries, (Price Rs. 2.00)	
40. IS : 5304-1969 Specification for nylon fabric for sea-mine parachutes	..	This standard covers the requirements of nylon fabric used in the fabrication of sea-mine, parachutes, both main and auxiliary. (Price Rs. 2.50)	
41. IS : 5305-1969 Method for volumetric determination of phosphorus	..	This standard prescribes the method for the volumetric determination of phosphorus by using (a) ammonium molybdate, and (b) quinoline (Price Rs. 2.50)	
42. IS : 5306-1969 Specification for sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, food grade	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and methods of sampling and test for sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) for use as food additive. (Price Rs. 4.00)	
43. IS : 5308-1969 Specification for slotted countersunk head and slotted raised countersunk head screws, small head series (dial. 6 to 6 mm)	..	This standard covers the requirements for slotted countersunk head and slotted raised countersunk head screws of small head in the diameter range (Price Rs. 2.50)	
44. IS : 5310-1969 Specification for electrically-heated poultry incubators	..	This standard lays down the requirements and the performance tests of electrically-heated incubators for poultry (Price Rs. 5.50)	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
45. IS : 5334-1969 Code of practice for magnetic particle flaw detection of welds	..	This standard covers the recommended procedure for the detection of flaws in welds by magnetic particle inspection. The method is applicable only to ferromagnetic materials in which the weld metal is also ferromagnetic. (Price Rs. 2.50).	
46. IS : 5344-1969 Specification for butylated hydroxytoluene, food grade	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) (Price Rs. 3.50)	
47. IS : 5346-1969 Specification for coal tar food colour preparations	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for coal tar food colour preparations. (Price Rs. 2.00)	
48. IS : 5353-1969 Specification for screen luminance for the projection of 35 mm film on matt and directional screens	.	This standard recommends the screen luminance [(brightness) for the projection of 35 mm black-and-white and colour cinematograph film on matt white screens and on directional screens. It is intended to be applicable to the types of screen that are in general use at the present time. (Price Rs. 4.00)	
49. IS : 5355-1969 Specification for oxygen flowmeter (dry bobbin type) for therapy purposes	..	This standard lays down the requirements for flowmeter (dry bobbin type) used with oxygen therapy apparatus (Price Rs. 2.00)	
50. IS : 5381-1969 Quantity packaging of sensitised photographic materials	..	This standard prescribes the system of quantity packaging ranges for sensitized photographic materials in sheets in association with size ranges. (Price Rs. 1.50)	
51. IS : 5383-1969 Specification for tooth powder	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and testing for tooth powder. (Price Rs. 4.00)	
52. IS : 5421-1969 Glossary of terms relating to test sieves and test sieving	..	<p>This standard includes various terms relating to test sieve and test sieving. These terms for the purpose of presentation have been classified into the following main items :</p> <p>(a) Materials to be sieved, (b) Test sieves, (c) Test sieving, and (d) Expression of results. (Price Rs. 2.50)</p>	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
53.	IS : 5449-1969 Methods for determination of water soluble chromate in textile materials	..	This standard prescribes methods for determination of water soluble chromium (expressed as sodium chromate or potassium dichromate) present in textile materials. (Price Rs. 2.50)

Copies of these Indian Standards are available for sale with the Indian Standards Institutions Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-1 and also its branch offices at (i) 534-Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel Road, Bombay-7 (ii) 5, Chowringhee Approach Road, Calcutta-13 (iii) 54, General Patters Road, Madras-2 (iv) 117/418-B, Sarvodaya Nagar, Kanpur and (v) 5-9-201/2, Chirag Ali Lane, Hyderabad-1.

[NO. CMD/13:2]

S.O. 640.—In pursuance of the provisions of sub-rule (2) of Rule 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Rules, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the following schedule, have been established during the quarter ending 31 December 1969.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No. (1)	No. of Indian Standard (2)	Title of Indian Standard (3)
1	IS: 77-1968	Specification for linseed oil, boiled, for paints (<i>first revision</i>).
2	IS: 276-1969	Specification for austenitic manganese steel castings (<i>second revision</i>).
3	IS: 333-1969	Specification for potassium permanganate (<i>first revision</i>).
4	IS: 348-1968	Specification for french polish (<i>first revision</i>).
5	IS: 567-1969	Specification for disodium phosphate, anhydrous (<i>first revision</i>).
6	IS: 571-1969	Specification for monosodium phosphate (<i>first revision</i>).
7	IS: 583-1969	Specification for ankle boots for general purposes (<i>first revision</i>).
8	IS: 884-1969	Specification for first-aid hose-reel for fire fighting (for fixed installations).
9	IS: 952-1969	Specification for fognozzle for fire brigade use.
10	IS: 1005-1969	Specification for edible maize starch (corn flour) (<i>first revision</i>).
11	IS: 1066-1969	Code for packaging of sewing threads.
12	IS: 1084-1969	Specification for manila ropes (<i>second revision</i>).
13	IS: 1091-1968	Specification for cellulose nitrate (<i>first revision</i>).
14	IS: 1110-1969	Specification for ferrosilicon (<i>second revision</i>).
15	IS: 1200 (Part I) 1969.	Method of measurement of building and civil engineering work Part I earth work (<i>second revision</i>).
16	IS: 1200 (Part XV) 1968	Method of measurement of building and civil engineering work Part XV painting (<i>second revision</i>).
17	IS: 1222-1969	Specification for ink, duplicating, for twin cylinder rotary machines (<i>first revision</i>).
18	IS: 1885 (Part XXVII)-1969	Electrotechnical vocabulary Part XXVII static power converters.
19	IS: 2222-1969	Specification for burnt-clay perforated building bricks (<i>first revision</i>).
20	IS: 2248-1969	Glossary of terms relating to structural clay products.
21	IS: 2581-1968	Indian standard specification for round strand galvanised steel wire ropes for shipping purposes (<i>first revision</i>).
22	IS: 2544-1969	Specification for high tensile steel castings (<i>first revision</i>).
23	IS: 2720 (Part XXXI)-1969	Method of test for soils Part XXXI field determination of California bearing ratio.

(1) (2) (3)

- 24 IS: 2916 (Part I)-1969 Specification for quartz crystal units used in oscillators, Part I general requirements and tests.
- 25 IS: 3003 (Part II)-1969 Specification for carbon brushes for electrical machines Part II dimensions of chamfers, bevels and terminations.
- 26 IS: 3149-1968 Specification for earware for home use (*first revision*).
- 27 IS: 3420-1969 Procedure for inspection and testing of aluminium and aluminium alloys, sheets and strip (for aircraft purposes).
- 28 IS: 3427-1969 Specification for metal-enclosed switchgear and control gear for voltages above 1000 V but not exceeding 11000 V.
- 29 IS: 4031-1968 Methods of physical tests for hydraulic cement.
- 30 IS: 4043-1969 Recommendations for symbolic designation of direction of closing and faces of doors, windows and shutters.
- 31 IS: 4332 (Part VIII)-1969 Methods of test for stabilized soils Part VIII Determination of lime content of lime stabilized soils.
- 32 IS: 4651 (Part IV)-1969 Code of practice for design and construction of dock and harbour structures Part IV sheet pile retaining walls.
- 33 IS: 4864-1968 to IS: 4870-1968 Specifications for shell flanges for vessels and equipment.
- 34 IS: 4910 (Part III)-1969 Methods of test for tyre yarns, cords and tyre cord fabrics made from man-made fibres Part III dip pick up.
- 35 IS: 4914-1968 Specification for tap wrenches, adjustable.
- 36 IS: 4917-1968 Specification for tap wrenches, fixed.
- 37 IS: 4921-1968 Specification for extension bars.
- 38 IS: 4985-1968 Specification for unplasticized pvc pipes for potable water supplies.
- 39 IS: 4991-1968 Criteria for blast resistant design of structures for explosions above ground.
- 40 IS: 5000 (OB3)-1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices base outline OB3.
- 41 IS: 5000 (OB7)-1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices base outline OB7.
- 42 IS: 5000 (OC2)-1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices case outline OC2.
- 43 IS: 5000 (OC3)-1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices case outline OC3.
- 44 IS: 5000 (OC4)-1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices case outline OC4.
- 45 IS: 5000 (OD5)-1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices device outline OD5.
- 46 IS: 5000 (OD9)-1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices device outline OD9.
- 47 IS: 5030-1969 Specification for flexible-back metal cutting bandsaw blades.
- 48 IS: 5031-1969 Specification for metal slitting saws.
- 49 IS: 5082-1969 Specification for wrought aluminium and aluminium alloy bars, rods, tubes and sections for electrical purposes.
- 50 IS: 5086-1969 Specification for stencil paper.
- 51 IS: 5090-1969 Specification for blade plate, moore type.
- 52 IS: 5091-1969 Dimensions for circular base plates.
- 53 IS: 5092-1969 Dimensions for square and rectangular base plates.
- 54 IS: 5095-1969 Dimensions for end locating plugs.
- 55 IS: 5111-1969 Code of practice and measurement procedure for testing refrigerant compressors.
- 56 IS: 5115-1969 Specification for domestic storage type water heaters for use with LPG.
- 57 IS: 5131-1969 Specification for dividing breeching, with control, for firebrigade use.
- 58 IS: 5132-1969 Specification for hose reel tubing for fire fighting.
- 59 IS: 5133 (Part II)-1969 Specification for boxes for the enclosure of electrical accessories Part II boxes made of insulating material.
- 60 IS: 5142-1969 Specification for continuously variable voltage auto-transformers.
- 61 IS: 5149-1969 Specification for maleic anhydride, technical.
- 62 IS: 5156-1969 Specification for colorimeter cup, duboscq type.
- 63 IS: 5158-1969 Specification for phthalic anhydride, technical.
- 64 IS: 5159-1969 Specification for mains-operated electric shavers.
- 65 IS: 5160-1969 Specification for mains-operated synchronous clocks.
- 66 IS: 5161-1969 Specification for flexible electric heating pads for domestic use
- 67 IS: 5164-1969 Specification for iso Borneol.
- 68 IS: 5168-1969 Specification for glass feeding bottles.

(1)	(2)	(3)
69	IS: 5169-1969	Specification for hacksaw frames.
70	IS: 5178-1969	Specification for gingival margin trimmers, dental, No. GMT 1/2, 3/4, 5/6 and 7/8.
71	IS: 5179-1969	Specification for pluggers root canal, dental, No. PLG 1, 2 and 3.
72	IS: 5183-1969	Guide for treatment of effluents of tanning industry.
73	IS: 5184-1969	Code of safety for hydrofluoric acid.
74	IS: 5185-1969	Specification for particulators, dental.
75	IS: 5186-1969	Criteria for design of chute and side channel spillways.
76	IS: 5188-1969	Specification for cold polymerized oil-extended raw styrene-butadiene rubber.
77	IS: 5189-1969	Specification for cold polymerized raw styrenebutadiene rubber.
78	IS: 5190-1969	Code for Packaging of natural rubber latex in drums.
79	IS: 5191-1969	Specification for sodium alginate, food grade.
80	IS: 5192-1969	Specification for vulcanized rubber compounds.
81	IS: 5193-1969	Specification for rubber sealing rings for domestic fruit and vegetable preserving jars.
82	IS: 5194-1969	Method for determination of nitrogen—kajeldahl method.
83	IS: 5195-1969	Specification for paper stationery items for schools.
84	IS: 5195-1969	Specification for chest expander.
85	IS: 5197-1969	Recommendations for layout and planning of drawing offices.
86	IS: 5200-1969	Specification for bolt clippers.
87	IS: 5202-1969	Specification for flat hoisting wire ropes used in mines.
88	IS: 5203-1969	Specification for flat balancing wire ropes used in mines.
89	IS: 5204-1969	Specification for research microscope
90	IS: 5205-1969	Specification for drawing pins.
91	IS: 5207-1969	Specification for brushes, clothes.
92	IS: 5208-1969	Code of safety for acetic acid.
93	IS: 5209-1969	Principles and conventions for flowsheets for coal preparation plant.
94	IS: 5210-1969	Specification for high impact polystyrene sheet.
95	IS: 5212-1969	Specification for burs, crown, dental, straight and curved on flat.
96	IS: 5214-1969	Specification for jumping and vaulting standards.
97	IS: 5215-1969	Specification for desk type fountain pen.
98	IS: 5217-1969	Specification for clamp flask, dental.
99	IS: 5218-1969	Method of test for toughness of natural building stones.
100	IS: 5219(Part I) 1969	Specification for cast copper alloys traps Part I 'T' and 'S' traps.
101	IS: 5220-1969	Specification for forged horn cleats.
102	IS: 5221-1969	Specification for gooseneck pin and bearings for heavy-lift derricks.
103	IS: 5222-1969	Performance requirements for auto-rickshaw meters, distance type.
104	IS: 5223-1969	Methods of test for evaluation of performance of oil expellers.
105	IS: 5224-1969	Specification for oil expellers.
106	IS: 5225-1969	Specification for rain gauge, non-recording.
107	IS: 5228-1969	Code of practice for construction of continuous movement mono-cable ropeways with fixed grips intended for transportation of passengers.
108	IS: 5229-1969	Code of practice for construction of continuous movement mono-cable ropeways with automatic grips intended for transportation of passengers.
109	IS: 5236-1969	Code for transport of pigs by rail and road.
110	IS: 5237-1969	Code for transport of small and medium sized seed-eating birds.
111	IS: 5238 (Part I) 1969	Code for transport of poultry Part I transport of day-old chicks and turkey poults.
112	IS: 5238(Part II) 1969	Code for transport of poultry Part II transport of poultry other than day-old chicks and turkey poults.
113	IS: 5239-1969	Specification for wire rod for the manufacture of aluminized steel core wire for aluminium conductors.
114	IS: 5241-1969	Specification for shoe polish containers.
115	IS: 5242-1969	Method of test for determining shear strength of mild steel.
116	IS: 5243-1969	Specification for tin coated finish for cooking utensils.
117	IS: 5244-1969	Specification for safe deposit locker cabinets.
118	IS: 5246-1969	Specification for coniferous logs.
119	IS: 5248-1969	Specification for teak logs for production of sliced veneers.
120	IS: 5254-1969	Specification for acetanilide.
121	IS: 5257-1969	Specification for eyepiece and screen graticules for determination of particle size of powders.

(1) (2) (3)

122	IS : 5259-1959	Specification for girls' and maids' school shoes.
123	IS: 5259-1959 to IS: 5266-1969	Specification for test films for 16 mm sound-and-picture cinematograph projectors.
124	IS: 5267-1959	Glossary of terms for worn gears.
125	IS: 5268-1969	Specification for camel back type straight edges.
125	IS: 5269-1969	Specification for access hatches for inland vessels.
127	IS: 5270-1959	Specification for rubber grommets for general purposes.
128	IS: 5271-1969	Specification for paraformaldehyde.
129	IS: 5272-1959	Specification for carbon steel sheets for integral coaches.
130	IS: 5273-1969	Specification for radius gauges.
131	IS: 5275-1959	Specification for edible soya flour (expeller pressed).
132	IS: 5276-1959	Specification for edible soya flour (solvent extracted).
133	IS: 5273-1959	Specification for dicofol, technical.
134	IS: 5286-1959	Specification for vodka.
135	IS: 5288-1959	Specification for barium Chloride.
135	IS: 5289-1959	Terminology for magnetic compasses and binnacles.
137	IS: 5292-1959	Grading for safflower seeds for oil milling.
138	IS: 5293-1959	Grading for niger seeds for oil milling.
139	IS: 5294-1959	Grading for Kusum seeds for oil milling.

[NO. CMD/13 : 3]

S. O. 641.—The Certification Marks Licences, details of which are mentioned in the table given below, have lapsed or their renewals deferred:

TABLE

Serial No.	Licence No. and Date	Licensee's Name and Address	Article/Process and the Relevant IS No.	S. O. Number and Date of the Gazette Notifying Grant of Licence	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	CM/L-39 4-11-1957	Rashtriya Metal Industries Ltd., Kurla Road, Andheri East, Bombay-41.	Wrought aluminium utensils, grades SIB, SIC and NS 3—IS: 21-1959.	S.R.O. 3724 dated 23-11-1957	Deferred from 16-11-69 to 31-1-1970.
2	CM/L-358 20-11-1961	Indian Traders Pvt., Ltd. Industrial Area, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi-15 having their Office at Dayal Bldgs, Bhagirath Palace, Chandni Chowk, Delhi-6.	VIR Cables for fixed wiring—IS: 434 (Parts I & II)-1964	S.O. 2940 dated 16-12-1961	Deferred from 1-12-1969 to 15-2-1970.
3	CM/L-382 9-2-1962	Narhari Engg. Works, Shah Industrial Estate, Amboli Hill, Versova Road, Andheri (West) Bombay-58 having their Office at 480 Kalbadevi Road, Bombay-2.	Three phase induction motors upto 7.5 KW (10 HP) only with class 'A' insulation—IS: 325-1961.	S.O. 751 dated 17-3-1962	Deferred after 15-12-1969.
4	CM/L-456 14-9-1962	Grandlay Electricals (India), 456/426, Military Parade Road, Radio Colony, Delhi-9 having their Office at 3/4 Asaf Ali Road, New Delhi-1.	VIR Cables for fixed wiring and VIR flexible cords—IS: 434 (Parts I & II)-1964.	S.O. 1680 dated 22-6-1963	Deferred after 15-12-1969
5	CM/L-807 26-10-1964	Batala Engg. Co. Ltd., Batala (Punjab).	Structural steel (standard quality)—IS: 226-1962	S.O. 4038 dated 28-11-1964	Lapsed after 31-10-1969.
6	CM/L-808 26-10-1964	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)—IS: 1977-1962		
7	CM/L-907 28-11-1964	The Calcutta Jute Mfg. Co. Ltd., 93 Narkeldanga Main Road, Calcutta-11, having their Office at 4, Dalhouse Square, Calcutta-1.	Jute Hessian—IS: 2818-1964 and Hessian bags—IS: 3790-1966	S. O. 79 dated 2-1-1965	Deferred after 30-11-1969.
8	CM/L-908 28-11-1964	Do.	Jute Sackings—IS: 1943-1964, IS: 2566-1965, IS: 2874-1964, IS: 2875-1965, IS: 3667-1966, IS: 3668-1966, IS: 3750-1966, IS: 3751-1966 & IS: 3794-1966.		
9	CM/L-979 21-12-1964	Periyar Metal Products, Industrial Estate, Ettumanoor, Kottayam (Kerala State).	Wrought aluminium utensils, grade SIC—IS: 21-1959	S. O. 273 dated 23-1-1965	Deferred after 31-12-1969.

10	CM/L-1094 8-6-1965	Trichy Steel Rolling Mills Ltd., Santhannipuram, Golden Rock, Trichrapally (Madras).	Structural steel (standard quality)— IS: 226-1962	} S. O. 2403 dated 31-7-1965	Deferred after 15-12-1969.
11	CM/L-1095 8-6-1965	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)— IS: 1977-1962.		
12	CM/L-1155 15-10-1965	Rajasthan Cable Industries P. Ltd., Industrial Area, Kota (Rajasthan).	Valcanized rubber insulated cables and flexible cords— IS: 434 (Parts I & II)—1964	S. O. 3586 dated 20-11-1965	Deferred after 31-10-1969.
13	CM/L-1173 7-12-1965	The Bharat Carbon & Ribbon Mfg. Co. Ltd., 543, Basantlal Shah Road, P. O. New Alipore, Calcutta-53 having their Office at N-75, Bombay Life Bld., Connaught Circus, New Delhi-1.	(i) Carbon papers for typewriters, types 1 & 3—IS: 1551-1959 and (ii) Carbon papers, hand-writing, type C—IS: 3450-1966	S.O. 410 dated 5-2-1966	Deferred after 31-10-1969.
14	CM/L-1241 6-4-1966	Unipol Plastic Industries Pvt. Ltd., Odhav, Distt. Ahmedabad having their Office at Setalvad House, Mirzapur Road, Ahmedabad (Gujarat).	(1) Single core (unsheathed) PVC insulated cables with aluminium conductors 250/440 volts and 650/1100 volts grades (2) Single core (PVC sheathed) PVC insulated cables with aluminium conductors 250/440 volts and 650/1100 volts grade—IS: 694 (Part-II)—1964.	S. O. 1551 dated 28-5-1966	It was deferred after 15-4-1969 and has now to be treated as lapsed after that date.
15	CM/L-1332 16-9-1966	Kanpur Pesticides, Magarwara (Distt. Unao) having their Office at 16/78, Civil Lines, Kanpur.	DDT emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 633-1966	S.O. 3299 dated 5-11-1966	Lapsed after 31-10-1969
16	CM/L-1448 1-6-1967	Bombay Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., 19 Victoria Road, Low Level, Mazagaon, Bombay-10 having their Office at 129 Mahatma Gandhi Road, Fort, Bombay-1.	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1310-1958	} S. O. 2650 dated 5-8-1967	Lapsed after 30-11-1969.
17	CM/L-1449 1-6-1967	Do.	Malathion emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 2567-1963		
18	CM/L-1566 24-11-1967	Keen Pesticides (Pvt.) Ltd., Industrial Estate, Mudical P. O., Via Perumbavoor, Kerala.	BHC water dispersible powder concentrates—IS: 562-1962.	S.O. 4568 dated 23-12-1967	Deferred after 15-12-1969.
19	CM/L-1579 12-12-1967	Ajeet Industrial Corpn., Naliya Pool (Rly. Colony), P.O. Dibrugarh (Assam) having their Office at Jalan Katra, P. O. Dibrugarh, Assam.	Tea-chest metal fittings—IS: 10-1964	S. O. 284 dated 20-1-1968	Lapsed after 15-12-1969.
20	CM/L-1580 12-12-1967	Mysore Iron & Steel Ltd., Bhadravati (Mysore State).	Carbon steel billets for re-rolling into structural steel (standard quality)— IS: 2830-1964	S. O. 284 dated 20-1-1968.	Lapsed after 15-12-1969.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
21	CM/L-1581 12-12-1967	Mysore Iron & Steel Ltd., Phadravati (Mysore State)	Carbon steel billets for re-rolling into structural steel (Ordinary quality)—IS: 2831-1964	S.O. 284 dated 20-1-1968	Lapsed after 15-12-1969
22	CM/L-1810 14-10-1968	Mohatta and Heckel Ltd., Khopoli, Sheel- phate, Distt. Kolaba, Maharashtra.	Steel wire ropes for general engineering purposes—IS: 2266-1963	S. O. 4257 dated 30-11-1968.	Deferred after 15-10-1969.
23	CM/L-1871 23-12-1968	Hindustan Steel Ltd., Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai (M.P.) having their Office at P.O. Hinoo, Ranchi (Bihar).	Carbon steel bars for forgings—IS: 4369-1967	} S.O. 370 dated 25-1-1969	Deferred after 15-12-1969.
24	CM/L-1872 23-12-1968	Do.	Cold twisted steel bars for concrete reinforcement—IS: 1786-1966		

[No. CMD/13:14.]

A. K. GUPTA,
Deputy Director General

**MINISTRY OF HEALTH, FAMILY PLANNING, WORKS, HOUSING AND
URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 2nd February 1970

S.O. 642.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 33 N of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 (23 of 1940), the Central Government, after consultation with the Ayurvedic and Unani Drugs Technical Advisory Board hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Drugs and Cosmetics Rules, 1945, the same having been previously published as required by sub-section (1) of the said section, namely:—

1. **Short title.**—These rules may be called the Drugs and Cosmetics (First Amendment) rules, 1970.

2. In the Drugs and Cosmetics Rules, 1945 (hereinafter referred to as the said Rules), after PART XV, the following Parts shall be inserted, namely:—

PART XVI

Manufacture for sale of Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani Drugs.

151. **Manufacture on more than one set of premises.**—If Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs are manufactured on more than one set of premises, a separate application shall be made and a separate licence shall be obtained in respect of each such set of premises.

152. **Licensing authorities.**—For the purpose of this part the State Government shall appoint such licensing authorities and for such areas as may be specified in this behalf by notification in the Official Gazette.

153. **Application for licence to manufacture Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani Drugs.**—(1) An application for the grant of renewal or a licence to manufacture for sale any Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs shall be made in Form 24-D to the licensing authority alongwith a fee of rupees sixty.

Provided that in the case of renewal the applicant may apply for the renewal of the licence before its expiry or within one month of such expiry:

Provided further that the applicant may apply for renewal after the expiry of one month but within three months of such expiry in which case the fee payable for renewal of such licence shall be rupees sixty plus an additional fee of rupees thirty.

(2) A fee of rupees fifteen shall be payable for a duplicate copy of a licence issued under this rule, if the original licence is defaced, damaged or lost.

154. **Form of licence to manufacture Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs.**—(1) Subject to the conditions of rule 157 being fulfilled, a licence to manufacture for sale any Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs shall be issued in Form 25-D. The licence shall be issued within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the application.

(2) A licence under this rule shall be granted by the licensing authority after consulting such expert in Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani Systems of medicine, as the case may be, which the State Government may approve in this behalf.

155. **Certificate of renewal.**—The certificate of renewal of a licence in Form 25-D shall be issued in Form 26-D.

156. **Duration of licence.**—An original licence in Form 25-D or a renewed licence in Form 26-D, unless sooner suspended or cancelled shall be valid up to the 31st December, of the year following the year in which it is granted or renewed.

Provided that if the application for the renewal of a licence is made before its expiry or within one month of its expiry, or if the application is made within three months of its expiry after payment of the additional fee of rupees thirty, the licence shall continue to be in force until orders are passed on the application. The licence shall be deemed to have expired, if application for its renewal is not made within three months of its expiry.

157. Conditions for the grant or renewal of a licence in Form 25-D.—Before a licence in Form 25-D is granted or renewed in Form 26-D the following conditions shall be complied with by the applicant, namely:—

(1) The manufacture of Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs shall be carried out in such premises and under such hygienic conditions as are specified in Schedule 'T'.

(2) The manufacture of Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs shall be conducted under the direction and supervision of competent technical staff consisting at least one person, who is a wholetime employee and who possesses any of the following qualifications, namely:—

- (a) A degree in Ayurveda or Ayurvedic Pharmacy, Siddha or Unani system of medicine, as the case may be, conferred by a University, a State Government or Statutory Faculties, Councils and Boards of Indian Systems of medicine recognised by the Central Government or a State Government for this purpose, or
- (b) a diploma in Ayurveda, Siddha or Unani system of medicine granted by a State Government or an Institution recognised by the Central Government for this purpose, or
- (c) a graduate in Pharmacy or Pharmaceutical Chemistry or Chemistry or Botany of a University recognised by the Central Government with experience of at least two years in the manufacture of drugs pertaining to the Ayurvedic or Siddha or Unani systems of medicine, or
- (d) a Vaid or Hakim registered in a State Register of Practitioners of indigenous systems of medicines having experience of at least four years in the manufacture of Siddha or Unani Drugs, or
- (e) a qualification as Pharmacist in Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani systems of Medicine possessing experience of not less than eight years in the manufacture of Ayurvedic or Siddha or Unani drugs as may be recognised by the Central Government.

(3) The competent technical staff to direct and supervise the manufacture of Ayurvedic drugs shall have qualifications in Ayurveda and the competent technical staff to direct and supervise the manufacture of Siddha drugs and Unani drugs shall have qualifications in Siddha or Unani, as the case may be.

158. Conditions of licence.—A licence in Form 25-D shall be subject to the conditions stated therein and to the following further conditions, namely:—

- (a) The licensee shall maintain proper records of the details of manufacture and of the tests, if any, carried out by him, or by any other person on his behalf, of the raw materials and finished products.
- (b) The licensee shall allow an Inspector appointed under the Act to enter any premises where the manufacture of a substance in respect of which the licence is issued is carried on, to inspect the premises, to take samples of the raw materials as well as the finished products, and to inspect the records maintained under these rules.

159. Cancellation and suspension of licences.—(1) The licensing authority may, after giving the licensee an opportunity to show cause, within a period which shall not be less than fifteen days from the date of receipt of such notice, why such an order should not be passed, by an order in writing stating the reasons therefore, cancel a licence issued under this Part or suspend it for such period as he thinks fit, either wholly or in respect of some of the drugs to which it relates, if in his opinion, the licensee has failed to comply with any of the conditions of the licence or with any provisions of the Act or the rules made thereunder.

(2) A licensee whose licence has been suspended or cancelled may appeal to the State Government within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the order which shall, after considering the appeal, decide the same.

160. Identification of raw materials.—Raw materials used in the preparation of Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs shall be identified and tested, wherever tests are available, for their genuineness, and records of such tests as are carried out for the purpose and the methods thereof shall be maintained.

PART XVII

Labelling and packing of Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani Drugs.

161. **Manner of labelling.**—(1) There shall be conspicuously displayed on the label of the container or package of an Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drug, the true list of all the ingredients used in the manufacture of the preparation together with the quantity of each of the ingredients incorporated therein and a reference to the method of preparation thereof as detailed in the standard text and Adikarana, as are prescribed in the authoritative books specified in the First Schedule to the Act:

Provided that if the list of ingredients contained in the medicine is large and cannot be accommodated on the label, the same may be printed separately and enclosed with the packing and reference be made to this effect on the label.

(2) The container of a medicine for internal use made up ready for the treatment of human ailments shall, if it is made up from a substance specified in Schedule E(1), be labelled conspicuously with the words 'Caution—to be taken under medical supervision' both in English and Hindi language.

(3) Subject to the other provisions of these rules, the following particulars shall be either printed or written in indelible ink and shall appear in a conspicuous manner on the label of the innermost container of any Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drug and on any other covering in which the container is packed, namely:—

- (i) The name of the drug. For this purpose the name shall be the same as mentioned in the authoritative books included in the First Schedule of the Act.
- (ii) A correct statement of the net content in terms of weight, measure or number as the case may be. The weight and volume shall be expressed in metric system.
- (iii) The name and address of the manufacturer.
- (iv) The number of the licence under which the drug is manufactured, the figure representing the manufacturing licence number being preceded by the words 'Manufacturing Licence Number' or Mfg. Lic. No. or "M.L."
- (v) A distinctive batch number, that is to say, the number by reference to which details of manufacture of the particular batch from which the substance in the container is taken are recorded and are available for inspection, the figure representing the batch number being preceded by the words "Batch No." or "Batch" or Lot Number or "Lot No." or "Lot" or any distinguishing prefix.
- (vi) The date of manufacture. For this purpose the date of manufacture shall be the date of completion of the final products, or the date of bottling or packing for issue.
- (vii) The words "Ayurvedic medicine" or "Siddha medicine" or "Unani medicine" as the case may be.
- (viii) The words "FOR EXTERNAL USE ONLY" if the medicine is for external application.
- (ix) Every drug intended for distribution to the medical profession as a free sample shall, while complying with the labelling provisions under clauses (i) to (viii), further bear on the label of the container the words "Physicians sample". Not to be sold "which shall be over-printed".

(4) Nothing in these rules shall be deemed to require the labelling of any transparent cover or of any wrapper-case or other covering used solely for the purpose of packing, transport or delivery.

PART XVIII

Government Analysts and Inspectors for Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs.

162. **Duties of Inspectors specially authorised to inspect the manufacture of Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs.**—Subject to the instructions of the

controlling authority, it shall be the duty of an Inspector authorised to inspect the manufacture of Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs:—

- (i) to inspect not less than twice a year, all premises licensed for manufacture of Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs within the area allotted to him and to satisfy himself that the conditions of the licence and the provisions of the Act and the rules made thereunder are being observed;
- (ii) to send forthwith to the controlling authority after each inspection a detailed report indicating whether or not the conditions of the licence and the provisions of the Act and the rules made thereunder are being observed;
- (iii) to take samples of the drugs manufactured on the premises and send them for test or analysis in accordance with these rules;
- (iv) to institute prosecutions in respect of violation of the Act and the rules made thereunder.

163. Procedure for despatch of sample to Government Analyst and its receipt by the Government Analyst.—(1) Sample for test or analysis shall be sent to the Government Analyst by registered post or by hand in a sealed package, enclosed together with a memorandum in Form 18-A, in an outer cover addressed to the Government Analyst.

(2) The package as well as the outer cover shall be marked with a distinguishing number.

(3) A copy of the memorandum and a specimen impression of the seal used to seal the package shall be sent by registered post or by hand to the Government Analyst.

(4) On receipt of the package from a Inspector, the Government Analyst or an Officer authorised by him in writing in this behalf shall open the package and shall also record the conditions of the seals on the package.

(5) After the test or analysis has been completed, one copy of the results of the test or analysis shall be supplied forthwith to the sender in Form 13-A. A copy of the result in Form 13-A shall also be sent simultaneously to the Controlling authority and to the Drugs Controller, India.

164. Method of test or analysis to be employed in relation to Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs.—The method of test or analysis to be employed in relation to an Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drug shall be such as may be specified in the Ayurvedic (including Siddha) and Unani Pharmacopoeia, or if no such Pharmacopoeias are available or if no tests are specified in such pharmacopoeias, such tests as the Government Analyst may employ, such tests being scientifically established to determine whether the drug contains the ingredients as stated on the label.

165. Qualification of Government Analyst.—A person who is appointed a Government Analyst under section 33F of the Act shall be a person possessing the qualifications prescribed in rule 44 or a degree in Ayurveda, Siddha or Unani System, as the case may be, conferred by a University, a State Government or Statutory Faculties, Councils and Boards of Indian Systems of Medicine recognised by the Central or State Government, as the case may be, for this purpose and has had not less than three years' post-graduate experience in the analysis of drugs in a laboratory under the control of (i) a Government Analyst appointed under the Act, or (ii) a Chemical Examiner to Government, or (iii) the head of an institution specially approved for the purpose by the appointing authority.

166. Duties of Government Analyst.—(1) The Government Analyst shall analyse or test or cause to be analysed or tested such samples of Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs as may be sent to him by Inspectors or any other persons or authority authorised by the Central Government or a State Government under the provisions of Chapter IVA of the Act and shall furnish reports of the results of test or analysis in accordance with these rules.

(2) A Government Analyst appointed under Section 33F shall from time to time forward to the Government reports giving the result of analytical work and research with a view to their publication at the discretion of the Government.

167. Qualifications of Inspector.—A person who is appointed an Inspector under Section 33G shall have qualifications as laid down under rule 49 and shall

have undergone practical training in the manufacture of Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs or shall have one of the qualifications given below:—

- (a) a degree in Ayurveda or Siddha or Unani system, as the case may be, conferred by a University/a State Government or Statutory Faculties, Councils or Boards of Indian Systems of Medicine recognised by the Central Government or the State Government for this purpose, or
- (b) a diploma in Ayurveda, Siddha or Unani System, as the case may be, granted by a State Government or an Institution recognised by the Central Government or the State Government for this purpose.

3. In Schedule A to the said Rules,—

(i) after Form 13, the following Form shall be inserted, namely:—

“FORM 13-A

[See Rule 163(5)]

Certificate of test or Analysis by Government Analyst under Section 33H of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940

1. Name of Inspector from whom received_____.
 2. Serial No. and date of Inspector's memorandum_____.
 3. Number of sample_____.
 4. Date of receipt_____.
 5. Names of ingredients purporting to have been used in the preparation of the sample_____.
 6. Conditions of seal on the package_____.
 7. Results of test or analysis_____.
- Date_____ Government Analyst_____”;

(ii) After Form 18, the following Form shall be inserted, namely:—

“FORM 18-A

[See Rule 163(1)]

Memorandum to Government Analyst

Serial No.

From

To

The Government Analyst.

The portion of sample/container described below is sent herewith for test or analysis under the provisions of section 33H of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940.

The portion of sample/container has been marked by me with the following mark.

Details of portion of sample or container with name of ingredients from which it is claimed to be made.

Date_____ Inspector_____”;

(iii) After Form 24-C, the following Form shall be inserted, namely:—

“FORM 24-D

[See Rule 133]

Application for the grant/renewal of a licence to manufacture for sale of Ayurvedic/Siddha or Unani drugs.

1. I/We_____of_____hereby apply for the grant/renewal of a licence to manufacture Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs on the premises situated at_____.

2. Names of drugs to be manufactured (with details).

3. Names, qualifications and experience of technical staff employed for manufacture and testing of Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs—

4. A fee of rupees—has been credited to the Government under the head of account—and the relevant Treasury Challan is enclosed herewith.

Date—

Signature—

(applicant)

NOTE:—The application should be accompanied by a Plan of the premises."

(iv) After Form 25-C, the following Form shall be interted, namely.—

"FORM 25-D

[See Rule 154]

Licence to manufacture for sale of Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs.

No. of Licence—

1. —is/are hereby licensed to manufacture the following Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drugs on the premises situated at—under the direction and supervision of the following technical staff:—

(a) Technical staff (Names).

(b) Names of drugs (each item to be separately specified).

2. The licence shall be in force from—to

3. The licence is subject to the conditions stated below and to such other conditions as may be specified in the rules for the time being in force under the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940.

Date of Issue—

Signature—

Designation—

Conditions of Licence

1. The licence and any certificate of renewal in force shall be kept on the approved premises and shall be produced at the request of an Inspector, appointed under the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940.
2. Any change in the technical staff named in the licence shall be reported forthwith to the licensing authority.
3. This licence shall be deemed to extend to such additional items as the licensee may intimate to the licensing authority from time to time, and as may be endorsed by the licensing authority.
4. The licensee shall inform the licensing authority in writing in the event of any change in the constitution of the firm operating under licence. Where any change in the constitution of the firm takes place, the current licence shall be deemed to be valid for a maximum period of three months from the date on which the change takes place unless, in the meantime a fresh licence has been taken from the licensing authority in the name of the firm with the changed constitution."

(v) After Form 26-C, the following Form shall be inserted, namely:—

"FORM 26-D

[See Rule 155]

Certificate of renewal of licence to manufacture for sale of Ayurvedic/Siddha/or Unani Drugs.

1. Certified that Licence No.—granted on the to Shri/Messrs.—for the manufacture of Ayurvedic/Siddha/or Unani drugs at the premises situated at—has been renewed from—to

2. Name of technical staff—

Date—

Signature—

Designation—

4. In the said Rules, after Schedule E, the following Schedule shall be inserted, namely:—

“SCHEDULE E(I)

[See Rule 161(2)]

List of poisonous substances under the Ayurvedic (including Siddha) and Unani Systems of Medicine.

A. Ayurvedic System

I. Drugs of vegetable origin

Ahiphena	Papaver somniferum Linn.
Arka	Chlotropis gigantea (linn.) R.Br.ex Ait.
Bhallataka	Semecarpus anacardium Linn.f
Bhanga	Cannabis sativa Linn.
Danti	Baliospermum montanum Mull.Arg.
Dhattura	Datura metal Linn.
Gunja	Abrus precatorius Linn.
Jaipala (Jayapala)	Croton tiglium Linn.
Karaveera	Nerium indicum Mill.
Langali	Gloriosa superba Linn.
Parasika Yavani	Hyoscyamus niger Linn.
Snuhi	Euphorbia nerifolia Linn.
Vatsanubha	Aconitum chasmanthum Stapfex Holm.
Vishamushthi	Strychnos nuxvomica Linn.
Shringivisha	Aconitum chasmanthum Stapfex Holm.

II. Drugs of Animal Origin

Sarpa Visha	Snake poison
-------------	--------------

III. Drugs of Mineral Origin

Gauripashana	Arnenic
Hartala	Arseno sulphide
Manahshila	Arseno sulphide
Parada	Mercury
Rasa Kurpoora	Hydrargyri subchloridum
Tuttha	Copper sulphate
Hingula	Cinnabar
Sindura	Red oxide of lead
Girisindura	Red oxide of mercury

B. Siddha System

Abini	Papaver somniferum Linn.
Alari	Nerium indicum Mill.
Azhavanam	Lawsonia inermis Linn.
Attru thummatti	Citrullus colocynthis Scharad.
Anai Kunri	Adananthera pavonina Linn.
Rattha polam	Aloe barbadensis Mill.
Ilaikalli	Euphorbia nerifolia Linn.
Eezhatluthalari	Plumeria acuminata Ait.
Gomatthai	Datura stramonium Linn.
Etti	Strychnos nuxvomica Linn.
Ganja	Cannabis sativa Linn.
Kalappaik Kizhangu	Gloriosa superba Linn.
Kodikkalli	Euphorbia tirucalli Linn.
Chadurakkalli	Euphorbia antiquorum Linn.
Karia polam	Aloe sp.
Kattamanakku	Jatropha glandulifera Roxb.
Kattu thumatti	Cucumis trigonus Roxb.
Kunri	Abrus precatorius Linn.
Cheran Kottai	Semecarpus anacardium Linn.
Thillai	Excoecaria agallocha Linn.
Nebi	Aconitum ferox Wall.
Nervalam	Croton tiglium Linn.
Pugai Elai	Nicotiana tabacum Linn.
Marukkarai	Randia dumetorum Lam.
Mansevikalli	Euphorbia sp.

C. Unani System

I. Drugs of vegetable origin

Afiyun	<i>Papaver somniferum</i> Linn.
Bazrul-banj	<i>Hyoscyamus niger</i> Linn.
Bish	<i>Aconitum chasmanthum</i> Stapf ex Holmes.
Bhang	<i>Cannabis sativa</i> Linn.
Charas	<i>Cannabis sativa</i> Linn.
Dhatura seeds	<i>Datura metel</i> Linn. (seeds)
Kuchla	<i>Strychnos nuxvomica</i> Linn.
Shokrani	<i>Conium maculatum</i> Linn.

II. Drugs of animal origin

Sanp (head)	Snake (head)
Telni makkhi	<i>Mylabris cichorii</i> Linn.
						<i>Mylabris pustulata</i> Thunb.
						<i>Mylabris mucilenta</i>

III. Drugs of Mineral origin

Darchikna	Hydrargyri perchloridum
Hira	Diamond.
Ras Kapoor	Hydrargyri Subchloridum (calomel)
Shingsuf	Hydrargyri bisulphuratum
Zangar	Cupri subacetat
Sammul-Far (Abyaz, Asfar, Aswad and Ahmar).						Arsenic (white, yellow, lck and red)
Tootryia	Copper Sulphate
Para	Hydrargyrum
Hartal	Arsenic trisulphide (yellow)".

5. In the said Rules, after Schedule S, the following Schedule shall be inserted, namely:—

"SCHEDULE T

[See Rule 157]

Requirements of Factory premises and hygienic conditions

1. An Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani drug manufacturing Establishment, Pharmacy or Factory shall as far as possible not be situated adjacent to an open sewage, drain, public lavatory or any factory which produces an obnoxious odour or large quantities of soot, dust or smoke. The premises for the manufacture of such drugs shall be clean and hygienic and shall be free from cobwebs, insects, rodents, etc.

2. The walls of the room(s) in which manufacturing operations are carried out shall be impervious to water and be capable of being kept clean. The flooring shall be smooth and even and shall be such as not to permit retention or accumulation of dust or waste products. The building shall be such as not to give ingress to insects, rodents, reptiles, etc.

3. The water used in the manufacture shall be pure and of drinkable quality, free from pathogenic organisms. Adequate provisions of good water for washing the premises shall be made.

4. In factories where operations involving the use of containers such as, bottles, vials, jars, etc., are conducted, there shall be adequate arrangements separated from the manufacturing operations for washing, cleaning and drying such containers with suitable equipment for the purpose. Sterilising facilities, where necessary, shall also be provided.

5. Suitable arrangements shall be made for the disposal of waste water and other residues from the manufacturing premises in a manner as may not affect the health of the people in the area.

6. All workers employed in the factory shall be free from contagious or obnoxious disease. The workers shall wear clean white or coloured aprons (to be provided by the management) suitable for the nature of work and climate. Adequate facilities for personal cleanliness such as clean towels, soap and nail scrubbing brushes shall be provided for each men and women workers separately.

Facilities for drinking water and washing premises shall be provided for each sex separately. Separate provision shall be made for lavatories to be used by men or women, and such lavatories shall be located at places which are well removed from the processing rooms".

The notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Health, Family Planning and Urban Development No. F.1-44/64-D, dated the 28th February, 1968 (S.O. 666) shall be deemed never to have been issued and is hereby formally cancelled.

[No. F1-23/67-D.]

HAMIDULLAH KHAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 9th February 1970

S.G. 643.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 and clause (5) of article 148 of the Constitution, and after consultation with Comptroller and Auditor-General of India in relation to persons serving in the Indian Audit and Accounts Department, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Central Services (Medical Attendance) Rules, 1944, namely:—

1. (1) These rules may be called the Central Services (Medical Attendance) Amendment Rules, 1970.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. In the Central Services (Medical Attendance) Rules, 1944, in sub-clause (A) of clause (a) of rule 2, for the words "appointed by the Central Government", the words "appointed by the Department of Health or any other Department of the Central Government" shall be substituted

[No. F. 29-79/68-MA.]

S. K. SUDHAKAR, Under Secy.

स्वास्थ्य, परिवार नियोजन, निर्माण, आवास एवं नगर विकास संचालन

नई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी, 1970

एल० अं० 643—संविधान के अनुच्छेद 309 तथा अनुच्छेद 148 के खण्ड (5) के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए भारतीय लेखा परीक्षा एवं लेखा विभाग में काम करने वाले व्यक्तियों के बारे में नियंत्रक एवं महालेखा परीक्षक, भारत से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् राष्ट्रपति एतद्वारा केन्द्रीय सेवा (चिकित्सा सहायता) नियम, 1944 में और आगे संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाते हैं : नामतः

1 (1) ये नियम केन्द्रीय सेवा (चिकित्सा सहायता) संशोधन नियम, 1970 कहलाए जायें।

(2) ये नियम सरकारी राजपत्र में प्रकाशित हो जाने की तिथि से लागू होंगे।

2. केन्द्रीय सेवा (चिकित्सा सहायता) नियम, 1944 के नियम 2 के खण्ड (क) के उपखण्ड 1 (क) में "केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा नियुक्ति," वाक्यांश के स्थान पर "स्वास्थ्य विभाग अथवा केन्द्रीय सरकार के किसी अन्य विभाग द्वारा नियुक्ति" वाक्यांश रखा जायेगा।

[सं० फा० 29-79/68-एम०ए०]

एस० के० सुधाकर, अवर सचिव।

(Department of Works, Housing and Urban Development)

New Delhi, the 9th February 1970

S.O. 644.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1958 (32 of 1958), the Central Government hereby appoints the officer mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, being the officer equivalent to the rank of a gazetted officer of Government, to be estate officer for the purposes of the said Act, who shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on estate officers by or under the said Act within the local limits of his jurisdiction in respect of the public premises specified in column (2) of the said Table.

THE TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Categories of public premises and local limits of jurisdiction
1	2
The Factory Manager, Mechanised Brick Plant, National Buildings Construction Corporation Limited, Sultanpur, Mehrauli-Gurgaon Road, Delhi.	Premises belonging to or taken on lease for the Mechanised Brick Plant, National Buildings Construction Corporation Limited, Sultanpur, Mehrauli-Gurgaon Road, Delhi and under the administrative control of the National Buildings Construction Corporation, New Delhi.

[No. F. 21012(5)/67-Pol.IV.]

New Delhi, the 11th February 1970

S.O. 645.—In pursuance of the provisions of Rule 45 of the Fundamental Rules, the President is pleased to make the following rules further to amend the Allotment of Government Residences (General Pool in Delhi) Rules, 1963 contained in Division XXVI-B, Part VIII of the Supplementary Rules, namely:—

(1) These rules may be called the Allotment of Government Residences (General Pool in Delhi) Second Amendment Rules, 1970.

(2) They shall come into force on the 1st day of March, 1970.

2. In the Allotment of Government Residences (General Pool in Delhi) Rules, 1963 contained in Division XXVI-B, Part VIII of the Supplementary Rules, in S.R. 317-B-2, for clause (c), the following shall be substituted, namely:—

“(c) ‘Delhi’ means the areas within the limits of the Union Territory of Delhi which the Government may declare as conferring eligibility for the allotment of general pool accommodation”.

[No. F. 12033(4)/67-Pol(II).]

T. K. BALASUBRAMANIAN,

Dy. Director of Estates & *Ex-Officio*, Under Secy..

निर्माण, आवास और नगर विकास विभाग

नई दिल्ली, 11 फरवरी 1970

एस० ओ० 645:—मूल नियमों के नियम 45 के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में, राष्ट्रपति ने अनुपूर्वक नियमों के प्रखंड XXVI ब, भाग VIII में अन्विष्ट सरकारी निवास स्थानों (दिल्ली के सामान्य पूल) के आबंधन नियम, 1963 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाये हैं, नामशः—

(1) ये नियम सरकारी निवास स्थानों के आबंधन (दिल्ली के सामान्य पूल) के द्वितीय संशोधन नियम, 1970 कहलायेंगे।

(2) ये मार्च 1970 के पहली तारीख से लागू होंगे।

2. अनुपूरक नियमों के प्रखंड XXvi—ख, भाग Viii में अन्तर्विष्ट सरकारी निवास स्थानों (दिल्ली के सामान्य पूल) के आबंदन नियम, 1963 में, अनु० नि० 317—ख 2 में, धारा (ग) के बदले, निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जायेगा :—

“(ग) ‘दिल्ली’ से दिल्ली के संघ शासित क्षेत्र की सीमाओं के भीतर व क्षेत्र अभिप्रेत है, जिन्हें सरकार सामान्य पूल वास के आबंदन की पात्रता देने वाला घोषित करे।

[सं० एफ० 12033(4)/67—नीति (2)]

टी के० बालसुब्रमणियन,

उप सम्पदा निदेशक तथा पदेन अव्वर सचिव।

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS

(P. and T. Board)

New Delhi, the 31st December 1969

S.O. 646.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules regulating the method of recruitment to the posts of Deputy Managers in the Mail Motor Organisation of the Indian Posts and Telegraphs Department, namely:—

1. **Short title and commencement.**—(1) These rules may be called the Indian Posts and Telegraphs Deputy Managers (Mail Motor Service) Recruitment Rules, 1969.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. **Classification and scale of pay.**—The classification of the said posts and scale of pay attached thereto shall be as specified in columns 2 and 3 of the Schedule annexed hereto.

3. **Method of recruitment, age limit etc.**—The method of recruitment, age limit, qualifications and other matters connected therewith shall be as specified in columns 4 to 12 of the Schedule aforesaid.

4. **Power to relax.**—Where the Central Government is of opinion that it is necessary or expedient so to do, it may, by order, for reasons to be recorded in writing, relax any of the provisions of these rules with respect to any class or category of persons.

ANNEXURE

(Vide Ministry of Home Affairs O.M.)

Recruitment Rules For Deputy Manager Mail Motor Service in the

Name of post	Classification,	Scale of pay	Whether Selection post or non-selection post	Age for direct recruitment	Educational and other qualification required for direct recruits
1	2	3	4	5	6
Deputy Manager, Mail Motor Service.	G.C.S.—Class Rs. 335-15-425. -III, Non-gazetted, Ministerial.		Non selection post.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.

I

No. 20/3/67-Estt.(D) dated 11-8-67

Department of Communications (Posts and Telegraphs Board)

Whether age and educational qualifications prescribed for direct recruits will apply in the case of Promotees	Period of probation if any	Method of recruitment whether by direct recruitment or by promotion or by deputation or transfer and Percentage of the vacancies to be filled by various methods	In case of recruitment by promotion or deputation or transfer, grades from which promotion or deputation transfer to be made	If a DPC exists, what is its compositions	Circumstances in which UPSC is to be consulted in making recruitment
---	----------------------------	--	--	---	--

7	8	9	10	11	12
Not applicable.	Two years which may be extended at the discretion of the appointing authority.	By promotion.	From Traffic Supervisors/Inspectors and Head Clerks of the Mail Motor Service having not less than three years continuous service in the grade.	Class D.P.C.	Not applicable.

[No. 4/7/68-SPB.II.]

R. RAJAGOPALAN,
Assistant Director General (SPN).संचार विभाग
(डाक तार बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 31 दिसम्बर, 1969

एस० प्रो० 646.—संविधान के 309 अनुच्छेद के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए भारतीय डाक तार विभाग के डाक मोटर संगठन में उप प्रबन्धकों की भर्ती की विधि को नियमित करते हुए, राष्ट्रपति निम्नलिखित नियम बनाते हैं, नामतः—

1. संक्षिप्त नाम और आरंभ:—(1) इन नियमों की भारतीय डाक तार उप प्रबन्धकों (डाक मोटर सेवा) की भर्ती नियमावली, 1969 कहा जाएगा।

(2) ये नियम सरकारी राजपत्र में प्रकाशित होने की तारीख से लागू होंगे।

2. वर्गीकरण और वेतन मान:—(1) उक्त पदों का वर्गीकरण और उनके साथ सम्बद्ध वेतन मान साथ में संलग्न अनुसूची के कालम 2 और 3 में किये गए उल्लेखानुसार होगा।

3. भर्ती की विधि, आयु सीमा आदि:—भर्ती की विधि, आयु सीमा, अर्हताएं और उसके साथ अन्य सामान्य उक्त अनुसूची के कालम 4 से 12 तक में किये गए उल्लेखानुसार होंगे।

4. छूट देने का अधिकार:—जहां केन्द्रीय सरकार यह चाहती है कि यह बात आवश्यक अथवा ऐसा करना समर्थित है, तो यह आदेश द्वारा ऐसा कर दे, और किसी भी श्रेणी और वर्ग के व्यक्तियों के बारे में दिए गए इन नियमों के प्रावधानों में छूट दे दे।

अनुबन्ध [(गृह मंत्रालय का तारीख 11-8-67 का कार्यालय ज्ञापन सं० 20/3/67 ई०

संचार विभाग

डाक मोटर सेवा में उप प्रबन्धकों की भर्ती

पद नाम	वर्गीकरण	वेतनमान	क्या सेलेक्शन पद है अथवा गैर सेलेक्शन पद	सीधी भर्ती के लिए आयु	सीधी भर्ती द्वारा आने वालों के लिए शैक्षिक और दूसरी योग्यताएं
1	2	3	4	5	6
उप प्रबन्धक डाक मोटर सेवा	सामान्य नागरिक सेवा तृतीय श्रेणी अराज- पत्रित लिपिक वर्गीय	335-15- 425	गर निर्वाचन पद	लागू नहीं होता	लागू नहीं होता

एस० टी० टी० (डी०) देखिए)]

(डाक तार बोर्ड)

के लिए नियमावली

क्या सीधी भर्ती से आने वालों के लिए नियत आयु और शैक्षिक योग्यता पदों-न्नति किए गए व्यक्तियों के मामले में भी लागू होगी	परिवीक्षा की अवधि यदि कोई हो तो	भर्ती करने की विधि क्या सीधी भर्ती या पदोन्नति या प्रतिनियुक्ति या स्थानान्तरण द्वारा और प्रति-ष्ठल रिक्त स्थान दूसरी विधि से भरने हैं	यदि भर्ती पदोन्नति या प्रतिनियुक्ति या स्थानान्तरण द्वारा होनी है तो वे ग्रेड जिनसे पदोन्नति या प्रतिनियुक्ति स्थानान्तरण करना है।	यदि कोई डी० पी० सी० पदोन्नति समिति है तो उसका गठन	वे परिस्थि-तियों जिन में भर्ती करते समय संघ लोक सेवा आयोग का परामर्श लेना है
7	8	9	10	11	12

लागू नहीं होता	दो वर्ष जिसको पदोन्नति द्वारा नियुक्ति प्राधिकारी के स्व-विवेक पर बढ़ाया जा सकता है	डाक मोटर सेवा के उन यातायात पर्यवेक्षकों/ निरीक्षकों और प्रधान लिपिकों में से जिनकी उक्त ग्रेडों में तीन वर्ष से कम अखंड सेवा न हों	तृतीय श्रेणी की डी०पी० सी० (विभागीय पदोन्नति समिति)	लागू नहीं होता
----------------	---	---	---	----------------

[सं० फा० 4/7/68-एस० पी० बी० 11]

आर० राजागोपालन,

सहायक महा निदेशक (एस० पी० एन०)

(P. and T. Board)

New Delhi, the 11th February 1970

S.O. 647.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of rule 9, clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of rule 12 and sub-rule (1) of rule 24, read with rule 34, of the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965, the President hereby makes the following further amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Communications (Posts and Telegraphs) No. S.R.O. 600, dated the 28th February, 1957, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification,—

(1) in Part II-General Central Service, Class III, for the heading "Telegraph Offices under the charge of Officers of Telegraph Traffic Service Class I or Class II, Officers of Telegraph Engineering and Wireless Service, Class II, Telegraph Masters

and Telegraphists" and the entries relating thereto, the following heading and entries shall be substituted namely:—

"Telegraphs Traffic Divisions and Telegraph Offices."

1	2	3	4	5
Telegraph Traffic Supervisors; Telegraph Masters; Selection Grade; Telegraph Masters, Ministerial staff in Selection Grades.	Director of Telegraphs, Director of Posts and Telegraphs, Deputy General Manager, Telephones.	Director of Telegraphs, Director of Posts and Telegraphs, Deputy General Manager, Telephones.	All	Postmaster General, Member, Posts and Telegraphs Board, General Manager Telephones.
		Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class I or Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II.	(i) to (v)	Director of Telegraphs, Director of Posts and Telegraphs, Deputy General Manager (in respect of an order by officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class I in charge of Division). Deputy Director, Assistant Postmaster General, Assistant General Manager (in respect of an order by officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II in charge of Division).
				Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class I in charge of Division (in respect of an order by officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II in charge of a Telegraph Office).
All other posts	Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class I or Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II in charge of Division.	Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class I or Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II in charge of Division.	All	Director of Telegraphs, Director of Posts and Telegraphs, Deputy General Manager (in respect of an order by officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class I).
				Deputy Director, Assistant Postmaster General, Assistant General Manager (in respect of an order, by officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II).
		Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II in charge of Telegraph Office.	(i) to (ii)	Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class I in charge of Division."

(2) in Part III-General Central Service, Class IV, for the headings "Telegraph Offices under the charge of Officers of Telegraph Traffic Service, Class I or Class II Officers of Telegraph Engineering and Wireless Service, Class II", "Telegraph Offices under the charge of Telegraph Masters" and "Telegraph Offices under the charge of Telegraphists" and the entries relating thereto, the following heading and the entries shall be substituted, namely:—

'Telegraph Traffic Divisions and Telegraph Offices.

1	2	3	4	5
All posts	Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class I or Officers of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II in charge of Division (in respect of staff in offices other than those under the charge of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II Officer or Telegraph Traffic Supervisors).	Officer of Telegraph Service Class I or Officers of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II in charge of Division (in respect of staff in the Division).	All	Director of Telegraphs, Director of Posts and Telegraphs, Deputy General Manager (in respect of an order by officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class I), Deputy Director, Assistant Postmaster General, Assistant General Manager (in respect of an order by officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II).
	Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II in charge of a Telegraph Office (in respect of staff in his office).	Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II in charge of Telegraph Office (in respect of staff working in his office).	All	Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class I in charge of Division.
	Telegraph Traffic Supervisor (in respect of staff in his office).	Telegraph Traffic Supervisor (in respect of staff in his office).	(i) to (iv)	Officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class I or officer of Telegraph Traffic Service Class II in charge of Division."

[No. 44/19/68-Disc.]

S. JAGANNADHAN,
Asstt. Director General.

(P. & T. Board)

New Delhi, the 11th February 1970

S.O. 648.—In pursuance of Para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director-General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 1st March, 1970 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in SULUR Telephone Exchange, Madras Circle after its merger with Colmbatore area.

[No. 5-28/70-PHB(2).]

D. R. BAHL,
Assistant Director General (PHB).

संचार विभाग
(डाक-सार बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 11 फरवरी, 1970

एन० बी० 648.—प्राचीन आदेश क्रम संख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए 1951 के भारतीय तार नियमों के नियम 434 के खंड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक-सार महानिदेशक ने सुलूर टेलीफोन केन्द्र मद्रास सर्किल में इसके कोयम्बटूर क्षेत्र में विलय के बाद 1-3-60 से प्रभावित दूर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[सं० 5-28/70-पी० एच० बी० (2)]

डी० आर० बहल,

सहायक महानिदेशक (पी० एच० बी०) ।

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 7th February 1970

S.O. 649.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of Shri P. C. Rai, Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Arbitrator, Jabalpur, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of South Jhagrakhand Colliery, Post Office South Jhagrakhand Colliery, District Surguja, Madhya Pradesh and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 2nd February, 1970.

Arbitration Award of Shri P. C. Rai, Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Jabalpur in the matter of supply of woollen Jerseys to the surface trammers of South Jhagrakhand Colliery.

RLC FILE No. J-85(42)/67

M/L&E FILE No. 5/44/67-LR.II

PRESENT:

Shri P. C. Rai, Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Arbitrator.

Representing Employers.—(1) Shri G. R. Bhandari, Group Personnel Officer, Jhagrakhand Collieries, Post Office Jhagrakhand Colliery, District Surguja.

(2) Shri J. J. Sengupta, Personnel Officer, Post Office Jhagrakhand Colliery, District Surguja.

Representing workmen.—(1) Shri J. P. Srivastava, Vice-President, M. P. Colliery Workers Federation, Post Office Jhagrakhand Colliery, District Surguja.

(2) Shri G. P. Sharma, Deputy General Secretary, M. P. Colliery Workers Federation, Post Office Jhagrakhand Colliery, District Surguja.

Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation, Department of Labour and Employment, New Delhi by their notification No. 5/44/67-LR.II, dated 13th December, 1967 (received in my office on 22nd December, 1967) published an Order in the Gazette of India, which included an agreement dated 28th November, 1967 under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. By this Order, the dispute was referred to my arbitration. The following is the relevant portion of the Agreement:—

“It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri P. C. Rai, Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Jabalpur.

(i) Specific matters in dispute:—

Having regard to the terms of settlement dated 16th January, 1965 read with minutes of discussion of same date over a charter of 40 demands, whether it is obligatory on the part of the management

to supply woollen jerseys (Pull-over) to the Surface Trammers of South Jhagrakhand Colliery? If so, from what date?

(ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of establishment of undertaking involved:

1. Manager, South Jhagrakhand Colliery of Messrs Jhagrakhand Collieries (P) Ltd., Post Office South Jhagrakhand Colliery, District Surguja, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, M.P. Colliery Workers Federation, South Jhagrakhand Colliery, Post Office South Jhagrakhand Colliery, District Surguja, Madhya Pradesh.

(iii) Name of the Union, if any, representing the workmen in question:

The M.P. Colliery Workers' Federation, Chirimiri, District Surguja, M.P. Through its Secretary at South Jhagrakhand Colliery.

(iv) Total No. of workmen employed in the undertaking affected:
1,300.

(v) Estimated No. of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute:
52.

We further agree that the decision of the arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The arbitrator shall make his award within a period of 3 months from date or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period abovementioned, the reference to arbitrator shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

2. Hearings in the matter were fixed for 19th January 1968, 12th February 1968, 25th May 1968, 24th June 1968, 30th August 1968, 14th September 1968, 3rd November 1968, 6th November 1968, 28th December 1968, 7th January 1969, 9th January 1969, 25th February 1969, 21st March 1969, 26th August 1969, 17th August 1969, 29th November 1969, 6th January 1970, 20th January 1970. and finally the parties were heard on 27th January 1970. The parties also Jointly agreed for extension of time limit for giving the Award upto 28th February 1970.

3. The written statements were filed by the management on 24th June, 1968 and the written statements from the union were received in this office on 16th July 1968. The union could submit rejoinder on 29th August, 1968.

4. The management in their written statements, filed on 24th June, 1968 during the course of hearing, stated that the demand of the Federation was based in incorrect information as only main line clippers and T.T. Munshis (working partly on surface and partly in the main line underground) were being supplied woollen jerseys every alternative year and the surface trammers were not supplied jerseys in 1964 and also in the past. There was mistake which occurred in the minutes of discussions held on 16th January, 1965 regarding supply of woollen jerseys, which was duly corrected by the management with information to all concerned. There was no settlement providing for supply of woollen jerseys to surface trammers and as a matter of fact the demand was dropped by the union. The Mines Act, Rules and Regulations framed thereunder and the Coal Award and the Wage Board recommendations to the extent accepted do not make provisions for supply of woollen jerseys to surface trammers and the demand of the Federation was purely extraneous and superfluous. For the reasons stated above and having regard to the terms of settlement dated 16th January, 1965, read with the minutes of discussion of the same date, the management is of the considered opinion that it is not obligatory on their part to supply woollen jerseys to Surface Trammers of South Jhagrakhand Colliery.

5. The M.P. Colliery Workers Federation, South Jhagrakhand Branch in their written statements dated 12th July, 1968 have stated that it had been a long standing practice in South Jhagrakhand Colliery to supply free of cost woollen jerseys to surface trammers along with some other workers every alternative year. This facility was in vogue since long before the Coal Award and was continued till 1964. The Coal Award also directed to continue such facilities. The surface trammers and main line clippers were supplied woollen jerseys in every alternate year and that they have been supplied in 1964 was accepted by the management in the conciliation settlement dated 16th January, 1965 read with the minutes of discussion of same date. Being a long standing practice, it has also become a condition of service of

these workmen and withdrawal of this customary concession or privilege is a change in service condition under Section 9A of the Industrial Disputes Act. In its rejoinder in reply to the management's written statement, the Federation did not accept the contentions of the management.

6. As the final hearing on 27th January, 1970 after talks, the parties arrived at a mutual settlement and made a prayer to the Arbitrator as under:—

"BEFORE SHRI P. C. RAI, REGIONAL LABOUR COMMISSIONER (CENTRAL) AND ARBITRATOR, JABALPUR

In the matter of arbitration under Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act in an industrial dispute between the employers in relation—South Jhagrakhand Colliery and their workmen—Arbitration Agreement dated 26th November, 1967.

PARTIES:

- (1) Management of Jhagrakhand Group of Collieries, Post Office Jhagrakhand.
- (2) M.P. Colliery Workers Federation.

The above named parties beg to submit that they have settled the dispute on the following terms:

- (1) The management stated that the workmen are not entitled to woollen jerseys but the M.P. Colliery Workers Federation representatives stated that they are entitled to it. Without prejudice to their contentions and as a gesture of good will and cooperation, the parties agree to settle the matter pending before the Arbitrator on payment of Rs. 750/- (Rupees seven hundred and fifty only) to the M.P. Colliery Workers Federation in full and final settlement of the dispute.
- (2) It is further agreed that no dispute shall be raised for supply of jerseys to any category of workmen of the collieries in the Jhagrakhand Group.
- (3) The payment as agreed in clause (1) above shall be paid within 15 days from date.

The parties pray that the Hon'ble Arbitrator may be pleased to give an Award in the aforesaid terms.

Representing employers
(Sd.) G. R. BHANDARI,
Gr. Personnel Officer
Jhagrakhand Collieries.

Representing workmen

(Sd.) J. P. SRIVASTAVA,
Vice-President,
M. P. Colliery Workers Federation.

(Sd.) J. J. SENGUPTA,
Personnel Officer,
Jhagrakhand Collieries.

(Sd.) G. P. SHARMA,
Dy. General Secretary,
M. P. Colliery Workers Federation.

Dated, Jabalpur the 27th January, 1970."

7. The parties requested that the Award may be given in the above terms. As the dispute has been set at rest by an amicable settlement dated the 27th January, 1970. I give my award in the terms of amicable settlement (i.e. Settlement dated 27th January 1970) detailed above.

(Sd.) P. C. RAI,
Regional Labour Commissioner (Central),
Jabalpur, Arbitrator.

Jabalpur, dated the 27th January, 1970.

[No.5/44/67-LR.II]

S.O. 650.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of Shri O. Maheepathi, Arbitrator in the Industrial dispute between the Management of Bikaner Gypsum Limited, Bikaner and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 31st January, 1970.

ARBITRATION AWARD UNDER SECTION 10A OF THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, 1947.

Before Shri O. Maheepathi, Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) and Arbitrator.

Arbitration in the industrial dispute.

BETWEEN

M/s. Bikaner Gypsums Ltd., Bikaner.

AND

Their workmen represented by Gypsum Mine Workers Union and Rashtriya Gypsum Karamchari Sangh, Bikaner.

PARTIES :

Representing employers.—Shri A. K. Mukherjee, Personnel Manager, M/s. Bikaner Gypsums Ltd., Bikaner.

Representing workmen.—(1) Shri V. N. Gupta, Secretary, Gypsum Mine Workers Union, Bikaner.

(2) Shri Raman Lal, Secretary, Rashtriya Gypsum Karamchari Sangh, Jamsar, Bikaner.

By an arbitration agreement under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 published as S.O. No. 2809 dated 7th July 1969 in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii) dated 12th July 1969, the representatives of M/s. Bikaner Gypsums Ltd. and the Gypsum Mine Workers Union had referred the following specific matter in dispute to my arbitration:

"Whether the demand of the Union that the Pump Attendants and Wireman 'A' should be supplied woollen and cotton uniforms similar to those supplied to Generator Operator-cum-Switch Board Attendants is justified and if so, to what relief are the concerned Pump Attendants and Wireman 'A' entitled to."

2. The arbitration agreement which provided that the arbitrator's award shall be binding on the parties also specified that the arbitrator shall make his award within a period of six months or such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between them in writing. As the parties took some time to file their statements and furnish certain information, they later on agreed in writing that the arbitrator shall make his award before the 31st January 1970.

3. While the arbitration proceedings were in progress, the Secretary of the Rashtriya Gypsum Karamchari Sangh, Bikaner made an application for impleading the Sangh as a party to the arbitration proceedings. The Sangh's request was acceded to with the consent of the other two parties.

4. The main ground on which the Gypsum Mine Workers Union and the Rashtriya Gypsum Karamchari Sangh have demanded that the Pump Attendants and Wireman 'A' should be supplied woollen and cotton uniforms similar to those supplied to Generator Operator-cum-Switch Board Attendants was that all these categories of workers were previously getting similar sets of uniforms and as the Generator Operator-cum-Switch Board Attendants have been provided with better type of uniforms even though they work almost in the power house where the temperatures are steady, the Pump Attendants and Wiremen whose working conditions are such that they will have to work always in the open air and in the cold should also be supplied with similar uniforms.

5. The management of Bikaner Gypsums Ltd. submitted that by Joshi award, certain uniforms were awarded to certain categories of workers and the implementation of the Joshi award was discussed between the management and the Sangh at Jamsar on the 5th and 6th July 1966 and during those discussions, it was decided that one woollen pant and one woollen coat would be supplied every 3 years to the Generator Operator as was being supplied to Drivers 'B'. Earlier to this, the Generator Operator was being supplied only one woollen jersey (as winter uniform) once in every 3 years and two full pants and one full shirt and one half shirt each year as summer uniform. The Wireman 'A' and Pump Attendants are presently being supplied one woollen jersey once in every 3 years and two full pants, one full shirt and one half shirt as summer uniform every year. The demand is that these categories of workers should be supplied one full pant (woollen) and one coat (woollen) (instead of one woollen jersey as winter

uniform once in 3 years and two full pants and two high-neck coats (instead of one full shirt and one half shirt) as summer uniform every year.

6. Though the demand in question was raised on the analogy of the supply of cotton and woollen uniforms to the Generator Operator, the Sangh and the Union pleaded before me that the supply of similar woollen and cotton uniforms to the categories in question was justified mainly because of the fact that working conditions are such that they have to work always in the open exposed to the vagaries of the weather. The management however pleaded that if these categories of workers are supplied woollen uniforms, there will be similar demands from other categories of workers. It has been checked up that the Wiremen 'A' and Pump Attendants are required to work in the second shift and the other categories of workers mentioned by the management are not normally engaged to work in the second shift. Another point advanced by the management was that if the demand is conceded, it would involve financial repercussions and that the management has no capacity whatever to concede the additional demand and in fact was finding it extremely difficult to meet its present obligations. At my request, the management had worked out the additional cost involved in the demand and I find that the total cost over a three-year period for the supply of winter uniforms for the five Pump Attendants and three Wiremen 'A' would be about Rs. 600 and Rs. 120 per year for summer uniforms. I do not think that this additional expenditure will be an undue burden on the finances of the company.

7. As the management has already conceded this demand in respect of Generator Operator who in fact sits only inside the power house whereas the Pump Attendants and Wiremen have to move about in inclement weather, I feel that the demand of the Union and the Sangh for supply of uniforms to Pump Attendants and Wiremen 'A' at Jamsar mines on the same pattern as those supplied to the Generator Operator-cum-Switch Board Attendants is justified specially because of the fact that the Pump Attendants and Wiremen 'A' have to work in second shift in severe climate conditions. I give my award accordingly. The supply of summer and winter uniforms on the basis of the revised pattern viz., one full pant and one coat (both woollen) as winter uniform once in three years and two full pants and two high-neck coats as summer uniforms every year to Pump Attendants and Wiremen 'A' shall commence when the supply of uniforms is next due.

(Sd.) O. MAHEEPATH,

Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), and Arbitrator.
New Delhi, January 30, 1970.

[No. 24(33)/69-LR-IV]

New Delhi, the 11th February 1970

S.O. 651.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Vishveshwari Khandra Colliery, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan and their workmen represented by Colliery Mazdoor Congress (Hind Mazdoor Sabha), Bengal Hotel, Asansol;

And whereas the said employers and workmen have by a written agreement in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the said dispute to arbitration by the person specified therein, and a copy of the said agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of Sub-Section (3) of Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement which was received by it on the 31st January, 1970.

AGREEMENT UNDER SECTION 10A OF THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, 1947

BETWEEN

NAME OF THE PARTIES.

Representing employers:

Shri K. Srinivasan, Manager, Vishveshwari Khandra Colliery, P.O. Ukhra,
Dist. Burdwan.

Representing workmen:

Shri Jayanta Podder, Jt. Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Congress (HMS)
Bengal Hotel, Asansol.

It is agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri K. Sharan, Regional Labour Commissioner (C), Asansol.

- (i) Specific matters in dispute.—“I. Whether the action of the management of Vishveshwari Khandra Colliery, P.O. Ukhra, Dist. Burdwan in terminating the services of the undermentioned workers with effect from 17-11-1969, is justified?

Names of the Workmen

Sl. No.	Name	Designation
1	Shri Anadi Mukherjee	Pump Khalasi
2	Shri Samoo Ahir	U.G. Trammer
3	Shri Jhari Mahto	Loader
4	Shri Sohan Gope	”
5	Shri Shyamlal Jaiswara	”
6	Shri Moni Jaiswara	”
7	Shri Rajkaran Jaiswara	”
8	Shri Sidbhar Jaiswara	”
9	Shri Ramanand Jaiswara	”
10	Shri Ch. Somnath Jaiswara	”
11	Shri Naresh Jaiswara	”
12	Shri Deonandan Jaiswara	”
13	Shri Srinayak Jaiswara	”

II. If not, to what relief the workmen concerned are entitled?”

- (ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved. Employers in relation to Vishveshwari Khandra Colliery, P.O. Ukhra, Distt. Burdwan.
- (iii) Name of the union, if any, representing the workmen. Colliery Mazdoor Congress (H.M.S.) Bengal Hotel, P.O. Asansol, Distt. Burdwan.
- (iv) Total No. of workmen employed in the undertaking affected. 450
- (v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute. 13

We further agree that the decision of the Arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The Arbitrator shall make his award within a period of ninety days or within such further time as is entered by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to Arbitrator shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Signature of the parties.

(Sd.) Illegible,

Representing the employers.

(Sd.) Illegible.

Representing the workmen,

Witnesses

1. (Sd.) Illegible.

2. (Sd.) Illegible.

Dated the 19th January, 1970.

[No. F. 8/16/70-LR.II.]

S.O. 652.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 3), Dhanbad, in the management of South Govindpur Colliery of Shri H. P. Pathak, Post Office Ketrasgarh, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 4th February, 1970.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 3) AT DHANBAD.

REFERENCE NO. 23 OF 1969

PRESENT:

Shri Sachidanand Sinha, M.A.M.L., Presiding Officer.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the South Govindpur Colliery,

AND

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES:

For employers.—Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate

For workmen.—Shri P. K. Bose, Advocate.

INDUSTRY: Coal

STATE: Bihar.

Dhanbad, dated the 28th of January 1970

AWARD

1. The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of South Govindpur colliery of Shri H. I. Pathak, Post Office Katrasgarh, District Dhanbad and their workmen, by its order No. 2/6/69-LRII dated the 14th of April, 1969 referred to this Tribunal under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The Schedule is extracted below:—

SCHEDULE

“Whether the management of South Govindpur Colliery of Shri H. I. Pathak, Post Office Katrasgarh, District Dhanbad, was justified in refusing employment to Shri Rajdeo Ram, Fireman, with effect from the 2nd September, 1967 and subsequently dismissing him from service with effect from the 16th April, 1968? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?”

2. The Secretary, Colliery Mazdur Sangh filed written statement on behalf of the workmen on 6th January, 1969. Their case is that the concerned workman Sri Rajdeo Ram was employed as a permanent Fireman at South Govindpur colliery. On 28th December, 1967 when the concerned workman went to report for his usual duty he was told by the attendance clerk that the management have stopped his work. Thereafter the concerned workman went to the manager who told him to wait and assured him to look into the matter. The concerned workman having thus assured again met the manager on the following date, that i.e. on 29th December, 1967 and thereafter every day till 1st January, 1968 when the manager verbally told him to go to his work. On reporting for duty again on 1st January, 1968 the attendance clerk refused to mark his attendance in the absence of written orders from the manager. On 2nd January, 1968 the workman made a written representation to the Manager praying for permission to resume duty but no reply was received from the manager. The workman continued to visit the colliery office daily but did not receive any relief.

3. On 23rd January, 1968 the workman received a chargesheet dated 16th January, 1968 to which he submitted reply on the same date, that is on 23rd January, 1968. Thereafter the workman did not receive any further communications from the management either orally or in writing though he was present at the colliery all throughout. Having thus put into forced unemployment by the management the workman through his trade union made representation before the Assistant Labour Commissioner(C), Dhanbad by letter dated 14th February, 1968. On the aforesaid representation the dispute was taken up in conciliation proceedings. At that stage the management came out with a story of having held *ex parte* departmental enquiry and as a result of which the workman was dismissed from his services.

4. Neither any notice of enquiry was sent to the concerned workman nor any communication was sent to him about the alleged dismissal. The story of holding an *ex parte* enquiry is an afterthought of the management as no enquiry as a

matter of fact was ever held by the management in connection with the chargesheet which was received by the workman on 23rd January, 1968 and to which a reply was sent on the very same date. The concerned workman had not committed any misconduct whatsoever for which any disciplinary action can be called for. The Union therefore, prayed that Sri Rajdeo Ram, Fireman should be reinstated with full back wages and allowances with continuity of service.

5. The management filed written statement on 6th June, 1969. Their case is that Sri Rajdeo Ram was working as a Fireman at the relevant time. He absented from work from 2nd September, 1967 without any permission or authorised leave. For the above misconduct of unauthorised absence a chargesheet dated 16th January, 1968 issued to Sri Rajdeo Ram. Although the above chargesheet was received by Sri Rajdeo Ram he did not submit any explanation to the same. After waiting for a considerable time for the reply to the chargesheet, a letter dated 11th March, 1968 was issued to Sri Rajdeo Ram fixing the Departmental Enquiry on 18th March, 1968. As the above letter of enquiry could not be served on Sri Rajdeo Ram, a publication in the 'New Sketch' was given fixing the enquiry on 4th April, 1968. In spite of the repeated chances Sri Rajdeo Ram failed to attend the enquiry, it was held in his absence on 4th April, 1968. In the aforesaid departmental enquiry the misconduct was satisfactorily established and Sri Rajdeo Ram was therefore, dismissed by letter dated 16th April, 1968 with prior approval of the Owner. The dismissal of Sri Rajdeo Ram with effect from 16th April, 1968 was *bona fide* and based on proved misconduct and he is not entitled to any relief. The management denied that Sri Rajdeo Ram was refused employment with effect from 2nd September, 1967 or any date at all. Sri Rajdeo Ram has since received his full and final payment on 8th February, 1969.

6. The management examined two witnesses *viz.* MW-1 Sri Sadan Singh, Assistant Manager who held the departmental enquiry on 4th April, 1968 and MW-2 Sri V. H. Thaker, Manager of the colliery. On behalf of the management 12 items of documents have been exhibited and were marked as Ext. M-1 to M-12. On behalf of the Union 3 items of documents have been exhibited and are marked as Ext. W-1 to W-3. No witness was examined on behalf of the Union.

7. The point for consideration is whether the management was justified in refusing employment to Sri Rajdeo Ram, the concerned workman with effect from the 2nd September, 1967 and subsequently dismissing him from service with effect from 16th April, 1968?

8. The case of the management is that the concerned workman Sri Rajdeo Ram was absenting from work without any permission or authorised leave from 2nd September, 1967. In the written-statement of the Union there is no denial of the fact that the concerned workman was not absenting from work from 2nd September, 1967 without any permission or authorised leave.

9. Ext. M-1 is the chargesheet dated 16th January, 1968. The concerned workman was charged for having absenting from work from 2nd September, 1967 without any authorised leave or permission. Ext. M-3 is the notice dated 11th March, 1968 intimating the concerned workman Rajdeo Ram that the departmental enquiry will be conducted by Sri S. P. Singh on 16th March, 1968. This notice was issued by the manager of the colliery. A similar notice was issued to Sri Rajdeo Ram, the concerned workman by the Enquiring Officer (*vide* Ext. M-4). When the concerned workman did not attend the enquiry the notice was published in the 'New Sketch' dated March, 25th, 1968 informing the concerned workman that the enquiry will be held on 4th April, 1968 (Ext. M-6). But in spite of this the concerned workman never appeared before the departmental enquiry. This fact goes to show that the concerned workman intentionally avoided the proceeding of departmental enquiry. The departmental enquiry was therefore, held in the absence of the concerned workman. In the departmental enquiry three witnesses were examined by the Enquiring Officer *viz.* Sri Ram Rekha Singh, Attendance Clerk, Sri Tun Nath Singh, General Incharge and Sri Mahadeo Singh, Register-keeper and they have all stated that the concerned workman Sri Rajdeo Ram was absenting without any leave or permission since 2nd September, 1967 (*vide* Ext. M-7). Ext. M-8 is the report of the Enquiring Officer and he came to the conclusion that Sri Rajdeo Ram was absenting for more than 10 days with effect from 2nd September, 1967 without obtaining leave or permission. The Owner recommended dismissal. Ext. M-10 is the letter dated 16th April, 1968 issued by the manager intimating the concerned workman Sri Rajdeo Ram that he was dismissed from service with immediate effect.

10. It appears that the concerned workman appeared before the management and received a sum of Rs. 100/- in full and final settlement of all his previous dues

(vide Ext. M-11). The concerned workman has not been examined by the Union to deny this fact.

11. In this view of the case I hold that Sri Rajdeo Ram was not refused employment with effect from 2nd September, 1967 or any date at all. On the other hand he was absenting himself without permission from 2nd September, 1967 and consequently he was dismissed from service with effect from 16th January, 1968 and that the dismissal from service of Sri Rajdeo Ram with effect from 16th January, 1968 was *bona fide* and based on proved misconduct and as such he is not entitled to any relief.

12 This is my award. It may be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) SACHIDANAND SINHA,
Presiding Officer.
[No. 2/6/69-LRII]

S.O. 653.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, (No. 3) Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Pure Kustore Colliery of Messrs Pure Kustore Collieries Company Limited, Post Office Kusunda, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 4th February, 1970.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 3) AT DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 18 of 1969

PRESENT:

Shri Sachidanand Sinha, M.A.M.L., Presiding Officer.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Pure Kustore Colliery,

AND

Their workmen

APPEARANCES:

For employers—Shri B. Mukherjee, C.P.O.

For workmen—Shri Rajbalabh Prasad, Secretary.

INDUSTRY: Coal

STATE: Bihar.

Dhanbad, dated the 29th of January, 1970

AWARD

1. The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Pure Kustore Colliery of Messrs Pure Kustore Collieries Company Limited, Post Office Kusunda, District Dhanbad and their workmen by its order No. 2/13/69-LRII dated the 21st March, 1969 referred to this Tribunal under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The Schedule is extracted below:—

SCHEDULE

“Whether the action of the management of Pure Kustore Colliery of Messrs Pure Kustore Collieries Company Limited, Post Office Kusunda, District Dhanbad in dismissing Shri Bhajan Dusadh, Underground Trimmer with effect from the 4th October, 1967, is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?”

2. The management filed written statement on 29th July, 1969 and the Secretary Khan Mazdoor Congress filed written statement on 8th August, 1969. It is unnecessary to state the respective cases of the parties because the dispute has been settled.

3. A joint petition on behalf of the concerned workman, Sri Bhajan Dusadh and the management has been filed to the effect that a memorandum of settlement has been signed between the parties before the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C), Verification, Dhanbad, on 18th December, 1969. According to the terms of settlement the concerned workman Sri Bhajan Dusadh has been reinstated in his original post of underground Trammer from 18th December, 1969, the date of the settlement and has been paid a lump sum amount of Rs. 1500/- only in full and final settlement of all his claims and demand and the concerned workman has got no other claim arising out of Reference No. 18 of 1969.

4. The Union has filed a petition before me on the date of hearing i.e. on 28th January, 1970 to the effect that the management by applying threat and persuasion and keeping the workman in starvation compelled him to enter into an agreement which is not binding on the Union. But the Union has accepted the agreement so far as reinstatement of the workman is concerned but did not accept the lump sum amount of Rs. 1500/- on account of back wages.

5. Section 36 of the Industrial Disputes Act provides that the workman who is a party to the dispute shall be entitled to be represented by an officer of a registered trade Union of which he is a member. The Supreme Court in the case of Ram Prasad Vishwakarma V. Industrial Tribunal, did not think it wise and possible to lay down a general rule in the matter, it expressed the view that the ordinary rule should be that such representation by an officer of the trade union should continue throughout the proceedings in the absence of exceptional circumstances which may justify the tribunal to permit other representation of the workman concerned. In that case the Supreme Court had to consider the question whether a workman could ask for a representation by a person of his own choice after his case had been referred and was being espoused by the trade union of which he was a member, on the ground that he had lost faith in the office-bearer of the Union who was conducting his case.

6. In this particular case the concerned workman himself has been examined and has stated in his evidence that he has settled the dispute with the management and that he has been reinstated on the original post of Underground Trammer and has also received a lump sum amount of Rs. 1500/-. He further stated that he settled the dispute with the management independently of the Union as the terms were favourable. He further stated that no undue influence was exercised on him by the management and that he has settled the dispute with the management out of his own sweet will.

7. Moreover, the provision under section 2-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 does away with the requirement of espousal of an individual dispute for converting it into an industrial dispute in cases where a dispute or a difference arises out of (a) Discharge, (b) dismissal, (c) retrenchment, or (d) otherwise termination of services of an individual workman.

8. In these cases therefore, I find that the matter has been settled amicably between the concerned workman and the management and that in this case the dispute was in respect to discharge of the concerned workman. The terms of settlement are also fair and reasonable.

9. Under these circumstances I presume that no dispute exists between the parties and I record a 'No dispute' award between the parties.

10. This is my award. It may be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) SACHIDANAND SINHA, Presiding Officer.

[No. 2/13/69-LRII.]

S.O. 654.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Ningha Colliery of Messrs Lodna Colliery Company (1920) Limited, Post Office Kalipahari, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 5th February, 1970.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 89 of 1969

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Ningha Colliery of Messrs Lodna Colliery Company (1920) Limited,

AND

Their workmen

PRESENT:

Shri B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Sri D. Basu Thakur, Advocate.

On behalf of Workmen—Absent,

STATE: West Bengal

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/48/69/LRII, dated October 13, 1969, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Ningha Colliery of Messrs Lodna Colliery Company (1920) Limited and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether the dismissal from service of Shri Subodh Dey, loading clerk, with in relation to the management of Ningha Colliery of Messrs Lodna Colliery of Messrs Lodna Colliery Company (1920) Limited, Post Office Kali-pahari, District Burdwan was *bona fide* and justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. The workman was at first represented by the General Secretary of the Ningha Colliery Mazdoor Union (Ind.). That trade union took very little interest for the concerned workman. No written statement was filed on behalf of the workman nor was any appearance made today, which was fixed as the peremptory date of hearing. The management filed a written statement and was represented at the hearing today by Mr. D. Basu Thakur, Advocate.

3. In the written statement filed on behalf of the management, it is pleaded that by an order dated December 26, 1968, Subodh Dey, the workman, was transferred from Ningha Colliery to Lodna Colliery of the management without prejudice to his wages and other conditions of service. He did not honour the order of transfer. Thereupon he was charged with misconduct for having disobeyed the order of transfer. There was an enquiry held against him in which he participated. The enquiring officer found him guilty of the misconduct with which he had been charged and recommended his dismissal. Thereupon the agent of the colliery issued a letter of dismissal upon the workman and called upon him to collect his dues upon vacating his official quarters first of all.

4. A. Rahman, Assistant Personnel Officer of the management proved the necessary documents, namely, the order of transfer, the chargesheet, the notice of enquiry, the enquiry proceedings, the enquiry report and the order of dismissal. The documents were duly marked as exhibits. It appears from the above documents that the workman was charged for misconduct and dismissed after enquiry, which was duly conducted with proper regard to the rules of natural justice. Since there is little to find fault with the order of dismissal, I hold that the order of dismissal was properly made.

5. In the view that I take, I hold that the dismissal from service of Shri Subodh Dey, loading clerk, with effect from the 21st April, 1969 by the management of Ningha Colliery of Messrs Lodna Colliery Company (1920) Limited, was *bona fide* and justified. The workman is not entitled to the relief of reinstatement but his dues if not paid must be paid to him whether or not he delivers possession of his official quarters, which again may be recovered under due process of law.

This is my award.

Dated, February 2, 1970.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE, Presiding Officer

[No. 6/48/69-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 12th February 1970

S.O. 655.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, (No. 3) Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Simlabahal Colliery of Bhalgora Coal Company Limited Post Office Jharia, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 9th February, 1970.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 3) AT DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 109 OF 1968

PRESENT:

Shri Sachidanand Sinha, M.A.M.L., Presiding Officer.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Simlabahal Colliery.

AND

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES:

For workmen—Shri P. Burman, Secretary, Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh.

For employers—Shri P. K. Bose, Advocate.

INDUSTRY: Coal

STATE: Bihar.

Dhanbad, the 31st of January, 1970

AWARD

1. The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Simlabahal colliery of Bhalgora Coal Company Limited, Post Office Jharia, District Dhanbad and their workmen, by its order No. 2/106/68-LR II, dated the 25th of October, 1968 referred to this Tribunal under section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The Schedule is extracted below:—

SCHEDULE

“Whether the management of the Simlabahal colliery owned by Bhalgora Coal Company Limited, Post Office Jharia, District Dhanbad was justified in stopping the following workmen from work with effect from the dates noted against each:—

S. No.	Name of the workmen	Designation	date
1.	Shri Satar Seikh	Pick Miner	2-4-1968
2.	Shri Seo Lal Sao	Pick Miner	27-4-1968
3.	Shri Dashu Rabidas	Pick Miner	27-4-1968
4.	Shri Narayan Sao	Pick Miner	28-3-1968
5.	Shri Sadhu Koiri	Pick Miner	28-3-1968
6.	Shri Lakhnan Pandit	Pick Miner	28-3-1968
7.	Shri Babulal Koiri	Pick Miner	28-3-1968
8.	Shri Ganga Jaiswara	Pick Miner	28-3-1968
9.	Shri Jawahir Loth	Pick Miner	28-3-1968
10.	Shri Nandku Loth	Pick Miner	28-3-1968
11.	Shri Dayal Jaiswara	Pick Miner	28-3-1968
12.	Shri Bhurai Jaiswara	Pick Miner	28-3-1968
13.	Shri Babib	H/Mazdoor	1-3-1968
14.	Shri Wazil Mian	Trammer	1-3-1968
15.	Most Habiban Bibi	W/Loader	1-4-1968

If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?”

2. The Secretary, Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh filed written statement on 26th November, 1968 on behalf of the concerned workmen. Their case is that the management manipulated the records of the colliery, pertaining to the workers, and verbally rendered idle all the workers, listed in schedule at the end of March, and beginning of April, 1968, because they were members of the Union, though all of them were permanent employees. According to the Union the management has resorted to this action with the ulterior motive of victimising the workmen for their Trade Union activities.

3. The management filed written statement on 30th December, 1968. Their case is that the issue involved is not an industrial dispute but is an individual dispute hence is out side of the scope of Industrial Disputes Act. The issue was raised before the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C), Dhanbad by one Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh which is neither representative body of the workmen nor recognised by the management and as such are not competent to raise any industrial dispute on behalf of the workmen of Simlabahal Colliery.

4. On facts it was alleged that the matters was raised before the Assistant Labour Commissioner by a letter dated 10th April, 1968 and in that letter the Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh had stated that the workmen were stopped from duty with effect from 1st April, 1968 and also named 19 workmen involved. But in the schedule of the reference only 15 names appear. According to the management none of the 15 workmen mentioned in the order of reference were ever stopped by them on the contrary some of the workmen have been absenting themselves without permission of the management. It is also alleged that the workmen either jointly or individually never approached the management to say that they were stopped from their duties. Therefore, according to the management they never stopped the 15 concerned workmen rather the workmen had themselves stopped reporting for duty and since the stoppage of work was affected by the workmen themselves they are not entitled any relief.

5. On behalf of the management one witness was examined viz. Shri H. K. Sharma, who was the Manager of the colliery during the relevant period. Six items of documents were also exhibited on behalf of the management and they are marked as Ext. M-1 to M-6. On behalf of the Union 5 witnesses were examined out of them WW-1 is the workmen mentioned in serial No. 1, WW-2 is the workmen mentioned in serial No. 13, WW-3 is the workmen mentioned in serial No. 13, WW-4 is the workman mentioned in serial No. 6 of the Schedule of the reference and WW-5 is Sri S. K. Roy, General Secretary of Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh.

6. The point for consideration is whether the management was justified in stopping the concerned 15 workmen from work with effect from the date noted against each in the order of reference?

7. The management has taken a plea on the maintainability of the reference. According to the management it is not an industrial dispute but an individual dispute.

8. WW-5 is Sri S. K. Roy, the General Secretary of Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh. He has stated in his evidence that in the year 1967-68 the total employees of this colliery varied from 300 to 350 and in the year 1967, 240 workmen of this colliery were the members of the Union Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh. He has further stated on oath that the concerned 15 workmen are the members of the Union Krantikari Mazdoor Sangh. He has filed the membership register of the year 1967 (Ext. W-3). He has also prepared a list from the membership register and it is marked Ext. W-2 and this list shows that the concerned 15 workmen are all members of the Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh. He has also filed a list of members of the Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh for the year 1968 (Ext. W-4) which shows that the 15 concerned workmen were also members of the Krantikari Mazdoor Sangh in the year 1968.

9. I therefore, hold that the concerned workmen were members of the Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh from before the present dispute arose i.e. from 10th April, 1968. I therefore, hold that the Union Krantikari Mazdoor Sangh had the jurisdiction to sponsor the dispute of these concerned workmen and the objection of the management falls.

10. According to the management they did not stop any of the concerned workmen on the other hand the workers have deserted from work on their own accord. According to the Union the management stopped them from work with motive of victimising the workmen for their trade union activities.

11. The Union has filed certain papers in order to show that the management had animus against the concerned 15 workmen as they were the members of Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh. Ext. W-5 is the letter dated 25th of August, 1967 addressed by the Labour Enforcement Officer, Jharia to the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Dhanbad. He had visited the colliery on 24th August, 1967 in order to make enquiry against the grievances of the workers of Simlabahal colliery on the complaint of the Secretary, Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh. Ext. W-6 is the letter dated 18th September, 1967 by the General Secretary Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh to the manager, Simlabahal colliery alongwith others intimating that there would be strike on the 3rd October, 1967 in support of the Mines Workers' demand for the implementation of the Recommendations of the Coal Wage Board. Ext. W-7 is the letter dated 8th March, 1968 addressed by the Secretary Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh to the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Dhanbad intimating that the management of Simlabahal colliery has illegally stopped the work of the 163 workmen mentioned in that letter. Ext. W-8 is the copy of joint petition dated 20th March, 1968 of the workers of the Simlabahal colliery to the Regional Labour Commissioner, Dhanbad. Ext. W-9 is the letter dated 27th March, 1968 by the Secretary, Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh to the Superintendent of Police regarding the operation on the workers of the Simlabahal colliery by the management. All these papers go to show that the management was not pulling on well with this Union Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh and bore animus against this union.

12. WW-1 is Satar Seikh, workman mentioned in Serial No. 1 of the schedule. He has stated in his evidence that the management of the Colliery made him to sit idle saying that there was no job for him and that he could demand the job from the Union. WW-2 is Masomat Habiban Bibi, workman mentioned in serial No. 15 of the Schedule. She stated in her evidence that she was working in this colliery for 5 years along with her son & son-in-law and that they are members of the Krantikari Koyala Mazdoor Sangh and that the management stopped them from work. WW-3 is Habib Mian, the workman mentioned in serial No. 13 of the schedule. He has stated in his evidence that the management made him to sit idle as a way of victimisation. WW-4 is Lakhan Pandit, workman mentioned in serial No. 6 of the schedule. He has stated in his evidence that after they became the member of the Union the management turned them out saying that henceforth the Union will give them employment and not the management. According to the aforesaid witnesses of the management made them to sit idle for their trade union activities. No sufficient reason has been shown to me by the management to disbelieve their statement.

13. On behalf of the management it was submitted before me that the case of the Union before the Conciliation Officer was that the concerned workmen were stopped from work with effect from 1st of April, 1968 while from the schedule of the reference it appears that the concerned workmen were stopped from different dates. But the Union has explained this discrepancy satisfactorily. The Union made complaint to the Assistant Labour Commissioner on the basis of the oral statement made by the workmen to the Union. The management's records show that they were stopped not from 1st April, 1968 but from different dates. The registers are maintained by the management and they showed different dates. According to the Union their source of information was the statement of the workmen. The attendance register maintained by the management shows the dates from when they were stopped and therefore, the discrepancy between the statement made by the Union in their letter dated 10th April, 1968 before the Assistant Labour Commissioner and in the order of the reference. The discrepancy is satisfactorily explained and as such the discrepancy is of no consequence.

14. According to the management the workmen have deserted from work on their own accord. But absence from work without permission is not abandonment of employment and the employer has no right to treat the workman's temporary absence from work as abandonment of employment. In the Supreme Court case of Mafatala Naranda Barot and Divisional Controller, State Transport, Mahasana and another [L.L.J. 1966 (I) page 437] their Lordship held that the management may visit the punishment of discharge or removal from service on a person who has absented himself without leave and without reasonable cause, this cannot entail automatic removal from service without giving such person a reasonable opportunity to show cause why he be not removed.

15. I therefore, hold that the management was not justified in stopping the concerned workmen from work with effect from the dates given against each workmen in the schedule of the reference and they are entitled to be reinstated.

16. WW-1 has state in his evidence that at present he is working at B.N.R. Pit of Kustore Colliery since after 2 to 3 weeks from the date of stoppage of his work at Simlabahal colliery and that the other 14 concerned workmen are also working as miners in the same colliery or other collieries. Therefore, the concerned workmen are entitled for backwages only for 2 weeks. The concerned 15 workmen are entitled to be reinstated with continuity of service along with backwages for two weeks only. B---ts

17. This is my award. It may be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) SACHIDANAND SINHA,

Presiding Officer.

[No. 2/106/68-LR.II]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 11th February 1970

S.O. 656.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the managemnet of Kalyanpur Lime and Cement Works Limited, Banjari, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, wheras the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 2), Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- I. Whether the suspension and subsequent dismissal of Shri Mahabir Gareri are proper and justified? If not, is he entitled for reinstatement and wages for period of suspension or any other reliefs?
- II. Whether the following workmen are entitled to wages of 'C' grade of the first Cement Wage Board and the designation noted against their names:—

- | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Shri Motilal | .. Driller. |
| 2. Shri Chotal Mallah | .. Driller. |
| 3. Shri Bhagwati Singh | .. Pump Attendant. |
| 4. Shri Ramdhari Dusadh | .. Pump Operator. |

[No. 36(43)/69-LRIV.]

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 12th February 1970

S.O. 657.—In the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 4902, dated the 3rd December, 1969 published on page 5328 of the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3, Sub-Section (ii), dated the 13th December, 1969,—

- (i) in line 4 of the first paragraph as well as in the Schedule in lines 1 and 2, for 'Messrs Andrew Yule and Company Limited, Post Office Chirkunda, District Dhanbad' read 'Messrs Bengal Coal Company Limited under the Managing Agent of Messrs Andrew Yule and Company Limited, Post Office Chirkunda, District Dhanbad';
- (ii) in lines 3 and 4 of the Schedule, for 'Shot-firer' read 'Explosive Carrier'.

[No. F. 2/156/69-LR.II.]

P. C. MISRA, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 9th February 1970

S.O. 658.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Messrs Ram Bharos Shaw and Company, Contractor, Calcutta and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 6th February, 1970.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA.

REFERENCE No. 109 OF 1969.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to Messrs Ram Bharos Shaw & Co., Contractor, Calcutta,

AND
Their Workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers.—Sri Kedar Nath Shaw.

On behalf of Workmen.—Absent.

STATE: West Bengal.

AWARD

By Order No. 28(20)/69-LWI-III, dated December 12, 1969, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Messrs Ram Bharos Shaw & Co., Contractor, Calcutta, and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether the demand of the watchmen employed by M/s. Ram Bharos Shaw & Co., 4/2, Ward's Institution Street, Calcutta-6 for interim relief and dearness allowance as recommended by the Central Wage Board for Port and Dock Workers is justified? If so, from what date?"

2. At the time when this dispute was referred to this Tribunal, the workmen were represented by the National Union of Waterfront Workers. Two of the watchmen employed by Messrs Ram Bharos Shaw & Co. of the name of Rukhan Das and Yogi Raj appeared to-day in person and submitted that some of the watchmen have left the National Union of Waterfront Workers and joined a new trade union. I am prepared to believe their statement because since after the reference had been made the National Union of Waterfront Workers did not take any interest in this matter. They did not file their written statement nor did they appear on the date fixed for hearing the application for recording a purported settlement. They are absent even to-day.

3. The management also did not file their written statement. They sent a letter dated January 1, 1970, couched in the following language:

"Reference to your letter No. 109/69/1745 dated 24th December, 1969 regarding the demand of our Watchmen. Now I beg to draw your kind attention regarding the above matters is already settled by the Joint Secretary (Mr. Sukumar Bose) of the West Bengal Dock Mazdoor Union, 33, Hem Chandra Street, Calcutta-23 by a final Deed of Settlement dated 8th September, 1969 which is signed between us. We already paid their wages including Dearness allowance, Bonus and Uniform according to their demands and now we have got a very good relationship with our watchmen. And they are fully satisfied which for we have got no trouble at all."

To-day, Mr. Kedar Nath Shaw, a partner of Messrs Ram Bharos Shaw & Co., appears on behalf of employers. The West Bengal Dock Mazdoor Union, which claims to have obtained the membership of majority of watchmen employed in Ram Bharos Shaw & Co., appeared through Mr. Sukumar Bose, Joint Secretary. Two of the

watchmen of the name of Rikhan Das and Yogi Raj appeared themselves. It is stated before me that the dispute in the form referred need not be pressed because the workmen no longer present a united front. It was further stated before me that the West Bengal Dock Mazdoor Union will take up the cause of the workmen in a more comprehensive form.

4. In these circumstances, I am prepared to believe that the dispute, in the form referred to me, no longer exists for the present and I pass a 'no dispute' award in the circumstances aforesaid.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE.

Presiding Officer.

Dated, January 29, 1970.

[No. 28/20/69-LWI-III/P&D.]

S.O. 659.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Messrs M. L. Banerjee and Sons, Calcutta and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 6th February, 1970.

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No. 1),
DHANBAD**

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

REFERENCE No. 32 of 1968

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of M/s. M. L. Banerjee and Sons, Calcutta.

AND

Their Workmen.

PRESENT :

Shri Ram Asray Misra, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers.—None.

For the Workmen.—None.

STATE: West Bengal

INDUSTRY: Dock.

Dhanbad, dated the 30th January, 1970

AWARD

This reference, by the Central Government, in accordance with section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), under its order No. 28(35)/68-LRIII dated 15th July, 1968, is in respect of an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to M/s. M. L. Banerjee & Sons, F-59-A, Harishava Street, Calcutta and their workmen (Table Staff), represented by the Shipping Employees' Union, 38, Hemchandra Street, Calcutta-23. The precise nature and the details of the dispute between the parties will appear from the schedule quoted below which is incorporated in the order of reference.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the following demands of the Table Staff employed by Messrs M. L. Banerjee & Sons, Calcutta are justified. If so, to what rate and in what manner?

- (1) Grant of pay scale of Rs. 350-15-425-20-625
- (2) Dearness Allowance on the basis of cost of living index.
- (3) Provident Fund.
- (4) Sick Leave and Casual Leave.
- (5) Conveyance allowance.
- (6) Medical benefit/allowance

- (7) Overtime allowance.
- (8) Age of Superannuation; and
- (9) Gratuity."

2. By a subsequent order of the Central Government No. 28/35/68-LR.III dated 23rd December, 1968, the above schedule was amended to include "Privilege leave" also along with sick leave and casual leave in item No. 4.

3. The reference was registered in this Tribunal on 26th July, 1968 and after service of the usual notice, the parties filed their respective written statements. The written statement filed by the workmen is marked Ext. W1 and that of the employers has been marked Ext. M1. In reply to the written statement of the employers, the workmen have filed a rejoinder statement marked Ext. W2.

4. In their written statement and the rejoinder statement the workmen have tried to support their demands, elucidating them in detail. The employers have tried to counter their demands. It is, however, not necessary to discuss the details of the demands of the workmen and the stand of the employers with regard to them and the reasons given by the latter for denying them, because even before the stage of recording of evidence was reached, the parties mutually settled the whole dispute between themselves and, therefore, no decision on the controversial issues raised by them is now called for from me. The parties have sent a joint petition incorporating the terms of the settlement in Annexure 'A', and have prayed that the dispute which is the subject matter of this reference be decided on terms incorporated in it. The petition and annexure 'A' are both signed by the representatives of the parties and their signatures are duly attested by witnesses. I have gone through the petition and annexure 'A' and, in my opinion, the terms on which the dispute has been settled between the employers and the workmen are fair.

5. My award, therefore, is that the dispute which is the subject matter of this reference be decided in terms of the joint petition of the parties dated 2nd December, 1969 and annexure 'A', which will both form part of the award.

6. Let this award be submitted to the Central Government as required by section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

(Sd.) RAM ASARY MISRA,
Presiding Officer.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL. NO. 1
DHANBAD

In the matter of a Reference No. 62/1968

AND

In the matter of an Industrial dispute

BETWEEN

M/s. M. L. Banerjee & Sons, P-59A, Harisava Street, Calcutta-23.

AND

Their workmen (Table-Staff) represented by the Shipping Employees' Union, 38, Hemchandra Street, Calcutta-23.

In respect of the above dispute, the parties have arrived at a settlement, terms whereof are submitted herewith as Annexure-A to this petition.

The parties therefore pray that the Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to approve the terms of the settlement and pass an Award incorporating those terms of the settlement.

For M. L. BANERJEE, & Sons.
M. L. BANERJEE,
2/12/69.
Proprietor.

AUDHIR DAS SHARMA,

Joint Secretary.
2/12/69.

Signature of the
Representative of
the Shipping Employees'
Union with designation.

Witness:

ASIM KANTI BANERJEE,
50-A Mansatala Lane Cal.-23.
B. N. CHATTERJEE 2/12/69.
4/D. Straight Lane Cal.-27.
2/12/69.

Signature of the
Representative of the
Employers with Designation

Witness:

(Sd.) Illegible.

ANNEXURE 'A'

Terms of Settlement

1 (A) That the following named Table Staff working under Contractorship of M/s. M. L. Banerjee & Sons, P-59A, Harisava Street, Calcutta-23. On board the Vessels of American Isbrandtensen Line. Under the Agency of M/s. Lionel Edwards Ltd., 21, Old Court House Street, Calcutta-1 under their principals as above will be covered by this agreement viz:—

- (1) Sri S. K. Dasgupta.
- (2) Sri S. K. Chakravorty.
- (3) Sri B. C. Bhattacharjee.
- (4) Sri A. K. Chakravorty.
- (5) Sri B. N. Chatterjee.
- (6) Sri K. N. Guha.
- (7) Sri A. K. Banerjee.
- (8) Sri A. K. Das.
- (9) Sri B. B. Bhowmick.
- (10) Sri A. K. Chatterjee.
- (11) Sri S. N. Bhattacharjee.
- (12) Sri R. L. Ganguly.
- (13) Sri J. K. Nag.
- (14) Sri B. B. Roychoudhury.
- (15) Sri D. C. Chatterjee.
- (16) Sri D. K. Sen.

Designation:—

(B) That Sl. No. 1 to 9 will be treated as Assistant Clerks ("A" category).

(C) That S. No. 10 to 16 will be treated as Assistant Clerks ("B" category).

Wages:—

2. (a) The daily rate of wages in respect of all the workmen from SL. No. 1 to 16 will be at the rate of Rs. 12.93 per each day shift and at the rate of Rs. 13.93 per each Afternoon &/ or Night shift.

(b) If any one of the Table Staff employed as Ship's Clerk he will be paid at the rate of Rs. 16.14 per each day shift and at the rate of Rs. 17.14 per each Afternoon and/or Night shift.

3. *Minimum Guarantee:—*That SL. No. 1 to 9 will be entitled to minimum guaranteed wages of 21 shifts per each Calendar month irrespective of the number of working shifts.

The minimum guaranteed wages for SL. No. 10 to 16 will be 12 shift per month.

4. The workers will be entitled to an amount at the rate of Rs. 1 (Rupee one only.) per every shift of work in Afternoon and/or Night shift over and above the minimum guaranteed wages in case of such employment.

5. *Working shifts:—*The workers will be obliged to work two consecutive shifts a day; provided he is fit to work, at the exigencies of services required for efficient performance of clock work.

6. *Roster off:—*4 day's Roster-Off a month fixed at the rate of 1 day per week will be allowed to workers on staggering basis on their day shift wages.

7. *Payment on Holidays:—*The workers will be entitled to holidays as will be declared by C.D.L.B. and will be paid accordingly.

8. *Bonus:—*The workers will be entitled to Bonus as will be decided by C.M.S.A. and/or by the principal employer M/s. Lionel Edwards Ltd, which ever is higher.

9. *Leave:—*A worker will be entitled to 30 days privilege leave per year of service, 7 days medical leave per year of service. 5 days casual leave per year of service. The above privilege leave may be accumulative for 2 years. The leave will be allowed with wages.

10. *Accident benefit:—*As per workmen's compensation Act.

11. *Retrenchment Compensation:—*For the purpose of superannuation (Age—60), retrenchment or otherwise termination of service, a worker shall be entitled to payment @ 15 days' wages for every year of service. And for the purpose of any

such payments their services will be counted from 1st September 1969. And the services rendered previous to 1st September 1969 will be treated as casual services i.e., services rendered as and when work was available.

12. The Employer company agrees to abide by the decision of any enactment and/or laws which will be implemented from time to time for extending the benefits and privileges to those Table Staff.

13. In case of creation of Reserve Pool of the Table Staff, the company reserves the right to send the workmen in the Reserve Pool after necessary compliance of registration by the appropriate authority.

14. It is further agreed that the continuance of employment of the workers shall always be dependent on the continuance of contract with M/s. Lionel Edwards Ltd.

15. During the continuance of this agreement and/or any time thereafter if the contract of M/s. M. L. Banerjee & Sons is terminated by M/s. Lionel Edwards Ltd., or if the contractor terminate the contract then the services of the Table Staff will be terminated by giving one month's notice or notice pay and retrenchment compensation.

16. That in case of change of contractorship, the Employers shall recommend for their employment with any other employer.

17. That the Table Staff will not work under any other contractor or employer while they work under this contractor.

18. The booking for work will be given either from the office or on board the ship at the convenience of the contractor.

19. That the Table Staff will report for duty every day at 1-30 p.m. at contractor's Office except when they are on duty on ships or on holidays or leave.

20. This agreement shall have the effect of enforcement on and from 1st September, 1969 and shall be binding for 1 year.

Witness:

ASIM KANTI BANERJEE,
2-12-69.

B. N. CHATTERJEE.

2/12/69.

For M. L. BANERJEE, & Sons.

(Sd.) Illegible,

Proprietor.

Witness:

(Sd.) Illegible

2/12/69.

(Sd.) RAM ASARY MISRA, Presiding Officer.

[No. 28/35/68-LRIII/P & D.]

New Delhi, the 11th February 1970

S.O. 660.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 7th February, 1970.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2,
BOMBAY

REFERENCE No. CGIT-2/23 of 1968

Employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay

AND

Their Workmen

PRESENT:

Shri N. K. Vani, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

For the employers—Shri R. K. Shetty, Deputy Legal Adviser, Bombay Port Trust.

For the workmen—No appearance.

INDUSTRY: Port and Docks

STATE: Maharashtra.

Bombay, dated the 2nd February, 1970

AWARD

By order No. 28(122)/66-LRIV dated 27th September, 1966, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay for adjudication an industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust and their workmen represented by the Bombay Port Trust General Workers Union, Bombay in respect of the matter set forth in the schedule mentioned below:—

SCHEDULE

“Whether having regard to their normal duties, the Bombay Port Trust Administration is justified in requiring the Mazdoors employed at the manifold of its M.O.T. Section at Trombay to sweep and clean their operational areas and surroundings.”

2. Later on the Central Government by order No. 22/8/68-LRIII dated 25th November, 1968 transferred the reference to this Tribunal No. 2, Bombay.

3. The facts giving rise to this reference are as follows:—

4. The workmen represented by the Bombay Port Trust General Workers' Union, Bombay and the employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust made joint application to the Central Government under sub-section (2) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) for making reference to a Tribunal of an industrial dispute existing between them in respect of the matter mentioned in the application and reproduced in the schedule mentioned above.

5. After the receipt of the reference in this court usual notices were issued to the parties to file their statements.

6. After taking adjournments from time to time, Shri S. D. Chittar, Secretary, Bombay Port Trust filed written Statement on behalf of the Trustees of Port of Bombay in this Court on 4th November, 1969. According to him, the Mazdoors at manifold of the M.O.T. Section at Trombay have always been sweeping and cleaning their operational areas and the surroundings as required by their superior officers. The quantum of sweeping work is such that it is unnecessary to appoint full time Sweepers. It has been the traditional duties of the Mazdoors to sweep and clean the operational areas and the surroundings at the Trombay Manifold. In these circumstances there is no justification whatsoever on the part of the Mazdoors to refuse to sweep and clean to operational areas and their surroundings as required. The Bombay Port Trust is justified in requiring the Mazdoors employed at the Manifold of its M.O.T. Section at Trombay to sweep and clean their operational areas and surroundings.

7. Even after the written statement was filed by the Employers, the Union failed to file its written statement. Notice was therefore, issued to the Union to file written statement before 22nd December, 1969. In spite of this notice also the Union failed to file written statement or to make application for getting the time extended for filing the written statement. Hence notice was again issued informing the parties that the case was fixed for hearing on 28th January, 1970 at 11-30 A.M.

8. On 12th January, 1970 Dr. S. Maitra, General Secretary, B.P.T. General Workers' Union, Bombay sent a letter addressed to this Tribunal. It is as below:—

“Dear Sir,

The Government of India under their order No. 28(122)/66-LRIV dated 27th September, 1966, have referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Honourable Tribunal.

‘Whether having regard’ to their normal duties, the Bombay Port Trust Administration is justified in requiring the Mazdoors employed at

the manifold of its M.O.T. Section at Trombay to sweep and clean their operational areas and surroundings.

The Union after considering the matter carefully does not wish to pursue this dispute further and request the permission to withdraw the dispute from the Honourable Tribunal."

9. On 28th January, 1970 Shri R. K. Shetty, Deputy Legal Adviser of the Bombay Port Trust appeared before this Tribunal but nobody appeared on behalf of the employees. Shri Shetty contends that it is not open to the General Secretary of B.P.T. General Worker's Union to withdraw the dispute from the Tribunal, though he may not wish to pursue this dispute further and that this Tribunal should give a clear finding that the Bombay Port Trust is justified in requiring the Mazdoors employed at the manifold of its M.O.T. Section at Trombay to sweep and clean their operational areas and surroundings. He contends that the burden is on the employees to prove that there is no justification for doing this work that inasmuch as they have failed to appear before the Tribunal and that inasmuch as they do not wish to pursue the matter further, the inevitable inference against them will have to be drawn.

10. This reference has been made to this Tribunal by the Central Government under Section 10(2) of the Industrial dispute Act, 1947. It is as follows:—

"(2) Where the parties to an industrial dispute apply in the prescribed manner whether jointly or separately for a reference of the dispute to a Board Court, Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal the appropriate Government if satisfied that the persons applying represent the majority of each party, shall make the reference accordingly."

11. As the reference has been made by the Government, one of the parties to the reference cannot say that it should be permitted to withdraw the dispute. This Tribunal has no power to allow the reference to be withdrawn at the instance of any party to the reference. The Tribunal has to consider the reference and submit its report to the Government. I am, therefore, unable to accede to the request made by Dr. Maitra that permission be given to him to withdraw the dispute.

12. Dr. Maitra says in his letter dated 7th January, 1970 referred to above that the Union after considering the matter carefully does not wish to pursue this dispute further.

13. It can be inferred from this that the Union accepts the stand taken by the Bombay Port Trust that it is the traditional duties of the Mazdoors at the Trombay Manifold to sweep and clean the operational areas and their surroundings as required by their superior officers.

14. It appears from the statement of the Bombay Port Trust dated 3rd November, 1969 that the quantum of sweeping work is such that it is unnecessary to appoint full time sweepers. Moreover, the Mazdoors have been doing this work at the instance of the Superior Officers since long and it has become a practice of getting this work done through the Mazdoors. I am, therefore, of the view that the Bombay Port Trust is justified in requiring the Mazdoors employed at the Manifold of its M.O.T. Section at Trombay to sweep and clean their operational areas and surroundings.

15. I, therefore, pass the following order:—

ORDER

- (i) It is hereby declared that the Bombay Port Trust Administration is justified in requiring the Mazdoors employed at the Manifold of its M.O.T. Section at Trombay to sweep and clean their operational areas and surroundings.
- (ii) Award is made accordingly.
- (iii) No order as to costs.

(Sd.) N. K. VANI, Presiding Officer,
Central Government Industrial,
Tribunal No. 2, Bombay.

Dated 2nd February, 1970

[No. 28/122/66-IR-IV/P&D.]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th February 1970

S.O. 661.—Whereas the employers in relation to the management of Calcutta Licensed Measures and their workmen represented by (i) Calcutta Port & Dock Workers' Union and (ii) National Union of Waterfront Workers have jointly applied to the Central Government for reference of an industrial dispute that exists between them to an Industrial Tribunal in respect of the matter set forth in the said application and reproduced in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that person applying represent the majority of each party;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of the Measuring Porters and Gearmen of the Calcutta Licensed Measures for wages for the period of closure/lockout from 3rd December 1968 to 27th January 1969 is justified? If so, to what extent?"

[No. 28(80)/69-Fac.II.]

C. RAMDAS, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 10th February 1970

S.O. 662.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Delhi in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the United Commercial Bank Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 5th February, 1970.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL: DELHI.

PRESENT:

Shri R. K. Baweja, Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Delhi.
23rd January, 1970

I. D. No. 2 of 1968

BETWEEN

M/s. United Commercial Bank Ltd., 384, Chandni Chowk, Delhi,

AND

Its workman Shri Bhikam Singh, as represented by the United Commercial Bank Employees Union (Regd.), 384, Chandni Chowk, Delhi.

Shri B. R. Ghaiyc.—for the management.

Shri M. S. Gupta.—for the workman.

AWARD

The Central Government vide its Order No. 51/74/67/LR/III dated 4th January, 1968, referred an industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the United Commercial Bank Ltd., (hereinafter to be referred as Bank) and their workman in respect of the following matter:—

"Whether the demand of the United Commercial Bank Employees Union, Delhi that Shri Bhikam Singh Chowkidar United Commercial Bank Limited Branch Office, Krishna Nagar, Delhi should be confirmed with effect from the 24th September, 1965, is justified? If so, to what relief is he entitled?"

2. The United Commercial Bank Employees Union (Regd.) Delhi filed a statement of claim on behalf of its workman Shri Bhikam Singh who was appointed as Waterman-cum-Chowkidar w.e.f. 24th March, 1966, under the Bank. It was alleged that he was appointed against a permanent vacancy and continued to serve the Bank upto the 24th September, 1965, without any break and as such he should have been confirmed w.e.f. that date. It was further alleged that Sarvshri Sureshanand and Badri Parshad, who had been working in the same vacancy, were permanent employees of the bank and this post was never treated as a temporary one. The statement of claim further continued that after a break of seven days from 25th September, 1965 to 30th September, 1965, the concerned workman was again taken on duty on the 1st October, 1965, and continued as such till the 28th February, 1966. Then with a break from 29th February, 1966, to 8th March, 1966, the workman continued upto 8th June, 1966. Again there was break in service from 9th June, 1966, to 15th June, 1966, and since 16th June, 1966, the workman has been serving in the bank at its Kishan Ganj branch though formerly he was in the Chandni Chowk branch. The grievance of the union is that this was an unfair labour practice to continue an employee in service against a permanent post for years and with several breaks when there was no justification for it. It was, therefore, prayed that the demand of the union be accepted and the workman be deemed to have been confirmed w.e.f., 24th September, 1965.

3. The case of the bank as given in its written statement was that Shri Bhikam Singh was appointed on a purely temporary basis as the post was a temporary one and he continued in that post till the 24th September, 1965, on which date he was relieved. Thereafter he was given extension of service from time to time and whenever the period of post expired, his services were terminated but he was taken into service when the post existed. This according to the bank, was on purely temporary post and the concerned workman was apprised of this position in the various letters of appointment issued to him. A rejoinder was also filed by the union in reply to the written statement filed by the bank.

4. No issue arose out of the pleadings of the parties excepting what is covered by the term of reference above. The parties produced before me oral evidence and also certain documents. I shall now proceed to give my finding on the term of reference on the basis of the evidence placed on the record before me.

Term of Reference:

5. On the 24th March, 1965, the workman was appointed as Waterman-cum-Peon at the Chandni Chowk branch and the letter Ext. M/1 was issued to him. In this letter it is clearly mentioned that he was being appointed on a purely temporary basis for two months on certain terms and conditions enumerated therein. This period expired on the 24th May, 1965, and on the same day i.e. 24th May, 1965, he was issued another letter by which his period of appointment was extended by another two months *vide* Ext. M/2. On the 24th July, 1965, he was informed that his period of appointment has been further extended by another two months on the same terms and condition *vide* Ext. M/3. On the 24th September, 1965, his services were terminated and he was informed by a letter on that date *vide* Ext. M/4, that he was no more required. Again on the 1st October, 1965, he was issued another appointment letter Ext. M/5, in which he was again appointed Peon in the Chandni Chowk branch on a temporary basis for two months. This was on his application which is Ext. M/6. His period of appointment further continued to be extended by various letters sometime for two months and sometime for one month upto the 28th February, 1966. On the 28th February, 1966 he was informed *vide* Ext. M/10 that he was relieved from his office since his services were not required. He was again appointed w.e.f. 9th of March for a period of two months on purely temporary basis and continued as such upto 8th June, 1966. Then there was a break of seven days in his service upto the 15th June, 1966, and on 16th June, 1966, he was transferred to Krishna Nagar branch of the bank. This period of service continued till he was made permanent on the 1st July, 1967. The documents to that effect have been placed on the record and they bear out what has been stated by the bank. On behalf of the union, it was, however, contended that the workman was appointed against a permanent vacancy which existed in the Chandni Chowk branch of the bank but he was shown as a temporary hand for a considerable period so that certain benefits which were available to him under the Desai award may not accrue to him. It was also contended on behalf of the union that the breaks in the service of the workman were also intentional with that end in view and that in fact the post against which the workman was appointed continued to exist during the various periods of break mentioned above. It is, therefore, to be seen as to how far this argument has substance and may be allowed to prevail.

6. The concerned workman was not, however, produced by the union before me. Reliance was placed on the statement of Shri Ram Sumer WW1. He was appointed as a Waterman-cum-Peon in 1954 in the Chandni Chowk branch of the bank. He worked there for three years and was made permanent on 1st March, 1957. After he was made a Peon, the post of Waterman-cum-Peon was filled up by the appointment of Shri Sureshanand. It was done in 1960. After sometime the witness stated that Sureshanand was replaced by the concerned workman and when Bhikam Singh was transferred to Kishan Ganj branch, Ansuia Parshad and thereafter Badri Parshad were appointed as Waterman-cum-Peon in the Chandni Chowk branch. From the statement of the witness, an attempt was made to show by the union that the post of Waterman-cum-Peon had existed in 1954, that it was not a temporary post and that the appointment of the concerned workman was against a permanent post and not against on a temporary post. The only witness produced by the bank was Shri S. K. Tandon MW1. Mr. Tandon is supervisor in the Chandni Chowk branch of the bank. He stated that Bhikam Singh was appointed in Chandni Chowk branch as Peon-cum-Waterman on 24th March, 1965, against a temporary post created in 1965. He further added that the Manager was competent to create it. He deposed that so long as Bhikam Singh remained in that branch, he was temporary. In cross-examination, he had, however, a different story to narrate. He stated that this post was first created for several months only in 1961 but further added that it was temporarily created in December, 1963. Sureshanand, according to this witness, was appointed in September, 1963, and his services were terminated on 19th October, 1963, but he was again re-appointed on 2nd December, 1963. When asked if after the transfer of Bhikam Singh, he was replaced by Badri Parshad and another, he replied that he did not know. The order creating this temporary post, either in 1961, or 1963, was not produced. After going through this evidence, I feel that the post of Waterman-cum-Peon had existed in the Chandni Chowk branch of the bank since 1954 and may be even earlier. Shri Ram Sumer was appointed against this post in 1954 and was made permanent after three years as peon against another post. As this post fell vacant, Sureshanand was appointed who was then succeeded by the concerned workman. So this contention of the bank that this post was a temporary one and was created in 1963 is not borne out from the record before me. Under these circumstances, I have no reason to dis-believe Shri Ram Sumer and otherwise too, the circumstances warrant that conclusion. It is true that in the first letter of appointment dated the 24th March, 1965, the workman was appointed on temporary basis but it was not against a temporary post, but against a post which had existed for a very long time and was a permanent one. In the various letters of appointment placed on the record and issued to the workman, it was shown that he was being temporarily appointed against a leave vacancy. The bank did not adduce any evidence to prove its contention and if any temporary vacancy had occurred against which the workman was appointed, it should have been shown so from the record. The leave statements were placed on the record by the bank and from these documents, the learned counsel for the bank was not able to show against what leave arrangement the concerned workman was appointed for the various periods indicated in the letters of appointment. The inference is, therefore, quite natural that the concerned workman was appointed against the post of Waterman-cum-Peon which had existed since 1954. It was not against any leave vacancy, though in the letters of appointment, it was shown as such. It has also not been explained as to what the necessity was of breaks in the services of the workman when the post existed. There was hardly any justification to terminate the services of the workman and again appointing him after a week or so on several occasions. This gives rise to the inescapable conclusion that the bank did not want to give him the benefits if any, under the various awards and postponed the confirmation which was due to the workman by adopting these devices. It is certainly an unfair labour practice to deprive a workman of his legitimate dues to which he is entitled under the law or any award. I, therefore, hold that the vacancy against which the concerned workman was appointed was a permanent one, that there was no justification for the breaks in his service and that he was appointed not against any leave vacancy.

7. The next question that falls for determination is, if under the Desai award the workman could be deemed to have been confirmed from 24th September, 1966, in the above circumstances? My attention in this connection, was drawn to para 23.15 of the Desai Award, in which for the purpose of the said award the employees of the bank were classified. There it is mentioned that a "probationer" means an employee who is provisionally employed to fill a permanent vacancy or post and has not been made permanent or confirmed in service. It is argued by the counsel of the union, that the concerned workman was a probationer in as much as he was provisionally

employed to fill a permanent vacancy or a post and had not been made permanent and confirmed in service. In this connection, I was also referred to para 21.17 of the same award, which reads as follows:—

“The Sastry Tribunal has directed that in case of persons whose work was found to be quite satisfactory during the period of probation but who were likely to improve and give satisfaction if a further opportunity was given to them the period of probation might be extended by three months provided due notice in writing was given to them and their consent in writing was obtained before the extension of their period of probation and that in all other cases probationers, after the expiry of the period of six months, should be deemed to have been confirmed, unless their service were dispensed with on or before the expiry of the period of probation.”

It was contended on behalf of the workman that “after the workman had been in six months continuous service, he should be deemed to have been confirmed unless his services were dispensed with on or before the expiry of the period of probation.” In view of these provisions of the award, when the workman had been working against a permanent vacancy for a period of six months and the subsequent periods of break were not *bona fide* the natural inference is that he should have been confirmed w.e.f., 24th September and the order of the bank confirming him on a subsequent date was, therefore, not proper.

8. The learned counsel for the management, however, drew my attention to the definition of “temporary employee” given in para 23.15 of the said award, and it was contended that in view of that definition, the workman was a “temporary employee”. The definition given in the said para of “temporary employee” is as follows:—

“Temporary employee” means an employee who has been appointed for a limited period for work which is of an essentially temporary nature, or who is employed temporarily as an additional employee in connection with a temporary increase in work of a permanent nature and includes an employee other than a permanent employee who is appointed in a temporary vacancy of a permanent workman.”

In the present case, the applicant was not appointed for limited period for work which was essentially of a temporary nature. The post had existed from 1954. He was not employed temporarily as an additional employee in connection with the temporary increase in work and of a permanent nature nor was he employed in a temporary vacancy of a permanent worker. This being so, I fail to understand as to how the concerned workman comes within the purview of the definition of the “temporary employee” given in this para of the award. I am, therefore, satisfied that Bhikam Singh should have been confirmed w.e.f., 24th September, 1965. As the management has not done so, it is directed to confirm him from that date. The reference is answered in favour of the workman and the award is made accordingly.

(Nine pages)

(Sd.) R. K. BAWEJA,

Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal: Delhi.

23rd January, 1970

[No. 51/74/67/LRIII.]

New Delhi, the 11th February 1970

S.O. 663.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the National and Grindlays Bank Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 7th February, 1970.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 90 OF 1969

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the National and Grindlays Bank Ltd.,

AND

Their workmen

PRESENT:

Sri B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Sri M. S. Bala.

On behalf of Workmen—Sri A. D. Singh.

STATE: West Bengal

INDUSTRY: Banking.

AWARD

By Order No. 23/62/69/LR.III, dated October 7, 1969, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation, (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the National and Grindlays Bank Limited and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication namely:

"Whether the action of the management of the National and Grindlays Bank Limited, Calcutta in holding a test for the recruitment of staff for the clerical cadre at St. Xavier's College on 24th November, 1968 without giving notice to all the workmen is justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

2. Both the parties filed their respective written statement. In the written statement filed on behalf of the workmen, represented by National and Grindlays Bank Staff Union, it is pleaded:

"It is a custom of the bank and a privilege to the workmen that all the vacancies are notified on the notice-board for the information of all the workmen and preference is given to the workmen's relations in the recruitment."

The grievance made on behalf of the workmen was that in the instant case the recruitment examination was held without such a notice and thus the members and/or supporters of this union, (meaning National and Grindlays Bank Staff Union), were deprived of the opportunity of putting up their relations for the "trial of luck".

3. It is admitted before me that the examination has been held, recruitments have been made and the recruited persons are working in the Bank. It is too late for the National and Grindlays Bank Staff Union now to quarrel with the recruitment, at this stage, in the absence of the persons recruited.

4. Mr. Bala, appearing for the National and Grindlays Bank disputed any legal obligation on the part of the Bank to notify recruitment test examinations in the Notice Board of the local branches of the Bank. Having argued this matter for sometime, both Mr. Bala, appearing for the management and Mr. A. D. Singh, appearing for the National and Grindlays Bank Staff Union, agreed that suggestions made by the Tribunal that a notification of the recruitment examination in all the branches of the locality, where the recruitment was being made would not be such a matter of consequence as to invite a battle royal. Thereupon both the parties filed a joint petition before me therein stating, *inter-alia*, as hereinafter set out:

"Without admitting that the employers, National and Grindlays Bank Limited, are in any way obliged, in law, to make such notifications on the Notice Boards, the employers undertake that to this Tribunal in future recruitment test examinations they will publish the notifications of the recruitment test examinations on the Notice Boards of the Local Branches and in the Notice Board of the main Office of the Bank. It is distinctly understood that such notices will be published only in the Branches of a particular locality, say, for example, if the recruitment is for Calcutta, the notification will be published at the Calcutta Branches and at no other place."

They prayed that the present Reference may be disposed of on the above term.

5. Now that the dispute has been bridged by the parties themselves, I record the undertaking given on behalf of the National and Grindlays Bank Limited to this Tribunal and make the following award:

"That for the future the National and Grindlays Bank Limited must publish notifications of recruitment test examinations on the notice Boards of the local branches and at the notice Board of the main office of the Bank, it being distinctly understood that such notices shall be published only in the branches of a particular locality, say, for example, in the recruitment of Calcutta the notification will be published at the Calcutta branches and at no other place."

This is my award on the undertaking given before me by the National and Grindlays Bank Limited.

Dated, February 3, 1970.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.

[No. 23/62/69/LRII.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 9th February 1970

S.O. 664.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Ruby General Insurance Company Limited and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Sri Mohammad Majmuddin shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Hyderabad and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of the Ruby General Insurance Company Limited was justified in terminating the services of Shri M. Vishwanath, a workman of the Ruby General Insurance Company Limited, Hyderabad Branch with effect from the 2nd September, 1968? If not, to what relief is Shri M. Vishwanath entitled."

[No. 40/2/70-LRI.]

New Delhi, the 10th February 1970

S.O. 665.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 4741 dated the 19th November, 1969, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said order for the words and figures "at the 13th stage" the words and figures "at the 13th stage and above", shall be substituted.

[No. 16/12/68/LRIII.]

S.O. 666.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Central Bank of India, Patna and their workmen represented by the Bihar Provincial Central Bank of India Employees Association, Muzaffarpur;

And, whereas the said employers and workmen have, under sub-section (1) of Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the dispute to arbitration by arbitration agreement and have forwarded to the Central Government under sub-section (3) of section 10A of the said Act a copy of the said arbitration agreement.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the said Act, the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement which was received by it on the 3rd February, 1970.

Agreement

(Under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947).

BETWEEN

Name of the Parties:

Representing Employers.

Representing Workmen:

The Chief Agent, Central Bank of India, Patna.

The General Secretary, Bihar Provincial Central Bank of India Employees Association, Muzaffarpur.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following dispute to the arbitration of Shri A. P. Sinha, Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), Patna.

(i) *Specific matters of dispute:*

- (a) Whether the transfer of Shri R. K. Banerjee on promotion from Patna office to any office in the group outside the Corporation area of Patna be insisted by the Bank Management.
- (b) Can Shri Banerjee claim any privilege and insist on being posted at the same station where he was working as a clerk?
- (c) Whether a person at a later stage can agree for being transferred on promotion at his own sweet will or choice.
- (d) Can the member pick and choose a vacancy which he prefers?
- (e) Can a member of the All India Officer cadre who is liable to be posted at any station in India insist on promotion and claim to be posted at any one particular station.

(ii) *Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved.*

(a) Central Bank of India (Head Office—Bombay) with their Patna Branch.

Vs.

Bihar Provincial Central Bank of India Employees Association, Muzaffarpur.

(iii) *Name of the Union, if any, representing the workmen in question.*

Bihar Provincial Central Bank of India Employees Association, Mazaffarpur.

(iv) *Total Number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected.* 118.

(v) *Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute.*

The workman affected is Shri R. K. Banerjee and two other workmen viz., Shri N. D. Ghose and Shri M. K. Mukherjee, who have been approved for promotion to officer Grade and posting at offices outside the Corporation area are likely to be affected.

We further agree that the decision of the arbitrator be binding upon us—

The arbitrator(s) shall make his (their) award within a period of 60 days or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to the arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Signature of the parties:

Representing Employers.

Sd./- J. K. BURMAN,
29-1-1970.

Chief Agent, Central Bank of India,
Patna.

Representing Workmen:

Sd./- SREO SHANKAR PRASAD,
29-1-70

General Secretary,
Bihar Provincial Central Bank
of India Employees Association,
Muzaffarpur.

Witness:—

1. Sd./- Illegible.
29-1-1970.
2. Sd./- NIRODE KUMAR CHATTERJEE,
29-1-1970.

Dated 29-1-1970.

New Delhi, the 11th February 1970

S.O. 667.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Punjab National Bank and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of the Punjab National Bank in terminating the services of Shri Iqbal Singh, Godown Chowkidar, Raipur Branch of the Bank with effect from the 9th December, 1967 was justified? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

[No. 23/111/69/LRIII.]

S. S. SAHASRANAMAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour & Employment)

New Delhi, the 11th February 1970

S.O. 668.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that, with effect from the 31st March, 1969 section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to M/s. Omar Khayyam Wineries Private Limited, Anand Bhawan, 97, Chirag Ali Street, Hyderabad-1 be subject to the modification that for the words "six and a quarter per cent", the words "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8/102/69-PF.II(H).]

अम, नियोजन और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय

(अम और नियोजन विभाग)

दई दिल्ली, 11 फरवरी 1970

क्र० अ० 638—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तु क द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, इस विषय में, आवश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात्, एव द्वारा बहुविनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि 31 मार्च, 1969 से उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6, "मउत उमर खैय्याम बाइनराज प्राइवेट लिमिटेड", आनन्द भवन, 97, चिराग अली स्ट्रीट, हैदराबाद-1 को लागू होने के संबंध में इस उपान्तरण के अध्याधीन होगी कि "सवा छह प्रतिशत" शब्दों के लिए "आठ प्रतिशत" शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएं।

[सं० 8/103/69-भा० नि० 2 (ii)]

S.O. 669.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as The United Mercantile Company Private Limited, 158/164, Kalbadevi Road, Bombay-2 including its branch at Kuchaman City, Rajasthan, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty first day of January, 1969.

[No. 8/69/68/PF-II(I).]

का० प्रा० 669—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि दि यूनाइटेड मर्कन्टाइल कम्पनी प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 158/164, कालबा देवी रोड, बम्बई-2, जिसके अन्तर्गत उसकी कूचा मान सिटी, राजस्थान की शाखा भी है, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है ।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 की जनवरी के 31 वें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी ।

[सं० 8/69/68 भ० नि०—(II)]

S.O. 670.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that, with effect from the 31st January, 1969, section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to The United Mercantile Company Private Limited, 158/164, Kalbadevi Road, Bombay-2 including its branch at Kuchaman City, Rajasthan, be subject to the modification that for the words "six and a quarter per cent", the words "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8/69/68/PF-II(H).]

का० प्रा० 670—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम प्रस्तावक द्वारा जोच प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, इस विषय में आकांक्षित जांच कर लेने के पश्चात्, एतद्वारा यह विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि 31 जनवरी, 1969 से उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6, दि यूनाइटेड मर्कन्टाइल कम्पनी प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 158/164 कालबा देवी रोड, बम्बई-2 जिसके अन्तर्गत उसकी कूचा मान सिटी, राजस्थान, की शाखा भी है, को लागू होने के संबंध में इस उपान्तरण के अन्वयधीन होगी कि "सवा छह प्रतिशत" शब्दों के लिए "आठ प्रतिशत" शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएं ।

[सं० 8/69/68/भ० खे०—II(ii)]

S.O. 671.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Aswath and Co., Imperial Road, Cuddalore-2, Tamil Nadu including its branch at No. 10, Chetty street, Panruti, Tamil Nadu, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment with effect from the 30th November, 1969.

[No. 8/117/69-PF, II(I).]

का० प्रा० 671—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मसर्स अश्वथ एण्ड को०, इम्पीरियल रोड, कुड्डालोर-2, तामिल नाडु, जिसमें नं० 10, चेट्टि स्ट्रीट, पनरुत्ती, तामिल नाडु, में स्थित इसकी शाखा भी सम्मिलित है, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किये जाने चाहिये ;

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को 30 नवम्बर, 1969 से एतद्वारा लागू करती है ।

[सं० 8/117/69/पी० एफ० II(i)]

S.O. 672.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that, with effect from the 30th November, 1969 section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to Messrs Aswath and Company, Imperial Road, Cuddalore-2, Tamil Nadu, including branch at No. 10, Chetty Street, Panruti, Tamil Nadu, be subject to the modification that for the words "six and a quarter per cent", the words "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8/117/69-PF.II(II).]

का० प्रा० 672:—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, इस विषय में आवश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात्, एतद्वारा यह विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि 30 नवम्बर, 1969 से उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 में संशोधन एण्ड को०, इम्पीरियल रोड, कुड्डालोर-2, तामिल नाडु, जिसमें नं० 10, चट्टी स्ट्रीट पनरुती, तामिलनाडु में स्थित इसकी शाखा भी सम्मिलित है, को लागू होने के संबंध में इस उद्घोषण के अन्तर्गत होगी कि "सवा छह प्रतिशत" शब्दों के स्थान पर "आठ प्रतिशत" शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए गए थे।

[सं० 8/117/69-पी० एफ० 2(II)]

S.O. 673.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Hind Finance Industries and Investment Limited, Dhanwatay Chambers, Sitabuldi, Nagpur, Maharashtra State including its Branch at Station Road, Amravati, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty first day of December, 1968.

[No. 8/41/69-PF.II.]

का० प्रा० 673:—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसेर्स हिन्द फाइनेन्स इण्डस्ट्रीज एण्ड इन्वेस्टमेंट लिमिटेड, धनवतय चैम्बर्स, सीताबुल्डी, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र स्टेट, जिसके अन्तर्गत स्टेशन रोड, अमरावती, स्थित उसकी शाखा भी है, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपरान्त उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिपूचना 1968 के दिसम्बर के कनीसवे दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं० 8/41/69-भ० नि० II]

S.O. 674.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Navrang Cinema, Raopura, Baroda, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 30th day of September, 1968.

[No. 8/52/68-PF.II.]

का० आ० 674:—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स नवरंग सिनेमा, राबपुरा, बड़ौदा, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए,

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन का एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1968 के सितम्बर के 30 व दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[मं 8/52/68-भ. नि० II]

S.O. 675.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Lube India Limited, Administration Building, Corridor Road, Mahul, Bombay-74, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty first day of July, 1968.

[No. 8/179/68-PF.II(i).]

का० आ० 675:—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स लूबे इंडिया, लिमिटेड एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन बिल्डिंग, कोरीडोर रोड, माहुल, मम्बई, 74 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए।

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1968 की जुलाई के 31 वे दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[मं 8/179/68-भ० नि० II(i)]

S.O. 676.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that, with effect from the 31st July, 1968 section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to Messrs Lube India Limited, Administration Building, Corridor Road, Mahul, Bombay-74 be subject to the modification that for the words "six and a quarter per cent", the words "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8/179/68-PF.II(ii).]

का० आ० 679 :—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, इस विषय में आवश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात्, एतद्वारा यह विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि 31 जुलाई, 1968 से उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6, मैसर्स लूबे इंडिया लिमिटेड, एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन बिल्डिंग, कोरीडोर रोड, माहुल, मम्बई, 74 को लागू होने के पक्ष में इस उपांतरण के अग्रणी होगी कि "सबसे अधिक प्रतिशत" शब्दों के लिए "आठ प्रतिशत" शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएं।

[मं 8/179/68-भ नि० II (ii)]

S.O. 677.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Ashok Transports, Keecheri House, Cochin-2 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment with effect from 31st January, 1970.

[No. 8/81/69-PF.II.]

का० आ० 677 :—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैक्स ग्रशोक ट्रान्सपोर्ट, कीचेरी हाउस, कोच्चिन-2 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद् द्वारा 31 जनवरी, 1970 से लागू करती है ।

[सं० 8/81/69-पी० एफ० II]

S.O. 678.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as The Carriage Works Staff Cooperative Canteen Limited, XNC-472, Perambur, Madras-23, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment with effect from the 30th June, 1969.

[No. 8/17/69-PF.II.]

का० आ० 678 :—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैक्स कैरिज वर्क्स स्टाफ कोचपरेटिव केन्टीन लिमिटेड, एक्स एन सी 472, पेरम्बुर, मद्रास-23 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि, अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद् द्वारा 30 जून 1969 से लागू करती है ।

[सं० 8/17/69-पी० नि० II]

S.O. 679.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Worli Printers, Panalal Silk Mill Estate, Bombay-Agra Road, Bhandup, Bombay-78, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty first day of April, 1968.

[No. 8/140/68-PF.II.]

का० आ० 679:—अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स बोरली प्रिंटर्स, पन्नालाल सिल्क मिल्स एस्टेट, मुम्बई-आगरा रोड, भान्डूप बम्बई 78, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारी की बहु संख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करता है ।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 की अप्रैल के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी ।

[सं० 8/140/68-अ० नि० II.]

S.O. 680.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government having regard to the location of the factories specified in column (4) of the schedule annexed hereto in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the Act are in force, specified in column (3) of the said Schedule in the State of Uttar Pradesh, hereby exempts the said factories from the payment of employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a period of one year from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette or until the enforcement of provisions of Chapter V of that Act in those areas, whichever is earlier.

SCHEDULE

Serial No.	Name of District	Name of Area	Name of the Factory
1	2	3	4
1	Babraich	Nanpara	M/s. Hauman Rice and Dall Mills.
2	Meerut	Hastinapur	1. M/s. Madan Industries, P.O. Hastinapur. 2. M/s. Vulcanised Fibre Factory, Industrial Area, Hastinapur.
3	Muzaffar Nagar	Shamli	M/s. Aurvindo Syringes, Thinjore Road.
4	Varanasi	Industrial Estate	1. M/s. Hem Electric Mfg. Co. 28, Nandan Shah. 2. M/s. Meghdoot Engg., Industries. 3. M/s. Malleable and Alloys Industries. 4. M/s. Bharat Metal Industries. 5. M/s. Sukhdeo Udy of B-5, Industrial Estate.

[No. F. 6(10)/68-HI.]

का० आ० 680:—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73 ब द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य में इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट फैक्टरियों की जो उक्त अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट क्षेत्र में हैं जिसमें अधिनियम के अध्याय IV और V के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त फैक्टरियों का उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय V के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अधिदाय के संदाय से इस अधिसूचना के शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन से एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए या उन क्षेत्रों में उस अधिनियम के अध्याय V के उपबन्धों के प्रवृत्त होने तक इनमें जो भी पहल हो, एतद्वारा छूट देती है ।

अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	जिले का नाम	क्षेत्र का नाम	फैक्टरी का नाम
1	2	3	4
1	बहराइच	मानपारा	मैसर्स हनुमान राइस एण्ड दाल मिल्स ।
2	मेरठ	हस्तिनापुर	(1) मैसर्स मदन इंडस्ट्रीज, पो० ओ०, हस्तिनापुर । (2) मैसर्स बलकानाईजड फाइबर फैक्टरी, इन्डस्ट्रियल एरिया, हस्तिनापुर ।
3	मुजफ्फर नगर	शामली	मैसर्स अरविन्दो शिरिन्जेज, धिजोर रोड ।
4	वाराणसी	इंडस्ट्रियल स्टेट	(1) मैसर्स हेम इलेक्ट्रिक मैनुफैक्चरिंग कम्पनी, 28, नन्दन शाह (2) मैसर्स मेघदूत इंजिनियरिंग इंडस्ट्रीज । (3) मैसर्स मैलियेबल एण्ड एलायोज इंडस्ट्रीज । (4) मैसर्स भारत मेटल इंडस्ट्रीज । (5) मैसर्स सुखदेव उदय-बी-5-इंडस्ट्रियल स्टेट ।

[सं० फा० 6/10/68-एच आई]

S.O. 681.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), No. S.O. 4633, dated the 20th December, 1968, the Central Government having regard to the location of the Automobile Workshop belonging to the Municipal Corporation of Delhi at Jhandewalan, Delhi, in area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said factory from the payment of employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 28th October, 1969 upto and inclusive of the 27th October, 1970.

[No. F. 498/68-HI.]

का० आ० 681:—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73च द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 4633 तारीख 20 दिसम्बर, 1968 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार दिल्ली नगर निगम की जहंदवालान दिल्ली पर स्थित आटोमोबाइल वर्कशॉप के ऐसे

क्षेत्र, जिसमें अधिनियम के अध्याय IV और V के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, की अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त फैक्टरी को, उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय V के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 28 अक्टूबर, 1969 से 27 अक्टूबर, 1970 तक जिसमें यह दिन भी सम्मिलित है और आगे एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए एतद्द्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० 6(98)/68-एच आई]

S.O. 682.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 605 dated the 5th February, 1969 the Central Government, having regard to the location of the factory, namely, Government Regional Press, Salem, in an area in which the provisions of Chapter IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said factory from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 30th November, 1969, upto and inclusive of the 29th November, 1970.

[No. F. 6(99)/68-HI.]

फा० आ० 682:—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73 च द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० फा० आ० 605 तारीख 5 फरवरी, 1969 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार फैक्टरी अर्थात् गवर्नमेंट रीजनल प्रेस, सलेम के ऐसे क्षेत्र, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय IV और V के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, की अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त फैक्टरी को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय V के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 30 नवम्बर, 1969 से 29 नवम्बर, 1970 तक जिसमें यह दिन भी सम्मिलित है और आगे एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए एतद्द्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० 6(99)/68-एच आई]

S.O. 683.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2520, dated the 21st June, 1969, the Central Government, having regard to the location of the Police Automobile Workshops at Bikaner and Jodhpur in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said workshops from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 1st July, 1969 upto and inclusive of the 30th June, 1970.

[No. F. 6(86)/69-HI.]

फा० आ० 683:—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73 च। द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० फा० आ० 2520 तारीख 21 जून, 1969 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार बीकानेर और जोधपुर में स्थित पुलिस ऑटोमोबाइल वर्कशॉप के ऐसे क्षेत्र, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय IV और V के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, की अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त वर्कशॉपों को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय V के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 1 जुलाई 1969 से 30 जून, 1970 तक जिसमें यह दिन भी सम्मिलित है और आगे एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए एतद्द्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० 6(86)/69-एच आई]

S.O. 684.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government having regard to the location of the Police Transport Workshop, Petlaburz, Hyderabad in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said workshop from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a period of one year with effect from the 14th November, 1969 upto and inclusive of the 13th November, 1970.

[No. F. 6(87)/69-HI.]

का० आ० 684.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73C द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार पुलिस ट्रांसपोर्ट वर्कशॉप, पेटला बुरज, हैदराबाद के ऐसे क्षेत्र, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय IV और V के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, की अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त वर्कशॉप को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 14 नवम्बर, 1969 से 13 नवम्बर, 1970 तक जिसमें यह दिन भी सम्मिलित है एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० 6/(87)/69-एच आई]

S.O. 685.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government having regard to the location of the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams Press, Tirupathi, in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said press from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a period of one year with effect from the 7th November, 1969.

[No. F. 6(84)/69-HI.]

का० आ० 685.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73C द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार तिरुमला तिरुपति देवस्थानम प्रेस, तिरुपति के ऐसे क्षेत्र जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय IV और V के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, की अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त प्रेस को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय V के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 7 नवम्बर, 1969 से एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० 6/84/69-एच आई]

S.O. 686.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Sree Venkateshwara Automobiles, Patel Nagar, Hospet, Bellary District, Mysore State, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty first day of March, 1968.

[No. 8/19/69/PF-II.]

का० आ० 686.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसेर्स बेन्कटेश्वर आटोमोबाइल्स, पटेल नगर, हॉस्पेट, जिला बेलारी, मैसूर राज्य, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1968 के मार्च के 31 वें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं० 8/19/69-प० नि०(II)]

S.O. 687.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Amravati Growers Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, Amravati (Maharashtra), have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty first day of March, 1969.

[No. 8/67/69-PF.II.]

का० आ० 687:—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स अमरावती ग्रोअर्स कोऑपरेटिव स्पनिंग मिल्स लिमिटेड, अमरावती, (महाराष्ट्र) नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः, अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है ।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 के मार्च के 31 वें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी ।

[सं० 8/67/69-म० नि० II]

S.O. 688.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Vijay Kumar Vineet Kumar, Head Office, 9, Jagmohan Mallick Lane, Calcutta-7, including its branch at 243A, Manicktolla, Main Road, Calcutta-54, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall come into force on the thirtyfirst day of August, 1969.

[No. 8(61)68-PF.II (1).]

का० आ० 688:—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स विजय कुमार विनीत कुमार, मुख्य कार्यालय, 9, जगमोहन मलिक लेन, कलकत्ता-7, जिसके अन्तर्गत 243-ए मनिक्तल्ला, मेनरोड कलकत्ता-54, स्थित उसकी शाखा भी है, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है ।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 के अगस्त के 31 वें दिन को प्रवृत्त होगी ।

[सं० (8-61) 68/म० नि० II (i)]

S.O. 689.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that, with effect from the 31st August, 1969 section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to Messrs Vijay Kumar Vineet Kumar, Head Office 9, Jagmohan Mallick Lane, Calcutta-7 and to its branch at 243-A Manicktolla, Main Road, Calcutta-54 be subject to the modification that for the words "Six and a quarter per cent", the words "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8(61)/69-PF.II(II).]

का० प्रा० 689:—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, इस विषय में आवश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात्, एतद्वारा यह विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि 31 अगस्त, 1969 से उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6, मैसर्स विजय कुमार विनीत कुमार, मुख्य कार्यालय, 9, जगमोहन मलिक लेन, कलकत्ता - 7 और 243-ए मानिक तला, मनरोड, कलकत्ता-54 स्थित उसकी शाखा को लागू होने के सम्बन्ध में इस उपान्तरण के अध्याधीन होगी कि "सवा छह प्रतिशत" शब्दों के लिए 'आठ प्रतिशत' शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाए।

[सं० 8/61/69-भ० नि० II (ii)]

S.O. 690.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs. Meera Sales Corporation, G. T. Road Ludhiana-1 with branch at G. T. Road, Karnal, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of December, 1968.

[No. 8/186/68/PF.II(i).]

का० प्रा० 690:—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स मीरा सेल्स कारपोरेशन जी० टी० रोड, लुधियाना-1 नामक स्थापन से जिसकी जी० टी० रोड, करनाल में शाखा है, सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1968 के दिसम्बर के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं० 8/186/68-भ० नि० (II) (i)]

S.O. 691.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that, with effect from the 1st December, 1968, section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to M/s. Meera Sales Corporation, G.T. Road, Ludhiana-1, with branch at G.T. Road, Karnal, be subject to the modification that for the words "six and a quarter per cent," the words "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8/186/68-PF.II(ii).]

का० प्रा० 691:—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, इस विषय में आवश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात्, एतद्वारा यह विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि दिसम्बर, 1968 के प्रथम दिन से उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6, मैसर्स मीरा सेल्स कारपोरेशन, जी० टी० रोड, लुधियाना - 1 को जिसकी जी० टी० रोड, करनाल में शाखा है, लागू होने के संबंध में इस उपान्तरण के अध्याधीन होगी कि "सवा छह प्रतिशत" शब्दों के लिए "आठ प्रतिशत" शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएं।

[सं० 8/186/69-भ० नि०-2 (ii)]

S.O. 692.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Rice and Ground Nut Oil Mill, Kothavalasa, Visakhapatnam District, Andhra Pradesh, have agreed that the provisions of the

Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st October, 1965.

[No. 8/145/69-PF.II.]

का० प्रा० 692:—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स श्री लक्ष्मी नरसिम्हा राइस एण्ड ग्राउंडनट प्रायल मिल, कोथावालासा, विशाखापत्तनम जिला, आन्ध्र प्रदेश, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1965 के अक्तूबर के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं० 8/145/69-भ० नि०-II]

S.O. 693.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Sadhana Talkies, Near Nyay Mandir, Baroda, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment with effect from the 30th September, 1969.

[No. 8/109/69/PF.II.]

का० प्रा० 693:—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि साधना टाकीज, न्याय मन्दिर के निकट, बड़ोदा, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए।

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को 30 सितम्बर, 1969 से एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

[सं० 8 (109)/69-भ० नि० (II)]

S.O. 694.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs. Oriental Rubber Trading Company (Mysore) Private Limited, 2/3, Silver Jubilee Park Road, Bangalore-2, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty first day of January, 1969.

[No. 8/53/69/PF.II.]

का० प्रा० 694—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स ओरियन्टल रबड़ ट्रेडिंग कम्पनी (मैसुर) प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 2/3, मिलवर जुबली पार्क रोड, बंगलौर-2 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः, अत्र, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 की जनवरी के 31वें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं 8/53/69-भ० नि० (II)]

S.O. 695.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs. Pilky Footwear Company Private Limited, 93-A, Pokhran Road No. 1, Thana, including its office at Central Bank Building, Bruce Street, Bombay-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty-first day of December, 1968

[No. 8/59/69-PF.II.]

का० प्रा० 695—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स पिल्की फुटवियर कम्पनी प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 93-ए पोखरन रोड नं० 1, थाना, जिसके अर्न्तगत सेंट्रल बैंक बिल्डिंग, ब्रूस स्ट्रीट, मुम्बई-1, स्थित उसका आफिस भी है नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः, अत्र, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1968 के दिसम्बर के एकतीसवें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं 8/59/69-भ० नि० II]

S.O. 696.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs. Bipinchandra Maganlal and Company Private Limited, 52/54, Nakhodā Street, Tambakanta, Pydhownie, Bombay-3, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirtieth day of April, 1968.

[No. 8/68/69-PF.II(i).]

का० प्रा० 696—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स बिपिन चन्द्र मगनलाल एण्ड कम्पनी प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 52/54, नखोदा स्ट्रीट, ताम्बा, पाईघोनी, बम्बई-3 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1968 के अप्रैल के 30वें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं 8/68/69-भ० नि० II (i)]

S.O. 697.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that, with effect from the 30th April, 1968, section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to Messrs Bipinchandra Maganlal and Company Private Limited, 52/54, Nakhoda Street, Tambakanta, Pydhownie, Bombay-3, be subject to the modification that for the words "six and a quarter per cent," the words "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8/68/69-PF.II(1).]

का० घा० 697:—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, इस विषय में आवश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात् एतद्वारा यह विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि 30 अप्रैल, 1968 से उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6, मैसर्स बिपिन्चन्द्र मगनलाल एण्ड कम्पनी प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 52/54, नखोदा स्ट्रीट, ताम्बाकांटा, पाईधीनी, बम्बई-3 को लागू होने के सम्बन्ध में इस उपान्तरण के अध्याधीन होगी कि "सवा छह प्रतिशत" शब्दों के लिए "आठ प्रतिशत" शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएँ।

[सं 8/68/69-भ० नि० II(ii)]

S.O. 698.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Pepco and Company, 29, Waterloo Street, Great Eastern Hotel Buildings, Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty-first day of March, 1969.

[No. 8/166/69-PF.II(1).]

का० घा० 698:—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स पेपको एण्ड कम्पनी, 29, वाटरलू स्ट्रीट, ग्रेट इस्टर्न होटल बिल्डिंग्स, कलकत्ता-1, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए।

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 की मार्च के इकतीसवें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं 8/166/69-पी० एफ०-II (i)]

S.O. 699.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that, with effect from the 31st March, 1969, section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to Messrs Pepco and Company, 29, Waterloo Street, Great Eastern Hotel Buildings, Calcutta-1, be subject to the modification that for the words "six and a quarter per cent," the words "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8/166/69-PF.II(1).]

का० प्रा० 699:—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार इस विषय में आवश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात्, एतद्द्वारा यह विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6, 31 मार्च, 1969 से मैसर्स पेपको एण्ड कम्पनी, 29, वाटरलू स्ट्रीट, ग्रेट इस्टर्न होटल बिल्डिंग्स, कलकत्ता-1, को लागू होने के संबंध में इस उपान्तरण के अध्याधीन होगी कि "सवा छह प्रतिशत" शब्दों के स्थान पर "आठ प्रतिशत" शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएँ।

[सं० 8/166/69-पी० एफ० II (ii)]

S.O. 700.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Cauvery Silk Throwing Works, T.S. No. 427, Palar Anaicut Road, Wallajapet, North Arcot District, Tamil Nadu, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment with effect from the 31st day of August, 1969.

[No. 8/105/69-PF.II.]

का० प्रा० 700:—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स कावेरी सिल्क थ्रोइंग वर्क्स, टी० एस० नं० 427, पालार अनाइकट रोड, बल्लाजापेट, जिला नार्थ अर्काट, तमिलनाडु नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिएँ ;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध अगस्त, 1969 के 31वें दिन से उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

[सं० 8/105/69-भ० नि०-2]

New Delhi, the 13th February 1970

S.O. 701.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 693, dated the 12th February, 1969, namely:—

In the said notification for the words, "for a period of one year", the words, "for the period" shall be substituted.

[No. F. 6(58)/67-HI.]

S.O. 702.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government having regard to the location of the Telugu Press and Secretariat Press, Hyderabad in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said presses from the payment of the employers' special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a period of one year with effect from the 7th November, 1969, upto and inclusive of the 6th November, 1970.

[No. F. 6(88)/69-HI.]

का० प्रा० 702:—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा निगम अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73 एच द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये केन्द्रीय सरकार तेलुगु प्रेस एंड

सेक्रेटरिएट प्रेंस, हैदराबाद के ऐसे क्षेत्र, जिस में उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय IV और V के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, की अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुये उक्त प्रेसों को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय V के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 7 नवम्बर, 1969 से 6 नवम्बर, 1970 तक जिस में यह दिन भी सम्मिलित है एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए एतद्द्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० 6(88)/69-एच आई]

S.O. 703.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation, (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1039, dated the 12th March, 1969, the Central Government, having regard to the location of the depots at Tondiarpet, Adyar, Ayanavaram, Tiruchirapalli and Coimbatore belonging to Madras State Transport Department, in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said depots from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 24th March, 1969 upto and inclusive of the 23rd March, 1970.

[No. F. 6(23)/68-HI.]

फा० आ० 703:—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73 व द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग, की अधिसूचना, सं० फा० आ० 1039 तारीख 12 मार्च, 1969 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार, मद्रास राज्य परिवहन विभाग के तोण्डियार पेट, अड्यार अयनावरम् तिरुच्चिरापल्लि, और कोयंबतूर के डिपो के ऐसे क्षेत्र, जिस में उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय IV और V के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, की अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त डिपो को, उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय V के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 24 मार्च, 1969 से 23 मार्च, 1970 तक जिस में यह दिन भी सम्मिलित है और आगे एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए एतद्द्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० 6/23/68-एच आई]

S.O. 704.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1131 dated the 12th March, 1969 the Central Government having regard to the location of the press, namely the Text Book Press, Bhubaneswar in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said press from the payment of the employers special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 18th December, 1969 upto and inclusive of the 17th December, 1970.

[No. F. 6(10)/69-HI.]

फा० आ० 704:—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73 व द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० फा० आ० 1131 तारीख 12 मार्च 1969 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार, मुद्रणालय अर्थात् टैक्सट बुक प्रेंस, भुवनेश्वर, के ऐसे क्षेत्र, जिस में उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय IV और V के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, की अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त प्रेस को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय V के अधीन

उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 18 दिसम्बर, 1969 से 17 दिसम्बर, 1970 तक जिसमें यह दिन भी सम्मिलित है और आगे एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है ।

[सं० फा० 6(10)/69-एच आई]

S.O. 705.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1137 dated the 17th March, 1969 the Central Government having regard to the location of the Central Dairy, Government Milk Supply Scheme, Poona in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said dairy from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 8th December, 1969 upto and inclusive of the 7th December, 1970.

[No. F.6(16)/69-HI.]

का० आ० 705:—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73 च द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 1137 तारीख 17 मार्च, 1969 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार सेन्ट्रल डेरी, सरकारी दुग्ध वितरण योजना, पूना के ऐसे क्षेत्र, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय IV और V के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, की अवस्थिति का ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त डेरी को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय V के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 8 दिसम्बर, 1969 से 7 दिसम्बर, 1970 तक जिसमें यह दिन भी सम्मिलित है और आगे एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है ।

[सं० फा० 6(16)/69-एच आई]

S.O. 706.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government having regard to the location of the Press, namely Government Press, Aurangabad, in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said Press from the payment of the employers' special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a period of one year with effect from the 25th November, 1969 upto and inclusive of the 24th November, 1970.

[No. F.6(75)/69-HI.]

का० आ० 706:—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73 च द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, मुद्रणालय, अर्थात् गवर्नमेंट प्रेस, औरंगाबाद के ऐसे क्षेत्र, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय IV और V के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, की अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त मुद्रणालय को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय V के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 25 नवम्बर, 1969 से 24 नवम्बर, 1970 तक जिसमें यह दिन भी सम्मिलित है, एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है ।

[सं० फा० 6/75/69-एच आई]

S.O. 707.—Whereas the State Government of Haryana, has in pursuance of clause (d) of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), nominated Shri Bihari Lal Ahuja, Secretary to the Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, to represent that State on the Employees' State Insurance Corporation in place of Shri H. S. Achreja;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 2551 dated the 9th August, 1966, namely:—

In the said Notification, under the heading "(Nominated by the State Governments under clause (d) of section 4)", for the entry against item 11A, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Shri Bihari Lal Ahuja,

Secretary to the Government of Haryana,

Labour and Employment Department,

Chandigarh."

[No. F.3(2)/68-HI.]

S.O. 708.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 64 dated the 24th December, 1968, the Central Government having regard to the location of the Hydro-Electric Power House, Rampur, belonging to the Uttar Pradesh State Electricity Board, in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said Power House from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 1st November, 1969, upto and inclusive of the 31st October, 1970.

[No. F.6(96)/68-HI.]

DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

का० प्रा० 708:—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73 च द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० प्रा० 64, तारीख 24 दिसम्बर, 1968 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य विद्युत् बोर्ड के जल विद्युत बिजलीघर, रामपुर के ऐसे क्षेत्र, जिस में उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय IV और V के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, की अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त बिजलीघर को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय V के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिवाय के संवाय से पहली नवम्बर, 1969 से इकत्तीस अक्टूबर 1970 तक जिस में यह दिन भी सम्मिलित है और आगे एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए एतद्द्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० 6(96)/68 एचआई]

दलजीत सिंह, अपर सचिव।

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 13th February 1970

S. O. 709.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5 of the Mines Act, 1952 (35 of 1952), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 531, dated the 2nd March, 1961, namely:—

In the said Notification, the following entry shall be omitted, namely :—

"(85) Shri I. S. Khurana."

[No 2/86/69-MI.]

J. D. TEWARI, Under Secy.

